

24 August 2017 – 24 November 2017

Project Name: WestConnex New M5

| Project number: | 15.7020.2597 |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Document number: | M5N-ES-RPT-PWD-0032 |
| | |
| Revision date: | 03/01/2018 |
| Revision: | 00 |

Document Approval

| Rev. | Date | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Recommended by | Approved by | Remarks |
|--------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 00 | 03/01/18 | CDS-JV | WCX M5 AT, RMS, ER | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Signat | ure: | | | | | <u></u> |

Details of Revision Amendments

Document Control

The Project Director is responsible for ensuring that this Plan is reviewed and approved. The Support Services Director (SSD) is responsible for updating this Plan to reflect changes to the Project, legal and other requirements, as required.

Amendments

Any revisions or amendments must be approved by the Project Director before being distributed or implemented.

Revision Details

| Revision | Details |
|----------|---|
| 00 | Prepared for WCX M5 AT and RMS input and review and ER review |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Contents

| 1. | Introduction4 | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 1.1 | Project description4 | | |
| 1.2 | Project staging5 | | |
| 1.3 | Purpose | | |
| 1.4 | Environmental management system overview | | |
| 2. | Program requirements7 | | |
| 2.1 | Assessment under the Instrument of Approval and REMMs7 | | |
| 2.2 | Department of Planning and Environment notification8 | | |
| 2.3 | Periodic review | | |
| 2.4 | Reporting11 | | |
| | 2.4.1 Compliance Reporting | | |
| | 2.4.2 Other Reporting | | |
| 2.5 | Environmental auditing14 | | |
| 3. | Quarterly Construction Activities | | |
| 3.1 | Construction site activities | | |
| 3.2 | Construction Compliance Summary18 | | |
| Арр | Appendix A: Minister's Conditions of Approval | | |
| Арр | endix B: Revised Environmental Management Measures | | |
| Арр | Appendix C: Complaints Register | | |
| Арр | endix D: Glossary of terms | | |



1. Introduction

1.1 **Project description**

WestConnex is Australia's largest road project, linking Sydney's west and south-west with the city, airport and port in a 33 kilometre continuous motorway. It will facilitate economic growth and urban revitalisation by providing new opportunities for residential and commercial development.

The WestConnex Project is being delivered in three stages:

- WestConnex Stage 1: M4 Parramatta to Haberfield (the "M4 East")
- WestConnex Stage 2: M5 Beverly Hills to St Peters ("the New M5"), and
- WestConnex Stage 3: M4-M5 Link Haberfield to St Peters ("Stage 3").

The New M5 Project (New M5, the project) is designated as State Significant Infrastructure (SSI 6788) and is the Stage 2 component of the WestConnex scheme. The proponent for the project is Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) and the project company (WCX M5 AT). WCX M5 AT has engaged the CPB Samsung Dragados Joint Venture (CDS-JV) to deliver the design and construction of the project. The project was approved by the Minister for Planning on 20 April 2016, subject to conditions.

The Project will run from the existing M5 East corridor at Beverly Hills via tunnel to St Peters, providing improved access to the airport, south Sydney and Port Botany precincts. The Project will substantially improve the east - west corridor access between the Sydney CBD, Port Botany and Sydney Airport precincts and the South West growth areas.

The project comprises the following key features:

- Twin motorway tunnels between the existing M5 East Motorway (between King Georges Road and Bexley Road) and St Peters. Each tunnel would be around nine kilometres in length and would be configured as follows:
 - Between the western portals and Arncliffe, the tunnels would be built to be three lanes wide but marked for two lanes as part of the project. Any change from two lanes to three lanes would be subject to future environmental assessment and approval
 - Between Arncliffe and St Peters, the tunnels would be built to be five lanes wide but marked for two lanes as part of the project. Any change from two lanes to any of three, four or five lanes would be subject to future environmental assessment and approval
- Tunnel stubs to allow for a future connection to the M4-M5 Link and a future connection to southern Sydney via a future Southern extension
- Surface road widening works along the M5 East Motorway between east of King Georges Road and the new tunnel portals
- A new road interchange at St Peters, which would initially provide road connections from the main alignment tunnels to Campbell Road and Euston Road, St Peters
- Two new road bridges across Alexandra Canal which would connect St Peters interchange with Gardeners Road and Bourke Road, Mascot
- Closure and remediation of the Alexandria Landfill site, to enable the construction and operation of the new St Peters interchange
- Works to enhance and upgrade local roads near the St Peters interchange
- Ancillary infrastructure and operational facilities for electronic tolling, signage (including electronic signage), ventilation structures and systems, fire and life safety systems, and emergency evacuation and smoke extraction infrastructure
- A motorway control centre that would include operation and maintenance facilities
- New service utilities and modifications to existing service utilities
- Temporary construction facilities and temporary works to facilitate the construction of the project
- Infrastructure to introduce tolling on the existing M5 East Motorway

Surface road upgrade works within the corridor of the M5 East Motorway.



1.2 Project staging

Roads and Maritime has elected to stage the New M5 project in accordance with CoA A10. The stages are described in detail in the New M5 Staging Report (Revision 3, dated 22/12/2016) and summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Project Staging

| Stage | Sub- stage | Project location | Description | |
|-------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 1 | a) | Kingsgrove construction compounds (C1, C2 and C3) | Site establishment activities e.g.: minor vegetation clearance, demolition, installation of environmental controls, services | |
| | b) | Bexley Road North (C4), Bexley Road South (C5), Bexley Road East (C6), Arncliffe (C7), Canal Road (C8), Campbell Road (C9), Landfill Closure (C10) and Burrows Road (C11) Construction Compounds. | protection/installation/relocation, installation of access arrangements, installation of site fencing, installation of noise walls including associated piling, installation of compound facilities including offices, amenities and workshops. | |
| | c) | HV power alignments as described in Addendum No. 1 to the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (AFMP): Alignment 1: Rockdale substation to | Provision of High Voltage (HV) power to construction compounds C3, C4, C7 and C8 including protection and/or relocation of existing services, trenching, pipe-jacking, horizontal directional drilling, cable-pulling, | |
| | | Arncliffe construction compound (C7); Alignment 2: Commercial Road to Kingsgrove construction compound (C3); | backfilling of trenches, temporary stockpiling and reinstatement/rehabilitation of pavements and surfaces. | |
| | | Alignment 3: May St substation to Canal Road construction compound (C8); | | |
| | | Alignment 4: Campsie substation to Bexley North construction compound (C4). | | |
| 2 | a) | Kingsgrove construction compounds (C1, C2 and C3) | Continuation of Stage 1 (establishment) activities, and commencement of construction activities, including installation of acoustic | |
| | b) | Bexley construction compounds (C4-C6) | sheds, excavation of shafts/declines, demobilisation and rehabilitation. | |
| | c) | Arncliffe construction compound (C7) | | |
| | d) | St Peters Interchange construction compounds (C8-C11) | | |
| 3 | | Tunnelling - all project sites. | Commencement of tunnelling works including excavation with roadheaders, tunnel fit-out and commissioning works. | |
| 4 | a) | Local Roads Upgrade construction compounds (C12-C13). | Establishment of temporary construction compounds (C12-C13). | |
| | b) | Local Roads Upgrade construction compounds (C12-C13) and construction sites. | Temporary construction activities at the local roads construction compounds and sites | |
| | с) | Local Roads Upgrade construction compounds (C12-C13) and construction sites. | Permanent construction activities and rehabilitation activities at the Euston Road, Bourke Road, Gardeners Road and bridge construction sites, as well as permanent non- drainage works at Campbell Street and Campbell Road construction sites. | |



| Stage | Sub- stage | Project location | Description |
|-------|---------------|--|---|
| | d) | Local Roads Upgrade construction compounds (C12-C13) and construction sites. | Permanent drainage works and rehabilitation activities at Campbell Street and Campbell Road construction sites, as well as demobilisation at all Local Road Upgrade sites. |

The key distinguishing feature of Stage 2 is the commencement of construction as defined under the Infrastructure Approval. Stage 3 comprises tunnelling activities, which includes roadheader excavation, tunnel fit-out and commissioning. Stage 4 comprises the commencement of works for the Local Road Upgrades.

Stage 1 activities commenced 9 June 2016, Stage 2 activities commenced 24 August 2016, Stage 3 activities commenced 30 November 2016 and Stage 4 commenced 3 January 2017.

1.3 Purpose

The purpose of this Quarterly Construction Compliance Report Issue No. 5 (QCCR5) is to comply with CoA A14(c)(ii) of the planning approval and to provide to the Department of Planning and Environment (DP&E) a report that provides a status on the compliance of the project with construction phase conditions and requirements. Subsequent Quarterly Construction Compliance Reports will continue to be prepared and submitted every three months subsequent to this QCCR.

CDS-JV, WCX M5 AT and Roads and Maritime are jointly responsible for compliance with the project conditions of approval and other requirements.

The scope of this report is based on CoA A14. The requirements relevant to QCCR5 are provided in Table 2.

QCCR5 is the fifth construction compliance report for the construction phase and reports on the fifth quarter of construction, 24 August 2017 to 24 November 2017. A Pre-Construction Compliance Report (PCCR) was submitted to the Secretary, DP&E prior to the commencement of construction to detail status against pre-construction project requirements.

1.4 Environmental management system overview

The environmental management system (EMS) is the primary system to manage and control the environmental aspects of the project during pre-construction and construction. It also provides the overall framework for the system and procedures to ensure environmental impacts are minimised and legislative requirements are fulfilled.

The CDS-JV EMS is based on the CPB Contractors EMS, which was adapted to address project and joint venture requirements.

The Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) is the key document of the EMS. The strategies defined in the CEMP have been developed with consideration of the CoA and the revised environmental management measures (REMMs) presented in the New M5 Submissions Report. The CEMP establishes the system for implementation, monitoring and continuous improvement to minimise impacts from the project on the environment and community.

This QCCR5 is separate from the CTP and CEMP, but is part of a suite of environmental management documents prepared for the New M5 project.

2. Program requirements

This QCCR5 has been prepared as a requirement of CoA A14. The relevant requirements of this condition are detailed in Table 2.

Table 2: Conditions of Approval that relate to the quarterly construction compliance report

| No. | Relevant requirement | Where addressed |
|-----|--|---|
| A10 | The Proponent may elect to construct and/or operate the SSI in stages. Where staging is proposed, the Proponent must submit a Staging Report to the Secretary prior to the commencement of each proposed stage. The Staging Report must provide details of: | The Staging Report has been updated (Rev 3) and was provided to the Secretary on 22/12/2016. |
| (b) | details of the relevant conditions of approval, which would apply to each stage and how these will be complied with across and between the stages of the SSI. | QCCR5 satisfies this condition for the fifth quarter of construction and supports the Staging Report. This QCCR5 notes the conditions which are relevant to each stage of the project and how compliance has been or will be achieved. |
| A14 | The Proponent must prepare and implement a Compliance Tracking Program to track compliance with the requirements of this approval. The Compliance Tracking Program must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction and operate for a minimum of 24 months following commencement of operation, subject to the Secretary's review of the outcomes of the Independent Environmental Audit Report required by condition E51. The operation of the program may be extended if the Secretary determines that there has been unsatisfactory compliance. The Compliance Tracking Program must include, but not be limited to: | A CTP has been prepared and was submitted to the Secretary on 6/06/16. The CTP was approved by the Secretary on 25/07/16 and will operate for a minimum of 24 months following commencement of operation. |
| (c) | provision for periodic reporting of compliance status to the Secretary, including but not limited to: (ii) quarterly Construction Compliance Reports, for the duration of construction, | QCCR5 satisfies this condition for the fifth quarter of construction. Subsequent quarterly construction compliance reports will be submitted for the duration of construction. |

2.1 Assessment under the Instrument of Approval and REMMs

The project QCCRs are prepared in accordance with CoA A14 and are required to address the project requirements contained in the Minister's Conditions of Approval and the Revised Environmental Management Measures (REMMs) identified in the Submissions Report. The New M5 must demonstrate continuous compliance with all associated requirements.

Table 3 provides a definition for the assessment criteria used in this QCCR.

Table 3: Assessment criteria for compliance

| Status | Description |
|--------------|---|
| Compliant | The intent and all specific requirements of the consent conditions have been met. |
| Verification | In the absence of formal written verification the auditor is able to verify by other demonstrable means (visual inspection, personal communication etc) that a condition has been met then, in most cases, the operation should be considered to be in compliance for that condition. |

DRAGADOS

SAMSU

SAMSUNG C&

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5

| Status | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Non-compliant | The intent or one or more specific requirements of the conditions or management measures have not been met. |
| Administrative non- compliance | A technical non-conformance with a condition of the consent that would not impact on environmental performance and that is considered minor in nature (eg. Report submitted but not on the due date). This would not apply to performance-related aspects (eg. Exceedances of a noise limit) or where a condition or management measure has not been met at all (eg. Noise management plan not prepared and submitted for approval at all). |
| Not triggered | A condition or requirement has an activation or timing requirement that has not been sufficiently triggered at the time of the review, therefore a determination of compliance should not be made. |
| Observation | An observation made or improvement opportunity has been identified. |

2.2 Department of Planning and Environment notification

CDS-JV commenced construction activities (Stage 2) on 24 August 2016, as defined by the Instrument of Approval in accordance with the conditional approval of the CEMP, provided by DP&E on 4 August 2016. Commencement of construction at the remaining sites has also occured subsequent to the relevant safety and environmental approvals/consents being obtained, including the satisfaction of CEMP conditions as provided in the DP&E letter of approval for the CEMP (4 August 2016).

RMS provided written notification to the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction, and prior to the commencement of each subsequent stage. Notification will also be provided prior to the commencement of operation. CDS-JV have submitted an updated Staging Report to the Secretary that provides compliance status against each condition, as required by CoA A10, prior to the commencement of Stage 2, Stage 3 and Stage 4 activities.

2.3 Periodic review

Regular compliance activities, such as inspections, observations and monitoring will be undertaken in accordance with the AFMP and CEMP as required.

Environmental controls are to be inspected regularly to ensure their ongoing suitability and effectiveness. Environmental monitoring is carried out to establish pre-construction benchmarks, confirm compliance with the conditions of environmental approvals, licences and laws and to provide early indication of potential adverse impacts to the environment or community.

A summary of monitoring requirements specifically identified in the Conditions of Approval for the construction phase of the project are summarised in Table 4. Monitoring requirements are addressed within specific programs or plans as identified in the final column.

| CoA ref | Required monitoring | Where addressed |
|-----------------|--|---|
| Construction pl | nase | |
| B13 | A Biodiversity Offset Package is required to be developed. Monitoring must be undertaken for any potential compensatory habitat works, if such work is required. | Biodiversity Offset Package |
| B14(a) | An adaptive monitoring program is to be developed as part of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management. | Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management |
| B15(a) | An adaptive monitoring program is to be developed to assess the success of the habitat creation, survival and breeding of the released GGBF population at Arncliffe. | Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan |

Table 4: Project monitoring requirements identified in the CoA



SAMSUNG C&T

| CoA ref | Required monitoring | Where addressed |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| B15(j) | Ongoing monitoring, review and amendment of the Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan. | Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan |
| B28(h) | Baseline surface water and groundwater monitoring conducted prior to the commencement of construction. | Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program |
| B28(i),(j),(k), (m),(q),(r) | Surface water and groundwater monitoring at specific locations, at a frequency and for a duration that are representative of the potential extent of impacts from the project. Monitoring is to include discharges from construction and operational water treatment plants, streambed fracturing and extracted groundwater volumes. | Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program |
| B32(a),(e) | Monitoring framework implemented following the cessation of waste disposal and material recycling activities at the Alexandria Landfill and associated waste recycling and transfer facility, including the groundwater monitoring bore network. | Landfill Closure Management Plan |
| B61(m) | Monitoring and maintenance procedures for built elements, rehabilitated vegetation and landscaping. | Urban Design and Landscape Plan |
| B66(a) | Monitoring social impacts of the SSI, including cumulative impacts and reviewing the effectiveness of mitigation measures in directly affected precints. | Community and Social Management Plan |
| D1(b) | The Environmental Representative must monitor the implementation of environmental management plans and monitoring programs required under the CoA. | Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) |
| D22 | Vibration testing and monitoring to identify minimum working distances to retained heritage items to prevent cosmetic damage. | Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP) |
| D23 | Noise monitoring during initial high noise generating activities (such as piling, rock hammering, jack hammering) to confirm the number of sensitive receivers which may experience sleep disturbance. | CNVMP |
| D28(f) | Appropriate noise and vibration monitoring during blasting activities. | Blast Management Strategy (if blasting is required) |
| D50(f) | Monitoring of impacts resulting from on and off-street parking changes during construction. | Construction Parking and Access Strategy |
| D54(e) | Monitoring of the effectiveness of actions and measures implemented to manage contamination impacts during project works. | Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (CCLMP) |
| D54(f) | Monitor, review, and amend the Construction Contamination Management Plan. | CCLMP |
| D57(f) | Details of monitoring specific to each facility to be implemented to minimise environmental and amenity impacts of ancillary facilities. | Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (AFMP) |
| D57(l) | Monitor, review and amend the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan. | AFMP |
| D67(e) | Monitoring of environmental performance across the project. | СЕМР |
| D67(e)(i) | Monitoring of dust emissions. | Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP) |
| D67(e)(iii) | Monitoring of waste generated during construction. | Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (CWRSP) |
| D67(e)(iv) | Monitoring hazards and risks across the project. | CEMP and each sub-plan |
| D67(e)(v) | Monitoring and rectification of impacts to third party property and infrastructure. | Community Communication Strategy |



| ADOS | Shinsonor |
|------|-------------|
| | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |

| CoA ref | Required monitoring | Where addressed |
|----------------|--|--|
| D68(a)(xi) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan. | Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan (CTAMP) |
| D68(b)(xi) | Monitoring effectiveness of mitigation and management CNVMP measures implemented during proposed works. | |
| D68(b)(xiii) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan. | CNVMP |
| D68(c)(ii)(C) | Monitoring and reporting of impacts to heritage items. | CHSP |
| D68(c)(iii) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Heritage Management Plan. | CHSP |
| D68(d)(vi) | Monitoring the effectiveness of flora and fauna management measures. | Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-Plan (CFFSP) |
| D68(d)(xi) | Monitor the condition of groundwater dependent ecosystems in Bardwell Valley Parkland and Broadford Street Reserve and Stotts Reserve. | CFFSP and Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (WQP&MP) |
| D68(d)(xiii) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan | CFFSP |
| D68(d)(xv) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan. | CFFSP |
| D68(e)(iii) | Monitoring air quality impacts. | CAQSP |
| D68(e)(viii) | Provisions for implementation of additional mitigation measures in response to issues identified during monitoring and reporting. | CAQSP |
| D68(e)(ix) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Air Quality Management Plan. | CAQSP |
| D68(f)(v) | Monitoring water quality at acid sulfate soils treatment areas. | Acid Sulfate Soils Sub-Plan (ASSSP) |
| D68(f)(vi) | Monitoring the effectiveness of actions and measures for management soil and water impacts. | Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP) and WQP&MP |
| D68(f)(vii) | Monitor, review and amend the Construction Soil and Water Management Plan. | CSWQSP |
| Operational ph | ase | |
| E2 | Monitoring pollutants within the tunnel. | Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) |
| E10 | Monitoring pollutants associated with ambient air quality. | OEMP |
| E11 | Monitoring locations must be selected with the objective of achieving like-to-like comparison of monitoring results with available pre-construction data | OEMP |
| E12 | Monitoring results must be made publicly available and must be subject to an independent audit at six- monthly intervals | OEMP |
| E13 | Monitoring to be conducted for at least twelve continuous months prior to operation and to continue for at least two years following the commencement of operation. | OEMP |
| E18 | Monitoring of pollutants from the ventilation outlets. | OEMP |
| E24 | Results of hourly updated real-time ambient monitoring must be provided on a website and made publicly available each month | OEMP |
| E31(h) | Monitoring environmental performance across the project during the operational phase. | OEMP |



| SAMSUNG | C & |
|---------|------------|

| CoA ref | Required monitoring | Where addressed |
|-----------|--|--|
| E31(h) | Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) must contain how environmental performance would be managed and monitored to meet acceptable outcomes. | OEMP |
| E34(f)(g) | Monitoring of operational noise, including on surrounding roads which experience significantly increased traffic volumes as a result of the project. Monitoring of noise in response to complaints. Monitoring and review of the Operational Noise Management Plan. | Operational Noise Management Plan (ONMP) |
| E38 | Monitoring operational noise and vibration to compare actual noise and vibration performance of the project against the noise performance predicted in the Operational Noise and Vibration Review. | Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report |
| E38(a) | Details of the noise and vibration monitoring program including methodology, location and frequency of noise monitoring. | Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report |
| E42(f) | Mechanisms for monitoring of on- and off-street parking impacts and mitigation measures at 12 month intervals to determine the effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures and any supply and demand induced parking issues that are attributable to the SSI | Operational Parking and Access Strategy (OPAS) |
| E42(g) | Provision of contingency measures should the results of mitigation monitoring indicate implemented measures are ineffective. | OPAS |
| E42(h) | Provision of reporting of monitoring results to the Secretary and relevant councils at 12 month intervals for the first five years of operation. | OPAS |

2.4 Reporting

2.4.1 Compliance Reporting

This QCCR5 provides the fifith construction phase compliance report for the project against the CoA and REMMs. Subsequent construction-phase compliance reports will be undertaken every three months for the duration of construction. A final report for construction-related compliance will be undertaken prior to the commencement of operation.

Input and review from the Environmental Representative and WCX M5 AT will be required prior to submission to the Secretary for all construction compliance reporting. Submission of the QCCR to DP&E will be targeted for the end of the month following that identified in Table 5.

The indicative timeframe for construction compliance reports is identified in Table 5.

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5



Table 5: Indicative timeframe for construction compliance reporting

| Item | Details | Timing / indicative timeframe | Responsibility | Recipient of report |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Pre-construction compliance report | Status against CoA and REMM before construction starts | Prior to the commencement of Stage 1 - June 2016 Prior to the commencement of Stage 2 - August 2016 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | DP&E WCX M5 AT; Environmental Representative |
| Construction compliance report | Status against CoA and REMM during construction phase | Quarterly throughout construction / November 2016 February 2017 May 2017 August 2017 November 2017 February 2018 May 2018 August 2018 November 2018 February 2019 May 2019 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | DP&E WCX M5 AT; Environmental Representative |
| Pre-Operation Compliance Report | Status against CoA and REMM before operation starts | Prior to the commencement of operation - mid 2019 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | DP&E WCX M5 AT; Environmental Representative |

Section 3 provides a summary of the activities undertaken during the reporting period. Appendix A contains the details of each CoA and identifies the relevant project stages, timing and approval details as relevant to the reporting period. Appendix B contains the details of each REMM and identifies the timing and approval details as relevant to the reporting period. Appendix C contains the complaints register for the reporting period as required by CoA C4.

2.4.2 Other Reporting

Additional reporting requirements identified in the project documents are included in Table 6. Further reporting may be necessary as works progress.

Table 6: Additional reporting requirements

| Report | Details | Frequency | Standard | Responsibility | Recipient of report |
|------------------------------------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| Monthly environmental report | To be incorporated into the project monthly report - to address environmental statistics (e.g. incidents, regulatory action, complaints on environmental issues), monitoring program performance, key environmental issues. | Monthly, by the 5 th Business Day of each month | D&C Deed | Environment and Sustainability Manager | WCX M5 AT; Roads and Maritime; Independent Certifier; parent companies |

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5



SAMSUNG C&T

| Report | Details | Frequency | Standard | Responsibility | Recipient of report |
|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Environmental Representative monthly report | Report on the Environmental Representative's actions and decision on matters specified in CoA D1 for the preceding month of site environmental performance following routine inspections | Monthly within seven days for the end of each month for the duration of construction of the Project, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary | CoA D2 | Environmental Representative | DP&E WCX M5 AT CDS-JV |
| EPL annual returns | Report on compliance with EPL #20772 and EPL #4627 | Annually | EPA annual return pro forma EPL #20772 & #4627 Condition R1 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | EPA |
| Material harm report | Written details of notification of incidents causing or threatening material harm to the environment | Within 7 days of incident causing or threatening material harm | EPL #20772 & #4627 Condition R2 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | EPA, DP&E |
| EPA requested report | As requested by the EPA | As required by EPA | EPL #20772 & #4627 Condition R3 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | EPA |
| Noise and vibration reports | Submit a Preliminary Investigation Report and subsequent Follow-Up Investigation Report in respect of any noise or vibration monitoring undertaken in accordance with the EPL. | As requested by EPA | EPL #20772 & #4627 Condition R4 | Environment and Sustainability Manager | EPA |



2.5 Environmental auditing

Environmental audits will be conducted at regular intervals during construction of the project to ensure compliance. Internal and external environmental audits will be undertaken in accordance with AS/NZS ISO 19011.

An indicative audit schedule is included in Table 7.

Table 7: Indicative audit schedule

| Audit | Details | Timing | Responsibility | Recipient of audit report |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Internal audit | Compliance with approval and legal requirements, Roads and Maritime specifications, CEMP | Annually (alternate 6 monthly to the audit below) | Environment and Sustainability Manager | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT Environmental Representative |
| External audit | Compliance with EMS (ISO 14001) in accordance with CPB Contractors requirements | Annually (alternate 6 monthly to the audit above) | External independent auditor | CDS-JV WXC M5 AT Environmental Representative |
| External audit | Compliance with the CEMP in accordance with D&C Deed | Not exceeding every 5 months and 15 business days | WCX M5 AT External independent auditor | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT Independent Certifier Environmental Representative |

The Proponent will undertake an independent environmental audit as required for the operational phase of the project. The details of the operational audit program would be provided to the Secretary prior to the commencement of operation.

Additional audit requirements identified in the CoA are summarised in Table 8.

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5

DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T

Table 8: Additional audit requirements identified in the CoA

| CoA ref | Audit details | Recipient of the audit report | Where addressed |
|------------|--|---|---|
| Constr | uction phase | | |
| B31 | Site Audit Statement prepared by an accredited Site Auditor if remediation is required, verifying that the disturbed area has been or can be remediated to a standard consistent with the intended land use. Where land is remediated, a final Site Audit Statement will be prepared by an accredited Site Auditor, certifying that the contaminated disturbed areas have been remediated to a standard consistent with the intended land use. | Final Site Audit Statement to be submitted to Secretary and relevant councils prior to operation of the project. | Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan |
| B49 | An independent Road Safety Audit(s) is to be undertaken by an appropriately qualified and experienced person during detailed design to assess the safety performance of any new or modified local road, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure provided as part of the SSI | Audit findings and recommendations will be made available to the Secretary on request. | Construction Traffic Access and Management Plan |
| Opera | tional phase | | |
| E3 | In tunnel air quality sampling points and visibility monitoring points established under this condition must be audited at least two months prior to commencement of monitoring. Verification and compliance auditing is to be undertaken by an independent person(s) or organisation(s) whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary. | N/A | Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) |
| E12 | Ambient air quality monitoring results must be subject to an independent audit at six-monthly intervals (or at a longer interval, if approved by the Secretary). The auditor must be approved by the Secretary in consultation with the NSW Environment Protection Authority and the project's Air Quality Community Consultative Committee (AQCCC), | The auditor's report must be directly provided to the Proponent and the AQCCC. | OEMP |
| E18 | Ventilation outlet monitoring equipment must be independently audited prior to its commencement of monitoring. Auditing is to be undertaken by an independent person(s) or organisation(s) approved by the Secretary | N/A | OEMP |
| E26 | Continuous emissions monitoring systems installed and operated as required by CoA E18 must undergo relative accuracy test audits at an interval not exceeding 12 months, or as otherwise agreed to by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. | N/A | OEMP |
| E27 | Conduct an audit of the air quality monitoring (in tunnel and external) at six-monthly intervals. | All audit data will be available for inspection by the Secretary, upon request. A copy of the audit report must be issued to the Proponent and AQCCC. | OEMP |

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5



SAMSUNG

| SAMSUNG | C&T |
|---------|-----|

| CoA ref | Audit details | Recipient of the audit report | Where addressed |
|------------|--|--|-----------------|
| E40 | Traffic mitigation measures recommended as part of the Road Network Performance Review Plan would be subject to independent road safety audits. | N/A | OEMP |
| E48 | Prior to the opening of the project to traffic, a full audit of the fire and life safety system as defined by the fire engineering study developed in condition E42 must be undertaken by an Accredited Fire Engineer. | The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. | OEMP |
| E51 | Within 12 months of the commencement of operation, and at any other stage required by the Secretary, the Proponent must commission an Independent Environmental Audit of the SSI. | The Proponent must submit a copy of the audit report to the Secretary and relevant public authorities, together with its response to any recommendations contained in the audit report. | OEMP |

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5



3. Quarterly Construction Activities

3.1 Construction site activities

The following activities have occurred in the field to support construction works across the project generally:

- Geotechnical and contamination assessments
- Flora and fauna surveys and tree surveys
- Demolition and tree clearing
- Hazmat investigations
- Utility locations, modifications and connections
- Construction phase (monthly) surface water monitoring
- Noise and vibration monitoring
- Waste/materials testing and classification.

The following activities have occurred in the field at the St Peters Interchange area (including construction compounds C8-C11):

- Demolition
- Rapid impact compaction
- Piling
- Application of soil binder across stockpiles and access routes
- Operation of leachate treatment plant
- Concrete works
- Excavation and piling for cut and cover structure
- Hazardous materials removal
- Landfill earthworks, geosynthetic clay liner, waste excavation and placement
- Odour monitoring and management activities
- Operation of crushing and screening plant
- Tunnelling (including drill and blast) and spoil removal

The following activities have occurred in the field at the Arncliffe construction compound (C7):

- Testing and treatment of acid sulfate soils
- Operation of construction water treatment plant
- Tunnelling in temporary shaft with roadheader
- Surface grouting in temporary decline
- Tunnelling in temporary decline with roadheader and drill and blast
- · Permanent shaft excavation and support ongoing
- Spoil testing and classification
- Spoil removal off-site
- Construction of Marsh St. frog ponds
- Surface-based grouting adjacent to Cooks River

The following activities have occurred in the field at the Bexley construction compounds (C4, C5 and C6):

- Tunnelling using roadheader
- Spoil removal off-site
- Concrete works
- Operation of construction water treatment plant.

The following activities have occurred in the field at the Western Surface Works and Kingsgrove construction compounds (C1, C2 and C3):

- Concrete works
- Tunnelling using roadheader
- Off-site spoil removal
- Ongoing operation of construction water treatment plant
- Installation of drainage and sewer works
- Removal of existing M5 noise mounds

Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5



- Piling
- Kindalin underpass bridge works.
- Commencement of works for MOC 1

The following activities have occurred in the field at the local road upgrades construction sites:

- Hazmat investigations and removal where required
- Service investigations and relocations
- Archival recording
- Demolition
- Geotechnical and pavement investigations
- Materials classification
- Vegetation clearing
- Temporary barrier relocations
- Stripping of general solid waste and top soil layers along Campbell Street and Euston Road
- Excavation for cut and cover structure on Campbell Street
- Haul road establishment
- Piling pad construction for structures
- Site establishment of ancillary facilities at Camdenville Park and Albert Street.

3.2 Construction Compliance Summary

Table 9 summarises the status of compliance against the project CoA and REMMs using the definitions provided in Section 2.1 for the preceding quarter. Further details regarding status against each CoA and REMM are provided in Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively.

Three CoA and one REMM were subject to non-compliance during the reporting period. Refer to Appendix A for details regarding the non-compliances.

| Status | СоА | REMM |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compliant | A1-A12, A14 (other than A14(c)(iii)), A15-A17 B1-B8, B10-B15, B21-B23, B26-B29, B31-B37, B39-B40, B42-B45, B47-B61, B62(a), (b), (c) & (f) B63, B65, B66, B71-B75 C1-C5 D1, D3, D6-D14, D16-D39, D42, D43, D45, D46-D64, D66-D68 E1, E4-E6, E32, E33, E36, E41, E37, E47 | TT01-TT15, OpTT01, OpTT03, AQ01- AQ46, HH1, NV1-NV31, OpNV01, OpNV03, LP01-LP10, OpLP01, V01-V14, OpV01-OpV13, SE01-SE05, SW01- SW11, SW13-SW16, OpSW01, OpSW02, OpSW04-OpSW09, CM01- CM15, OpCM3, FD01-FD18, OpFD01- OpFD06, GW01-GW19, OpGW04, OpGW05, NAH01-NAH22, B01-B22, GHG1-GHG10, OpGHG1-OpGHG3, AH1-AH6, WM01-WM18, CC01-CC03, HR01-HR09, OpHR01, OpHR12, Cl01, Cl02 |
| Verification | None | None |
| Non-compliant | B20, B30, B38, D15, D55 | SW12, WM16, HR02, HR04 |
| Administrative non- compliance | None | None |
| Not triggered | A13, A14(c)(iii) B9, B16-B19, B24, B25, B41, B46, B62,(d), and (e), B64, B67-B70 D4, D5, D40, D41, D44, D65 E2, E3, E7-E31, E34, E35, E38-40, E42-E46, E48-E51 | OpTT02, OpNV02, OpLP02, OpSW03, OpCM1, OpCM2, OpCM4, OpGW01- OpGW03, OpB01, OpWM01-OpWM03, OpHR02-OpHR11 |

Table 9: Compliance Summary



DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T

| Status | СоА | REMM |
|-------------|------|------|
| Observation | None | None |



Appendix A: Minister's Conditions of Approval

| Ref | Sub Ref | Condition of Approval | 1A: C1 | 1B: C4-C11 | 1C: HV Pov | 2A: C1-C3 | 2B: C4-C6 | 2C: (| 2D: C8-C11 | 3 (Tunnels) | 4: C12-C13 | Timing/phase | Secretary' Approval Required? | | Date of first submission | Date of Secretary's Approval | Date com | Complian Status | Responsib | Comment / evidence (Quarter 5) |
|-----|---------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| A1 | | In addition to meeting the specific performance criteria established under this | C1-C3 Y | Č11 Y | 'ower Y | Υ Y | - <u>C6</u> Y | C] Y | Ċ11 Y | nels) Y | Ý | Pre-Construction Construction | N | N/A | first N/A | | pleted N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | Specific performance criteria and reasonable and feasible measures have been incorporated into the Project's system |
| | | approval, the Proponent must implement all feasible and reasonable measures to prevent and/or minimise any harm to the environment that may result from the construction or operation of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | Operation | | | | | | | RMS | documentation including the Construction Environmental Management Plan (M5N-EN-PLN-PWD-0001; CEMP). Ongoing compliance with the CEMP and other associated documents is being tracked throughout construction (and during operation where applicable) as described in the Compliance Tracking Program (CTP). |
| A2 | | The Proponent must carry out the SSI in accordance with the conditions of approval and generally in accordance with the: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | The CEMP and associated sub plans include the relevant management measures required to undertake the project in accordance with the requirements of CoA A2(a-e). The approved Compliance Tracking Program (CTP) describes how compliance is monitored and tracked. Compliance |
| A2 | (a) | State significant infrastructure application (SSI 6788); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | details are provided in the Quarterly Construction Compliance Reports. |
| A2 | (b) | New M5 Environmental Impact Statement - Volumes 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F, 2G and 2H prepared by AECOM Australia, dated November 2015; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | In accordance with the requirements of condition A14, subsequent ongoing Quarterly Compliance Reports and a Pre-Operational Compliance Report will be submitted to DP&E to provide regular updates on compliance for the |
| A2 | (c) | New M5 Submissions Report - Volumes 1A, 1B and 2 prepared by AECOM Australia, dated March 2016; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | project. All design packages include a compliance register for all relevant requirements, including these Conditions of Approval. Compliance checks are conducted at each stage throughout the design process against the register. |
| A2 | (d) | WestConnex New M5 Addendum to the Submissions and Preferred Infrastructure Report - Temporary Construction Power Enabling Works prepared by RMS, dated April 2016;and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Evidence of compliance is documented and described in the compliance tables included in each design report. DPE issued a show cause request on 17 Nov 2017 in relation to alleged breach of Condition A2 in relation to Arncliffe |
| A2 | (e) | Supplementary material provided as an addendum to the New M5 Submissions and Preferred Infrastructure Report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Shipping Container Noise Barrier. |
| A3 | | In the event of an inconsistency between: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | Noted and shall be implemented throughout construction of the project. Compliance with this condition is ongoing throughout the New M5 project works. |
| A3 | (a) | the conditions of this approval and any document listed in condition A2 inclusive, the conditions of this approval will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Inconsistencies will be identified in the CTP and reported in the subsequent Quarterly Construction Compliance Report. |
| A3 | (b) | any document listed in condition A2(a) to A2(e) inclusive, the most recent document will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4 | | The Proponent must comply with any reasonable requirement(s) of the Secretary arising from the Department's assessment of: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | Noted and shall be implemented throughout construction of the project. CDS-JV will incorporate any reasonable requirements from the Secretary in relation to project documentation and systems. |



| A4 | (a) | any reports, plans or correspondence that are submitted in accordance with this approval; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|-----|------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| A4 | (b) | the implementation of any actions or measures contained in these reports, plans or correspondence. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A5 | | This approval will lapse five years after the date on which it is granted, unless the works of this SSI approval are physically commenced on or before that date. | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Commence works covered by approval | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | Establishment works for the project commenced in July 2016. Construction works commenced in August 2016. |
| A6 | | Where requested by the Secretary, the Proponent must provide evidence as to how feasible and reasonable measures were considered and taken into account. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | Noted and shall be implemented throughout construction of the project. Compliance with this condition is ongoing throughout the New M5 project works.No requests have been made in relation to this condition in the reporting period. |
| A6 | | Note: Community expectations must be taken into account but it is not expected that specific community consultation will be required in every instance. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A7 | | This approval does not apply to the establishment of ancillary facilities where establishment has been assessed in accordance with any applicable requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and site establishment works commenced prior to commencement of construction. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Ŷ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to the issue of planning approval | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | Noted. The establishment of ancillary facilities for the project is being undertaken in accordance with the approved AFMP (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). |
| A8 | | The Proponent must ensure that all licences, permits and approvals are obtained as required by law and maintained as required throughout the life of the SSI. No condition of this approval removes the obligation for the Proponent to obtain, renew or comply with such licences, permits or approvals. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | The CEMP identifies the legislative and other requirements of the Project, including required licences, permits and approvals. The CTP and associated compliance reports track compliance with all identified approvals. Approvals obtained to date include: SSI Approval (SSI 6788), ie this approval, dated 20 April 2016 Environment Protection Licence (EPL 20772) dated 17 May 2016, Environment Protection Licence (EPL 4627) dated 20 June 2016, EPBC Approval, dated 11 July 2016. |
| A9 | | This approval does not apply to the operation of off-site spoil receiving locations and facilities. The receipt of spoil at these location and facilities must be undertaken in accordance with approvals or licences applying to those locations or facilities. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Tunnelling and Excavation | Ν | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | All spoil management, including approvals checks for receiving sites, will be undertaken in accordance with the Project Spoil Management Plan, as required by CoA D51. The Spoil Management Plan was approved by DP&E on 23/11/2016 (refer CoA D51) prior to commencement of tunnelling activities. |
| A10 | (a) | The Proponent may elect to construct and/or operate the SSI in stages. Where staging is proposed, the Proponent must submit a Staging Report to the Secretary prior to the commencement of each proposed stage. The Staging Report must provide details of: how the SSI would be staged, including general details of work activities associated with each stage and the general timing of when each stage would commence; and | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | 24/06/2016 | N/A | 22/12/2016 | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | The Proponent has notified the Secretary that they intend to stage the project, by way of the Staging Report (Revision 3, dated 22/12/2016). The first stage commenced in July 2016 and included site establishment of construction compounds (C1 – C11) and installation of HV power to construction compounds; the second stage commenced in August 2016 and includes continuation of establishment activities as well as surface construction activities; the third stage commenced in November 2016 and includes tunnelling activities; the fourth stage commenced in January 2017 and includes the local roads upgrades. The major stages are further broken down into substages as |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUNG C&T

| A10 | (b) | details of the relevant conditions of approval, which would apply to each stage and how these will be complied with across and between the stages of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| A10 | | Where staging of the SSI is proposed, these conditions of approval are only required to be complied with at the relevant time and to the extent that they are relevant to the specific stage(s). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A11 | | The Proponent must ensure that any strategy, plan, program, or other document, required by the conditions of this approval is submitted to the Secretary no later than one month prior to the commencement of construction or of the relevant stage(s), if the SSI is to be staged, (as identified in the Staging Report), unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. While any strategy, plan or program may be submitted on a progressive basis, the Proponent will need to ensure that the activities on site are covered by relevant and suitable strategies, plans or programs at all times; and If the submission of any strategy, plan or program is to be staged, then the relevant strategy, plan or program must clearly describe the specific stage to which the strategy, plan or program applies, the relationship of this stage to any future stages, and the trigger for updating the strategy, plan or program. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX N CDS-JV RMS |
| A12 | | The Proponent will be responsible for any breaches of the conditions of approval resulting from the actions of all persons that it invites onto the site, including contractors, sub-contractors and visitors. | Ŷ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV |
| A13 | | In the event of a dispute between the Proponent and another public authority in relation to an applicable requirement in this approval, either party may refer the matter to the Secretary for resolution. The Secretary's determination of any such dispute will be final and binding on the parties unless further statutory approval is required. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX N CDS-JV RMS |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | described in the Staging Report. |
| | The Staging Report identifies how each condition applies to each of the stages and how each condition will be complied with during the applicable stages. |
| CX M5 AT JS-JV AS | Noted. The Staging Report (Revision 3, dated 22/12/2016) identifies where any strategy, plan or program is being staged and the triggers for submissions and/or updates. The Staging Report also identifies the stages to which each condition applies. |
| | |
| DS-JV | All CDS-JV employees, subcontractors and visitors are required to attend project inductions, training and awareness sessions in accordance with Element 7 of the CEMP. Ongoing and regular inspections, monitoring and audits of works associated with the project are being undertaken in accordance with Element 12 of the CEMP to verify compliance with all approvals, legal and other requirements. All non-compliances with the conditions of approval have been notified, investigated, and reported in accordance with the relevant conditions during the reporting period. |
| CX M5 AT S-JV AS | Disputes will be managed in accordance with the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008) and this condition. No disputes which require DP&E resolution have occurred during the reporting period. |

| A14 | | The Proponent must prepare and implement a Compliance Tracking Program to track compliance with the requirements of this | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction Construction Operation | Y | 8/06/2016 | 25/07/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX MS RMS |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | | approval. The Compliance Tracking Program must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | construction and operate for a minimum of 24 months following commencement of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | operation, subject to the Secretary's review of the outcomes of the Independent Environmental Audit Report required by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | condition E51. The operation of the program may be extended if the Secretary determines that there has been unsatisfactory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | compliance. The Compliance Tracking Program must include, but not be limited to: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A14 | (a) | provision for the notification of the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction and prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI (including prior to each stage, where works are being staged); | N | Ν | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | | Ν | 24/08/2016 | N/A | 22/12/2016 | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 RMS |
| A14 | (b) | provision for periodic review of the compliance status of the SSI against the requirements of this approval and the environmental management measures committed to in the document referred to in condition A2(c); | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |
| A14 | (c) | provision for periodic reporting of compliance status to the Secretary, including but not limited to – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A14 | (c) | (i) a Pre-Construction Compliance Report prior to the commencement of construction; | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | | Ν | 17/06/2016 | N/A | 20/09/2016 | Compliant | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |
| A14 | (c) | (ii) quarterly Construction Compliance Reports, for the duration of construction, and | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | Ν | 30/01/2017 | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 RMS |
| A14 | (c) | a Pre-Operation Compliance Report prior to the commencement of operation; and six monthly operational compliance reports | Ν | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | | Ν | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |
| A14 | (d) | a program for independent environmental auditing in accordance with AS/NZS ISO 19011:2014 - Guidelines for Auditing Management Systems; | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Υ | | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |
| A14 | (e) | mechanisms for recording environmental incidents during construction and actions taken in response to those incidents; | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |
| A14 | (f) | provision for reporting environmental incidents to the Secretary during construction, in accordance with conditions A15 and A16; | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 CDS-JV RMS |

| | Westconnex New M5 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CDS-JV WCX M5 AT RMS | The Compliance Tracking Program (CTP; M5N-ES-PRG-PWD- 0002) has been approved by DP&E (25/07/2016) to track compliance with the conditions of approval and other project requirements and will operate for a minimum of 24 months following commencement of operation. |
| | Notification was provided to the Secretary for commencement of construction as follows: - Stage 2(b) & 2(c) activities (commencement at Bexley and Arncliffe) on 24/08/2016 via Teambinder correspondence WCXSTAG2-CDSJV-DPE-GEN-000059 (letter ref: A14202726); - Stage 2(a) activities (commencement at Kingsgrove) on 1/09/2016 via email correspondence to Karen Harragon (letter ref: A14289870); - Stage 2(d) activities (commencement at St Peters Interchange) on 1/09/2016 via email correspondence to Karen Harragon (letter ref: A14315600); - Stage 3 activities (commencement of tunnelling) on 29/11/2016 via email to Karen Harragon; - Stage 4 activities (commencement of local roads upgrades) on 22/12/2016 via email to Karen Harragon (letter ref: A15598506). |
| CDS-JV WCX M5 AT RMS | The Pre-construction Compliance Report was submitted to DP&E on 17/06/2016. |
| | The Quarterly Construction Compliance Reports provide a summary of compliance with all project requirements throughout the construction period. This QCCR 5 is the fifth quarterly construction compliance report for the project. |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | A minor update to the Compliance Tracking Program was provided to DPE on 20/142/17 (outside of reporting period). The update was as a result of an external audit finding regarding the capture / storage of training records |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS CDS-JV | |
| WCX M5 AT RMS WCX M5 AT | |
| CDS-JV RMS WCX M5 AT | |
| CDS-JV RMS | |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | |

WestConnex New M5

| A15 [A] modeless intracting supported waters in adding supported wat | |
|--|--|
| All Contraction and sub-contractions are sourced, approach means to their magnetic autivities. N N N/A N/A N/A CDS-V/ PAGE All The Proposent mail which (Ho EV) in Delta to contractions and contraction in a contraction of the contractions State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contract to the complexity of the PACE State are provide the contractions and contraction and contraction andecontent to the provide and c | 1 |
| A16 The Proposent must notify the Severtary Using the contact runner to provide the Severtary with a notification. Y | |
| Image: Note: In the indication of the contact name and phone number notified by the Department from time to intified by the Department from time to intified by the Department from time to the Environment operations Act 1997) with actual, or potential, significant off-site impacts on people or the biophysical environment indicated by the contrast and stars phulic holidays and site shutdown. The Proponent must perform the incident to the forecarrer. Image: Note: | L PWD- in ed to period hours) |
| of the Secretary or relevant public authority CDS-JV EPA Pollution Prevention Line) during the reporting relevant public authority | g in ed and POEO acts on |
| (as determined by the Secretary) to address the cause or impact of any incident, as it relates to this approval. | eriod hours) |
| B1 The ventilation outlets must be constructed at the locations specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location specified in Appendices A, B and C. be and the location of the | ected |
| B2 Unless otherwise approved by the Secretary, the ventilation outlets must be constructed at an approximate height of: | |
| B2 (a) the Kingsgrove ventilation outlet: RL 53 metres (AHD) being a height of 30 metres above the ground in the location shown in Figure 1 in Appendix A; N A (b) Arneliffe Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is expected December 2017 (c) St Peters Ventilation Outlet IFC Design is Peters Ventilation O | lin |
| B2 (b) the Arneliffe ventilation outlet: RL 39 metres (AHD) being a height of 35 metres above the ground in the location shown in Figure 2 in Appendix B; and (b) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C | he |
| WestConnex New M5 | ion 02 |

WestConnex New M5



IIICA

DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

| B2 | (c) | the St Peters ventilation outlet: RL 25.5 metres (AHD) being a height of 20 metres above the ground in the location shown in Figure 3 in Appendix C. | N | N | N | N | Ν | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS |
|----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| B3 | | The ventilation outlet exit plane must have a minimum exit velocity or variable velocity, as detailed in the WestConnex New M5 Air Quality Assessment Report (RMS, 2015) (a component of the documents listed in condition A2), to be determined in the Tunnel Ventilation, Incident Response and Traffic Management Systems Integration Protocol required under condition B7. This is unless an equivalent or better environmental outcome than presented in the Proponent's most up to date air assessment can be demonstrated to the Secretary, in consultation with the EPA. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Detailed Design | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS- |
| В4 | | The tunnel ventilation system must be designed, constructed and operated to only release emissions from the ventilation outlets referred to in condition B2, and to avoid emissions from the portals and/or the emergency smoke extraction facilities at Bexley and Arncliffe. Emissions from the emergency smoke extraction facilities are excepted for emergency smoke management purposes in the event of a fire in the tunnel and periodic testing of the system as defined in the Operation Environmental Management Plan required under condition E31(g). | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS- WCX |
| В5 | | The tunnel must be designed and constructed so as to allow for future modification of the ventilation system if required. The Proponent must submit a report to the Secretary demonstrating how this will be allowed for prior to finalising detailed design | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS- |
| B6 | | The Proponent must install ventilation outlet emission sampling points and associated safe access thereto, during construction of the ventilation outlet. The sampling points must be designed and located in accordance with the Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (EPA, 2007, or as updated), or an equivalent methodology approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS- |
| Β7 | | Prior to operation, the Proponent must prepare and implement a Tunnel Ventilation, Incident Response and Traffic Management Systems Integration Protocol in consultation with the Transport Management Centre. The Tunnel Ventilation, Incident Response and Traffic Management Systems Integration Protocol must be reviewed by a suitably qualified and experienced independent ventilation specialist to confirm that, before the tunnel is open to traffic, the ventilation/traffic management systems would operate together to ensure that the conditions of this approval are met. The Protocol should include a commissioning procedure to be completed before the tunnel is opened to traffic. The Protocol must be submitted to the Secretary for approval at least six months prior to the operation of the SSI. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Prior to operation | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS- |



DRAGADOS



0010-1 000-044

SAMSUNG C&T

| VL-SC | |
|-------------------|--|
| DS-JV | The Tunnel Ventilation System Final Design Report is expected to be issued December 2017 which will be consistent with this requirement. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report. |
| | |
| DS-JV CX M5 AT | The Tunnel Ventilation System Final Design Report is expected to be issued December 2017 which will be consistent with this requirement. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report. |
| DS-JV | The Tunnel Ventilation System Final Design Report is expected to be issued December 2017 which will be consistent with this requirement. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report. |
| VI-2C | The tunnel shall be designed and constructed so as to allow for sampling of the ventilation system. The relevant design reports have been issued to the EPA. Installation of emission sampling points (to be approved by AQCCC) will be reported on in the relevant quarterly compliance report. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report and are confirmed during independent certification. |
| DS-JV | The initial Incident / Traffic Management Planning workshop for WestConnex M4 East and New M5 occurred on 1/03/2017 with the Emergency Services representatives. The incident management prcedures will be developed further in parallel to the completion of the design. The outcomes of this will form the basis of the system configuration and the Protocol required to be delivered by this condition. |
| | |

| 7 | Note: Tunnel ventilation design and operation, incident response triggers and procedures, and traffic management, should be fully integrated in accordance with the primary objective of ensuring the safety of motorists in the tunnel. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|----|------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|----------------------------|--|
| 8 | Prior to operation, the Proponent must install permanent signage at each tunnel entrance and use variable messaging signage provided at regular intervals throughout the tunnel to instruct tunnel users to close windows and turn on recirculated air. Relevant information about this instruction is to be provided on a website, operated by the Proponent, which is maintained throughout | N N | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | N Y | N | Pr | ior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | Driver Advisory ITS Signage Design is expected to be certfied by the IC . Signage will be specified and installed i accordance with this Condition. Compliance with this condition will be reported on in the pre-operation compliance report. |
| 9 | operation of the SSI. Prior to finalising the detailed design of the SSI and establishing the ambient air quality monitoring stations required under condition E10 the Proponent must establish an Air Quality Community Consultative Committee (AQCCC) to provide input prior to and during the operation of the SSI. The AQCCC must: | N N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | D | uring design | Y | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV RMS | The Air Quality Community Consulative Committee (AQCC has been established, with community representatives appointed through an expression on interest (EOI) process Details of the EOI process were provided to DPE on 9 June, which included details of the appointment of the independant chair. Details of the full AQCCC membership following completion of the EOI process was provided to the Department on 8th September 2017. |
| 9 (a) 9 | be comprised of - (i) two representatives from the Proponent and tunnel operator; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | (ii) one representative from each of the relevant councils, whose attendance is only required when considering matters relevant to their respective local government area; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | (iii) three representatives from the local community adjacent to the St Peters ventilation facility or three representatives from the local community adjacent to the Kingsgrove ventilation facility or three representatives adjacent to the Arncliffe ventilation facility whose attendance is only required when considering matters relevant to their respective local area, and whose appointment has been approved by an expression of interest process conducted by the Proponent in consultation with the Secretary, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | a Chair who is an independent party put forward by the Proponent and approved by the Secretary; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 (b) | meet at least four times a year, or as otherwise agreed by the chair and the Secretary; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 (c) | review and provide advice on the location of the air quality monitoring stations required under condition E10, operation environmental management plans and other operation stage documents, compliance tracking reporting, audit reports, or complaints as they relate to air quality; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUN SAMSUNG C&T

| В9 | (d) | provide advice on the dissemination of monitoring results and other information on air quality issues | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|------------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| В9 | | The AQCCC must operate for up to two years after commencement of operation, or as otherwise approved or directed by the Secretary, in consultation with the Chair. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B10 | | The Proponent must offset the entire community of the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 listed Cooks River/Castlereagh Ironbark Forest Critically Endangered Ecological Community located at the site adjacent to Rosebank Avenue between Beverly Grove and Canterbury Golf Course. Construction works involving impacts to the listed community must not commence until the offsets required have been fully identified and evidence provided that they should be achievable. All ecosystem credits proposed to provide biodiversity offsets for this community must be generated by native vegetation meeting the definition of this ecological community under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Calculation of the credits required for that part of the community not directly impacted by the project (approximately 0.4 hectares) is to be calculated using a pro-rata assessment | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CE |
| B11 | | (i.e. approximate 0.4 hectares divided by area of community directly impacted). The Proponent must offset impacts to the Paperbark Swamp Forest and Green and Golden Bell Frog in accordance with the requirements of the Framework for Biodiversity Assessment. | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | W CE |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B12 | | The Proponent must prepare a report which details the progress made towards securing the offsets described in the Biodiversity Offset Strategy presented in the document referred to in condition A2(b) and required by conditions B10 and B11. The report must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of any works that may | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | Construction | Y | 11/05/2016 | 31/05/2016 | 31/05/2016 | Compliant | W CI |




| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|---------------------|--|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Quarterl Report: | y Construction Complia No. 5 | nce | ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | impact on the vegetation communities and Green and Golden Bell Frog and its habitat. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | Within 12 months of the commencement of construction, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must develop and submit to the Secretary for approval, a Biodiversity Offset Package. The Package must be prepared in consultation with OEH and DoE and confirm how the impacts of the SSI will be offset. The Package must be consistent with the biodiversity offset strategy requirements of the NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects (OEH, 2014). The Package must include, but not necessarily be limited to: | Ν | N | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Detailed Design, Operation | Υ | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | A Biodiversity Offset Package is under development and is being prepared in consultation with OEH and DoE. The Package is being prepared in accordance with the Biodiversity Offset Strategy outlined in Appendix T of the EIS. Total offsets for the Cooks River/Castlereagh Ironbark Forest Critically Endangered Ecological Community will also be developed in accordance with condition B10. The Package is required to be submitted to DP&E within 12 months of the commencement of construction, ie prior to 24/08/2017. NOTE: An extension of time request for submission was sent to DPE on 21 August 2017 requesting an extension until 31st December 2017. The extension was subsequently granted by DPE on 23 August 2017. The Biodiversity Offset Paxkage was formally submitted to DPE on 6th December 2017. |
| B13 (a) B13 (b) | identification of the number of biodiversity credits required to offset the impacts of the SSI; details on the biodiversity credits identified to offset the impacts of the SSI and evidence that they can be attained and secured in accordance with the NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 (c) | for offsets not secured through the retirement of biodiversity credits, details on the supplementary measures that would be implemented to offset the residual impacts, in accordance with Appendix B of the NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects and the Framework for Biodiversity Assessment (OEH, 2014). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | All required offsets must be secured within two years of the commencement of construction unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, in consultation with the OEH and DoE. The Proponent must submit to the Secretary and DoE a copy of the credit retirement report issued by the OEH once the offsets are secured, within one month of receiving the report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | Should supplementary measures be proposed, the Package must also provide details on: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Quarterl | y Construction Compliance | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------|---|-------|-------|-----|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|------------------|--|
| Report: | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| B13 (a) | the management and monitoring requirements for compensatory habitat works and other biodiversity offset measures proposed to ensure the outcomes of the package are achieved, including: | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | (i) the monitoring of condition of species and the ecological communities at offset (including translocation) locations, | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | (ii) the methodology for the monitoring program(s), including the number and location of offset monitoring sites, and the sampling frequency at these sites; | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | (iii) provisions for the annual reporting of the monitoring results to the Department, OEH and DoE and the public for a set period of time, as determined in consultation with OEH and DoE; | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | timing and responsibilities for the implementation of the supplementary measures; and | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 (b) | processes and/or measures that would be implemented to ensure that any land offsets are protected and managed in perpetuity. | | | | | | | | | |
| B13 | The supplementary measures must be implemented by the Proponent according to the timeframes set out in the Biodiversity Offset Package, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 B14 (a) | The Proponent must prepare and submit to the Secretary for approval an updated Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management for the Arncliffe population of Green and Golden Bell Frog prior to commencing construction at the Arncliffe construction compound. The Plan must be developed from the Green and Golden Bell Frog Management Plan presented in the document referred to in condition A2(b), by a suitably qualified and experienced frog specialist, in consultation with OEH. The updated Plan must include, but not necessarily be limited to: an adaptive monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of the construction and operational mitigation measures and ongoing survival of the Arncliffe population at the | N N N | N Y N | N N | Pre-construction Construction | Y 9/05/2016 | 17/05/2016 | 17/05/2016 Com | oliant WCX M5 AT | No change in the status of the POM in the reporting period. The updated POM was submitted to DPE on 28 September 2017. |
| | Kogarah Golf Course. The monitoring program must – | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | detail the monitoring that would be undertaken during construction to ascertain the effectiveness of the on-site management and mitigation measures at limiting impacts on the Green and Golden Bell Frogs, NSW Government Department of Planning and Environment | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | JUIT. 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|----|
| B14 | | (ii) include provision for ongoing monitoring of the Arncliffe population during operation of the SSI until such time as the use and effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures can be demonstrated to have been achieved over a minimum of three generations of frogs, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary in consultation with OEH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | | (iii) nominate the performance criteria against which the ongoing survival of the Arncliffe population at the Kogarah Golf Course will be measured during construction and operation of the SSI, and the timing and responsibilities for monitoring during construction and operation, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | | (iv) include goals and performance indicators to measure the effectiveness of the mitigation measures that are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and timely (SMART), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | | (v) provide details of contingency measures and corrective actions that would be implemented in the event of reductions in population numbers, habitat usage and distribution and movement of the Green and Golden Bell Frog, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | | address densities, distribution and habitat | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | (b) | use; evidence of consultation with the OEH and how its comments have been addressed in the updated Plan; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | (c) | mechanisms for the ongoing monitoring, review and amendment of this Plan; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | (d) | mechanisms for annual reporting of the monitoring results to the Secretary and publication of the annual report on the Proponent's website. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B14 | | The Green and Golden Bell Frog Management Plan must be implemented. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | The Proponent must prepare and submit to the Secretary for approval within three months of the commencement of construction of the SSI, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, an updated Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan. The Plan must be developed from the Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan - Green and Golden Bell Frog at Arncliffe presented in the document referred to in condition A2(c), by a suitably qualified and experienced frog specialist, in consultation with OEH. The updated Plan must include, but not necessarily be limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 20/08/2016 | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | wc |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MI | onnex New | 145 | | | _ | _ | _ | | _ | _ | | | | N-FS-RPT-PWD-00 | | | | _ |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-----------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| WCX M5 AT | No change in the status of the HCCBP in the reporting |
| | period. Update of the HCCBP was progressed during the reporting period. The updated HCCBP was submitted to DPE on 28 September 2017. The updated HCCBP was approved by DPE on 1 November 2017. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | | 10. 5 | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| B15 | (a) | an adaptive monitoring program to assess the success of the habitat creation and survival and breeding of the released Green and Golden Bell Frog population at the created Marsh Street habitat area. The monitoring program must include – | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | (i) details on the monitoring that would be undertaken to ascertain the effectiveness of the breeding plan, colonisation of the Marsh Street habitat and connectivity with the Kogarah Golf Course; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | (ii) provision for ongoing monitoring of the Green and Golden Bell Frog population, including densities, distribution and habitat use; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | (iii) the performance criteria against which the ongoing survival of the frog population will be measured; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | (iv) performance indicators that are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and timely (SMART); | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | (v) details on the timing and responsibilities for monitoring, and | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | details of contingency measures and corrective actions that would be implemented in the event of reductions in population numbers, habitat usage and distribution and movement of the Green and Golden Bell Frog; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (b) | details on the husbandry protocols that would be implemented including the experts involved and facility that would conduct the captive breeding program; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (c) | adherence to the Guidelines for minimising disease risks associated with captive breeding, raising and restocking programs for Australian frogs (Murray et al, 2011); | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (d) | processes to ensure that frogs are also available for release at the breeding ponds at the Kogarah Golf Course in the event that the existing population becomes extinct; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (e) | detailed disease and predator protocols for the released frogs; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (f) | processes for certifying that imported landscaping materials are disease free; | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (g) | ongoing maintenance and management procedures for the Marsh Street habitat and Green and Golden Bell Frog Population, including timing and responsibilities; and | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| B15 | (h) | evidence of consultation with the OEH and how its comments have been addressed in the updated Plan; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------|----|
| B15 | (i) | responsibilities for the timing and implementation of the Plan; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (j) | mechanisms for the ongoing monitoring, review and amendment of this Plan; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B15 | (k) | mechanisms for annual reporting of the monitoring results to the Secretary and publication of the annual report on the Proponent's website. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B15 | | The Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Program must be implemented and the Marsh Street habitat area established within'12 months of the commencement of construction, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B16 | | Where the results of monitoring undertaken in accordance with condition B14(i) indicates that the implemented mitigation measures at the Kogarah Golf Course are ineffective or adverse changes to the population have occurred, the Proponent must provide the Secretary, within one month of recording the changes, notification of the adverse changes and details of the corrective actions/management measures that are proposed to be implemented. The corrective actions/management measures must be developed in consultation with the OEH. | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
| B16 | | For the purpose of this condition, an 'adverse change' means an observed change in the abundance, growth or structure of the Arncliffe population of Green and Golden Bell Frogs. This includes, but is not limited to: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B16 | (a) | a decrease in the overall abundance of Green and Golden Bell Frogs in the Arncliffe population; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B16 | (b) | a shift in the population structure, such as a proportional decrease in the number of sexually mature males or females; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B16 | (c) | a change in the population growth, such as the documented loss of cohorts of adults and/or juveniles from the [Arncliffe] population; and/or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B16 | (d) | an increase in the occurrence of a known threat to the survival of individuals of this species at each life stage, including but not limited to the presence of Plague Minnow (Gambusia affinis) and/or Chytrid Fungus (Phylum chytridiomycota). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|---------------------|---|
| | |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | Monitoring is being undertaken in accordance with the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management (approved by the Secretary on 17/05/16). Green and Golden Bell Frog monitoring has occurred on the following dates and at the following locations during the reporting period: 4 Sept 2017: Enhancement Area 28 Sept 2017: Enhancement Area 29 Oct 2017: Enhancement Area 29 Oct 2017: Enhancement Area 25 Nov 2017: Enhancement Area 25 Nov 2017: Enhancement Area 25 Nov 2017: Enhancement Area 26 Nov 2017: Enhancement Area 27 Nov 2017: Enhancement Area 28 Sept so DPE comments on the B16 report were provided to DPE on 19 September 2017. No further correspondence has been received from DPE. |

| B17 | If, after 12 months, the corrective actions/mitigation measures are shown to be unsuccessful, the Proponent must submit to the Secretary, for approval, a further offset for the impacts to that part of the Arncliffe population occurring at the Kogarah Golf Course. The approved offset must be in place within 12 months of the Secretary's approval, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The offset must require the retirement of Green and Golden Bell Frog species credits calculated in accordance with the Framework for Biodiversity Assessment, from a BioBanking agreement that includes a broading cite for this enocion | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Construction & Operation if triggered | Y | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|------|
| B18 | breeding site for this species. In the event that the existing Arncliffe population at the Kogarah Golf Course becomes extinct, in addition to the additional offset requirements of condition B17, the Proponent must prepare and implement a program for the release of Green and Golden Bell Frogs from the captive breeding program (undertaken in accordance with condition B15) into the Kogarah Golf Course. The release program must be developed in consultation with the OEH and submitted to the Secretary for approval within 12 months of the local extinction being recorded and before the frogs are released. The release program must be implemented. | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Construction &, Operation if triggered | Y | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX |
| B19 | In the event that the release of Green and Golden Bell Frogs from the captive breeding program is unsuccessful, the Proponent must investigate translocation from an alternate population. Any translocation would require licensing under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974. | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Construction, operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX |
| B20 | Except as may be provided by an EPL, the SSI must be constructed and operated to comply with section 120 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, which prohibits the pollution of waters. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction, construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Non- Compliant | CDS- |

| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|----------|---|
| CX M5 AT | Further offsets will be provided in accordance with this condition if required. |
| CX M5 AT | A program for the release of Green and Golden Bell Frogs will be developed as required by this condition should the existing population become extinct. |
| CX M5 AT | Options for translocation will be investigated should the release of Green and Golden Bell Frogs required in the case of condition B18 be unsuccessful. |
| DS-JV | The project is being designed and constructed to avoid water pollution. A Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005) has been approved as part of the CEMP and is being implemented for construction. The CSWQSP includes environmental measures to control and manage construction water (Section 7). |
| | All discharges to waterways are required to be in accordance with the Manage Soil and Water Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0035) and the Water Quality Plan & Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0027) to meet the requirements of the project EPLs (#20772 and #4627). |
| | There was an incident on 23 November 2017 in which grouting drill fluid was observed in a plume in Cooks River. EPA issued a Warning Letter on 22 December 2017 (outside of the reporting period). |
| | This is deemed non-compliant with B20 due as the EPA warning letter indicated a breach of L1.1 which requires compliance with s120 of the POEO. |

WestConnex New M5

| E | 21 | | All activities taking place in, on or under waterfront land, as defined in the Water Management Act 2000 should be conducted generally in accordance with the Guidelines for Controlled Activities on Waterfront Land (DPI, 2012). | Υ | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Pre-construction, construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement has been addressed in detailed design and Sections 5.7 and 7.1 of the approved CSWQSP (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0005) and the approved Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007). Compliance with this condition and the requirements of the CSWQSP and CFFSP are monitored through regular inspection and audit. No non-compliances have occurred for this condition in the reporting period. |
|---|----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|-----|------------------|-----------|--------|---|
| E | 22 | | Watercourse crossings, including temporary work platforms, waterway crossings and/or coffer dams, where feasible and reasonable, must be consistent with the NSW Guidelines for Controlled Activities Watercourse Crossings (DPI, 2012), Why do Fish Need to Cross the Road? Fish Passage Requirements for Waterway Crossings (Fairfull and Witheridge, 2003), Policy and Guidelines for Fish Friendly Waterway Crossings (NSW Fisheries February, 2004), and Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management (DPI Fisheries, 2013). Where multiple cell culverts are proposed for crossings of fish habitat streams, at least one cell must be provided for fish passage, with an invert or bed level that mimics watercourse flows. | Ν | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement has been addressed in detailed design and Sections 5.7 and 7.1 of the approved CSWQSP (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005). Watercourse crossings will be installed across Alexandra Canal and the McDonaldtown Stormwater Channel at Munni Street, both part of the Local Roads upgrade works. Drainage works will also impact on Alexandra Canal and Wolli Creek at Kingsgrove. Any proposed watercourse crossings, work platforms, temporary crossings or coffer dams will be designed in accordance with the referenced documents. No construction works that trigger this condition have commenced in the reporting period. Compliance with this condition will be reported in subsequent quarterly compliance reports. |
| | 23 | (a) | A Flood Mitigation Strategy must be prepared and implemented in respect of the flood prone land and overland flow paths for the waterways and catchments in the vicinity of the SSI. The Flood Mitigation Strategy must be designed to ensure that the SSI, where feasible and reasonable, does not worsen existing flooding characteristics in the vicinity of the SSI during construction and operation. The Flood Mitigation Strategy must include but not be limited to: the identification of flood risks to the SSI and adjoining areas, including further modelling and the consideration of local drainage catchment assessments, and climate change implications on rainfall and drainage characteristics. This must consider blockages of waterway structures from floating debris in its flood level modelling; | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to commencement of works which have been identified in the documents listed in condition A2(b) and A2(c) as potentially increasing flood levels or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary | N | 25/05/2016 | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS-JV | A Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS) has been prepared that outlines the project approach to flood mitigation in accordance with this condition. The FMS was provided to the DPI (Water) and OEH, Sydney Water and the following councils on 3/05/2016 for consultation: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury The FMS is supported by separate Flood Mitigation Plans that detail the design outcomes and specific mitigation measures to be applied to individual sites to meet this condition. The FMS was submitted to DP&E and councils on 25/05/16. DP&E requested (meeting on 28/06/16) that one additional week of consultation should be undertaken on the FMS with the relevant councils. Therefore the revised plan was provided to the following councils on 3/08/16 for additional consultation: - Georges River |
| E | 23 | (b) | a floor level survey to verify whether inundation would be above the floor levels of residential, commercial and/or industrial buildings; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Hurstville Inner West Marrickville Rockdale City of Sydney |
| E | 23 | (c) | the identification of design and mitigation measures that would be implemented to protect proposed operations; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | City of Botany Bay Canterbury The flood modelling reports are currently beng updated to |
| E | 23 | (d) | not worsen existing flooding characteristics within and in the vicinity of the SSI boundary during construction and operation, including soil erosion and scouring; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | include additional ground and floor level surveys. This will allow the FMS to be updated. It is expected that the Stage 1 (revised) & Stage 2 FMS will be submitted to DP&E for approval in Feb 2018. |
| E | 23 | (e) | consideration of limiting flooding characteristics to the following levels: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


WestConnex New M5



SAMSUN

SAMSUNG C&T

| B2: | 3 | | a maximum increase in inundation time of one hour in a 1 in 100 year ARI rainfall event; | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| B2: | 3 | | (ii) a maximum increase of 10 mm in inundation at properties where floor levels are currently exceeded in a 1 in 100 year ARI rainfall event; | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | | (iii) a maximum increase of 50 mm in inundation at properties where floor levels would not be exceeded in a 1 in 100 year ARI rainfall event; and | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | | (iv) no inundation of floor levels which are currently not inundated in a 1 in 100 year ARI rainfall event, | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | | or else provide alternative flood mitigation solutions consistent with the intent of these limits; | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | (f) | the processes and actions committed to in the mitigation measures referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c); | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | (g) | the identification of measures to be implemented to minimise scour and dissipate energy at locations where flood velocities are predicted to increase as a result of the SSI and cause localised soil erosion or scour; | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | (h) | reconsideration of the proposed flood storage along Marsh Street with the intent of incorporating the flood storage requirements of the SSI into the proposed flood storage for the Cooks Cove development | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | (i) | identification of drainage system upgrades including those upgrades considered as mitigation measures and identified during the processes outlined in condition B29; and | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | (j) | identification of the timing and maintenance responsibility of any necessary works. | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | | The Flood Mitigation Strategy must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person in consultation with directly affected landowners, Sydney Water, OEH, and relevant councils. | | | | | | |
| B2: | 3 | | The Flood Mitigation Strategy must be peer reviewed and confirmed as meeting the requirements of this condition by a suitably qualified and experienced independent hydrological engineer. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| B23 | | The Flood Mitigation Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary and the relevant council(s) prior to the commencement of works which have been identified in the documents listed in condition A2(b) and A2(c) as potentially increasing flood levels, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----|
| B24 | | All relevant information must be provided to the relevant council and/or NSW State Emergency Service, to assist in the preparation of any new or necessary update(s) to the relevant plans and documents in relation to flooding, to reflect changes in flooding levels, flows and characteristics as a result of the SSI. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS |
| B25 | | Unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, a Flood Review Report(s) must be prepared within three months after the first defined flood event for any of the following flood magnitudes – the 5 year ARI event, 20 year ARI event, 100 year ARI event and probable maximum flood - to assess the actual flood impact against those predicted in Appendix P of the document referred to in condition A2(b). The Flood Review Report(s) must be prepared by an appropriately qualified person(s) and include: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Subsequent to first defined flood | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS |
| вир | (a) | infrastructure affected by flooding during the reportable event; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | Once finalised, the Flood Mitigation Strategy and relevant flood information will be provided to the NSW State Emergency Service and the following councils: • City of Sydney, • Georges River Council, • Inner West Council, • City of Botany Bay Council, • Rockdale City Council, • Canterbury-Bankstown City Council. |
| CDS-JV | This requirement relates to post-construction flood impacts and will therefore be reported in the relevant operational compliance report subsequent to the identified flood event. |

| | P • • • • • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------|---|
| B25 | (b) | a comparison of the actual extent, level, velocity and duration of the flooding event against the impacts predicted in Appendix P of the document referred to in condition A2(b), or as otherwise altered by the Flood Mitigation Strategy; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B25 | (c) | where the actual extent and level of flooding exceeds the predicted level with the consequent effect of adversely impacting of property(ies), structures and infrastructure, identification of the measures to be implemented to reduce future impacts of flooding related to the SSI works including the timing and responsibilities for implementation. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B25 | | Flood mitigation measures must be developed in consultation with the affected property/structure/infrastructure owners, OEH and the relevant council. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B25 | | A copy of the Flood Mitigation Report(s) must be submitted to the Secretary and relevant council(s) within one month of finalising the report(s). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B26 | | The Proponent must take all feasible and reasonable measures to limit operational groundwater inflows into each tunnel to no greater than one litre per second across any given kilometre. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Ν | Prior to finalisation of tunnel design Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | C |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | Feasible and reasonable measures to limit operational groundwater inflows have been addressed in the following |
| | reports, which are now finalised: - Tunnel Water Resisting Lining Systems - Tunnel Groundwater Control Systems |
| | - Tunnel Ground Improvement Grouting. ER: A review of the the extent to which the proposed grouting meets the reasonable and feasible requirement of this condition was reported on by the ER on 23 August 2017. It was considered, on the basis of the information provided to the ER by CDSJV, that the reasonable and feasible requirements of this condition had been satisfied. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Revision 02 |

| 327 | | The Proponent must undertake further modelling of groundwater drawdown, tunnel inflows and saline water migration prior to finalising the design of the tunnel and undertaking any works that would impact on groundwater flows or levels. The modelling must be undertaken in consultation with DPI (Water) and include the results of at least 12 months of current baseline groundwater monitoring data. The results of the modelling must be documented in a Groundwater Modelling Report. The Groundwater Modelling Report must be finalised in accordance with the Australian Groundwater Modelling Guidelines (National Water Commission, 2012) and prepared in consultation with DPI (Water). The Groundwater Modelling Report must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Prior to finalisation of tunnel design | N | 3/02/2017 | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | |
|------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----|------------------|-----------|--|
| 327 327 | (a) (b) | justification for layer choice; specification of matrix hydraulic and storage parameters for each layer | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (c) | statistical evaluation of the model's | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (d) | calibration; details of the groundwater monitoring data inputs (levels and quality); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (e) | details of the proposed groundwater model update and validation as additional data is collected; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (f) | assessment of impacts of groundwater drawdown, taking into consideration the NSW Aquifer Interference Policy (DPI, 2012), including potential impacts on licensed bores and groundwater dependent ecosystems; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (g) | a comparison of the results with the modelling results detailed in the document referred to in condition A2(b); and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | (h) | documentation of any additional measures that would be implemented to manage and/or mitigate groundwater impacts not previously identified or identified but at a smaller scale. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | | A copy of the Groundwater Modelling Report must be submitted to the Secretary prior to finalising the tunnel design. The Groundwater Modelling Report must include details of consultation with DPI (Water) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

This condition is addressed in the Hydrogeological Design Report M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1525.

Comments received from DPI Water in September 2016 were addressed in Rev K of the report, issued in October 2016. The final report was submitted to DP&E 3/02/2017. Comments have been received from DP&E and the report has been updated and resubmitted to DP&E and DPI Water on 4/05/2017. Further comments from DP&E were received on 10/5/17 with a response provided 30/5/17. Letter received from DPI on 28/6/17 advising that DPI is satisfied with the Groundwater Modelling Report.

ER: A review of the the extent to which the proposed grouting meets the reasonable and feasible requirement of this condition was reported on by the ER on 23 August 2017. It was considered, on the basis of the information provided to the ER by CDSJV, that the reasonable and feasible requirements of this condition had been satisfied.

| B28 | | A Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program must be prepared and implemented to monitor and avoid or mitigate impacts on surface and groundwater quality and resources, during construction and operation. The Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program must be developed in consultation with DPI (Water), Sydney Water and relevant councils, and must include, but not be limited | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to construction | Y | 8/06/2016 | 3/08/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | C |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---|
| B28 | (a) | to: identification of works and activities during construction and operation of the SSI, including tunnel discharge, runoff, emergencies and spill events, that have the potential to impact on groundwater quality, levels or potentiometric pressure (in confined aquifers), and surface water quality of potentially affected watercourses and riparian land; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B28 | (b) | a risk management framework for evaluation of the risks to groundwater and surface water resources and dependent ecosystems as a result of groundwater inflows to the tunnels or discharges to surface water receiving environments, including definition of trigger values for contingency and ameliorative measures; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B28 | (c) | the identification of environmental management measures that would be implemented to manage impacts to surface waters and groundwater during construction and operation, including water treatment, erosion and sediment control and stormwater management measures consistent with Water Sensitive Urban Design measures, where relevant, and consistent with the measures detailed in the documents listed in conditions A2(b) and A2(c); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B28 | (d) | details of construction water treatment plants and the operational water treatment plants, including treatment processes, discharge water quality criteria (taking into consideration any water uses and proposed rehabilitation measures downstream of the discharge locations), discharge locations and rates (and justification for their location), treatment capacity, and any proposed on-site storage of flows; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS




SAMSUN


SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV A Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (WQP∓ M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0027) has been prepared for the construction phase of works and has been provided to the following stakeholders for consultation on 3/5/2016: • DPI (Water) Inner West Council • City of Sydney Council Hurstville Council • City of Botany Bay Council • Rockdale City Council • Canterbury City Council • Sydney Water (provided 14/06/16) The WQP&MP was approved by DP&E on 3/08/2016, subject to further consultation with DPI Water and submission of the Groundwater Baseline Report. Revision 5 of the WQP&MP was submitted to DP&E on 9/12/2016. Revision 5 of the WQP&MP was provided to Sydney Water, DPI and councils on 3/01/2017. The Groundwater Baseline Report was submitted to DP&E on 5/10/16 and was resubmitted 14/12/2016 to address DP&E comments. Additional DP&E comments were provided 24/02/2017 and are being reviewed at the time of this report. Three surface water monitoring events have been conducted in the reporting period in accordance with the WQP&MP. The first 6-monthly groundwater monitoring event for the construction phase occurred 24 November - 19 December 2016. The second groundwater monitoring event occured in June 2017. All water quality monitoring results will be reported annually in accordance with the WQP&MP. The first annual report is expected to be completed in November 2017. ER: A review of the implementation of the Program undertaken by the ER on 28 Sep found the Program was being implemented


| B28 | (e) | commitment to designing discharge points into watercourses affected by the SSI to emulate a natural stream system, where feasible and reasonable, or where emulation cannot be achieved, adequate scour protection measures are to be implemented; | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| B28 | (f) | consideration of any naturalisation or rehabilitation programs occurring upstream or downstream of waterways or drainage lines intersected by the SSI, including the Wolli Creek Riparian Corridor Management Plan; | | | | |
| B28 | (g) | the presentation of water quality objectives, standards, environmental values and parameters against which any changes to water quality will be assessed, based on the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand and the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council, 2000). Where alternate guidelines are used to establish water quality objectives (including the levels for protection of aquatic ecosystems in receiving waters), justification for this must be provided. In particular, justification must be provided for the classification of waterways as 'highly disturbed' versus 'slightly to moderately disturbed' receiving environments; | | | | |
| B28 | (h) | details on the current water quality, including at least 12 months of representative background monitoring data (including but not limited to representative data collected by the relevant councils, agencies and organisations where readily available) for surface and groundwater quality, levels and potentiometric pressures (in confined aquifers), to establish baseline water conditions prior to the commencement of construction; | | | | |
| B28 | (i) | monitoring of the quality of discharges from construction and operational water treatment plants; | | | | |



| INCH | οπ. r | 10.0 | | | | | | |
|------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| B28 | (j) | identification of construction and operational phase surface water and groundwater monitoring locations including watercourses and waterbodies which are representative of the potential extent of impacts from the SSI, including the relevant analytes and frequency of monitoring; | | | | | | |
| B28 | (k) | groundwater monitoring must be able to demonstrate that groundwater discharge quality is consistent with supporting the water quality objectives defined in accordance with B28(g) and include, but not be limited to - | | | | | | |
| B28 | | (iii) sites in the vicinity of Bardwell Park (to confirm groundwater quality), | | | | | | |
| B28 | | (iv) inside and outside the cut-off wall at the Alexandria Landfill, | | | | | | |
| B28 | | (v) monitoring of groundwater levels at Stotts Reserve, southern bank of Wolli Creek behind the Wolli Creek station and forested areas along Bardwell Creek to ascertain potential impacts on groundwater dependent ecosystems, and | | | | | | |
| B28 | | monitoring of drawdown along the alignment of the tunnels; | | | | | | |
| B28 | (I) | details on the condition and status of licensed bores likely to be impacted by the SSI; | | | | | | |
| B28 | (m) | commitment to a minimum monitoring period of three years following the completion of construction or until the affected waterways and/or groundwater resources are certified by a suitably qualified and experienced independent expert as being rehabilitated to an acceptable condition, unless otherwise approved or directed by the Secretary. The monitoring must also confirm the establishment of operational water control measures (such as sedimentation basins and vegetation swales); | | | | | | |
| B28 | (n) | details of how the potential impact of discharges on receiving waters would be avoided or minimised, including design and operational measures incorporated into the SSI to protect water quality and, where feasible and reasonable, enhance water quality over time; | | | | | | |



| 1.01 | | NO. 5 | | | | | |
|------|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| B28 | (0) | contingency and ameliorative measures in the event that adverse impacts to water quality or groundwater flows, levels or potentiometric pressures (in confined aquifers) are identified, with reference to the impact triggers defined in accordance with B28(b); | | | | | |
| B28 | (q) | identification of and commitment to 'make good' provisions for groundwater users to be implemented in the event of a decline in water supply levels, quality and quantity from existing bores associated with groundwater changes from either construction and/or ongoing operational dewatering caused by the SSI; | | | | | |
| B28 | (q) | procedures for monitoring of streambed fracturing; | | | | | |
| B28 | (r) | procedures for monitoring and annual reporting of extracted groundwater volumes to DPI (Water) for a minimum monitoring period of three years following completion of construction, unless otherwise approved or directed by the Secretary; and | | | | | |
| B28 | (s) | procedures for annual reporting of the monitoring results to the Secretary, DPI (Water), and the relevant councils. | | | | | |
| B28 | | The Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction of the SSI, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. A copy of the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program must be submitted to the DPI (Water), Sydney Water and relevant councils prior to its implementation. | | | | | |
| B28 | | Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing separate Water Quality and Monitoring Programs for the construction and operational stages of the SSI. Where a separate Water Quality and Monitoring Program is prepared for the operation of the SSI, this must be submitted to the Secretary for approval at least six months prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| B29 | | The Proponent must undertake further hydrological and hydraulic modelling based on the detailed design of the SSI to determine the ability of the receiving drainage systems to effectively convey pavement drainage from the SSI once operational. The modelling must be undertaken in consultation with the relevant council(s) and the outcomes documented in a Stormwater Drainage Report. The Stormwater Drainage Report must: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to drainage works | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | C |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----------|---|
| B29 | (a) | confirm the location, size and capacity of all drainage basin structures associated with the operation of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (b) | assess the potential impacts of pavement drainage discharges from the SSI drainage systems on the receiving environment including the hydrology (water quality and quantity) of receiving waterways, riparian vegetation, aquatic ecology and property; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (c) | identify all feasible and reasonable mitigation measures to be implemented where pavement drainage from the SSI drainage systems is predicted to adversely impact on the receiving environment; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (d) | where pavement drainage from the SSI flows to a council stormwater drainage system, confirm the location of the cross drainage point and, where available, use drainage information obtained from the relevant council, to – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (d) | (i) confirm the capacity of the council's drainage system and its ability to receive and convey the flows, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (d) | (ii) identify any consequent upstream and downstream impacts on cross drainage infrastructure capacity, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (d) | (iii) assess the impacts on the receiving environment at the final outflow point resulting from any additional flow volume (including, but not limited to, scour, flooding, water quality impacts, and impacts on riparian vegetation, aquatic ecology and property), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (d) | identify all feasible and reasonable mitigation measures to be implemented where increased flows through cross drainage systems adversely impact on council drainage infrastructure and the receiving environment; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B29 | (e) | set out a clear time frame for the implementation of mitigation measures. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DRAGADOS



SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

The Stormwater Drainage Report has been developed in consultation with Sydney Water and the relevant Councils. The Stormwater Drainage Report was submitted to DP&E on 12/05/2017, prior to the commencement of the relevant new or modified operational drainage works.

The flood modelling reports are currently beng updated to include additional ground and floor level surveys. This will allow the Stormwater Drainage Report to be updated and submitted to DPE for approval in Feb 2018.

| B29 | | The Stormwater Drainage Report must be submitted to the Secretary prior to the commencement of any new operational drainage works, modifications to existing stormwater drainage works, or construction of hard surfaces associated with the operation of the SSI that would result in runoff to existing or new stormwater drainage systems, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|------------|-----------|---|-------------------|----|
| B30 B30 | (a) | The Proponent must prepare a Water Reuse Strategy which sets out feasible and reasonable options for the reuse of collected stormwater and groundwater during construction and operation of the SSI. The Water Reuse Strategy must include, but not be limited to: evaluation of all feasible and reasonable | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Prior to tunnelling works | Y | 15/09/2016 | 3/11/2016 | 24/11/2016 (Construction- phase Strategy) | Non- compliant | CD |
| B30 | (b) | reuse options; details on the preferred reuse option(s), including volumes of water to be reuse, proposed reuse locations and/or activities, proposed treatment (if required), and any additional licences or approvals that may be required; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B30 | (c) | a time frame for the implementation of the preferred reuse option(s). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B30 | | Justification must be provided in the event that it is concluded that no feasible or reasonable reuse options prevail. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B30 | | A copy of the Water Reuse Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to commencement of tunnelling works. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B30 | | Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing separate Water Reuse Strategies for the construction and operational phases of the SSI. Where a separate Strategy is prepared for the operation of the SSI, this must be submitted to the Secretary for approval at least six months prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5 DRAGADOS CPB SAMSUNG C&T CDS-JV The Water Reuse Strategy is being prepared in 2 stages, ie for construction and operation. The Water Reuse Strategy for construction has been conditionally approved by DP&E on 3/11/2016. An updated Strategy was submitted to DP&E on 24/11/2016 to address the conditions. The Strategy was updated in September 2017 to address opportunities for water reuse at the Local Roads construction compounds/sites and the use of treated groundwater, via WTP's, for tunnelling activities. ER: While committing in Table 6 of the Strategy to ascertain whether the treated groundwater from the WTPs can replace potable water currently used in tunnelling operations, a report or documentation of the outcomes of this investigation is not yet evident. As the Strategy dates from November 2016 the ER considers CDSJV to be noncompliant with the Strategy and also the Condition as a whole, given sufficient time has passed since the approval of the Strategy for this investigation to have been completed and documented and considering that the potential re-use of groundwater is a significant issue in the context of water reuse on the Project. Updated Water Reuse Strategy provided to the ER in October 2017 for review and endorsement. Comments provided by ER regarding the re-use of groundwater. Memo to be produced, at the request of the ER, to detail the investigation undertaken by the Project into the potential re-use of groundwater in 2017 to satisfy the requirements of the reasonable and feasible test. Draft memo provided to ER in December 2017 and comments provided. Final memo to be finalised in Q1 2018 and an updated Water Reuse Strategy to be provided to DPE for final approval **following** its review by the ER. The operational phase Water Reuse Strategy will be submitted to DP&E at least six months prior to the commencement of operation.

| 831 | Prior to the commencement of any activities that would result in the disturbance of land and/or soil, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, in areas identified as having a moderate to high risk of contamination, a Soil Contamination Report must be prepared by a suitably qualified person(s) in accordance with the requirements of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 and associated guidelines, detailing the outcomes of Phase 2 contamination investigations within these areas. The Soil Contamination Report must detail, where relevant, whether the land is suitable (for the intended land use) or can be made suitable through remediation and/or outline the potential contamination risks from the SSI to human health and receiving waterways. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CC |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|-------------------|-----|---------|-----------|----|
| B31 | For land to be disturbed by the SSI, where the investigations identify that the site is suitable for the intended operations and that there is no need for a specific remediation strategy, measures to identify, handle and manage potential contaminated soils, materials and groundwater must be identified in the Soil Contamination Report and incorporated into the Construction Environmental Management Plan, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. Should a remediation strategy be required, the Soil Contamination Report must include a Remediation Action Plan for addressing the disturbed area, and how the environmental and human health risks will be managed during the disturbance, remediation and/or removal of contaminated soil or groundwater. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | Ν | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CE |
| B31 | If remediation is required, the Soil Contamination Report must be accompanied by a Site Audit Statement(s), prepared by an accredited Site Auditor under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997, verifying that the disturbed area has been or can be remediated to a standard consistent with the intended land use. Where land is remediated, a final Site Audit Statement(s) must be prepared by an accredited Site Auditor, certifying that the contaminated disturbed areas have been remediated to a standard consistent with the intended land use. The final Site Audit Statement must be submitted to the Secretary and relevant councils prior to operation of the SSI, unless otherwise agreed to by the Secretary. | ? | Y | ? | ? | ? | ? | Y | ? | ? | Pre-operation | Ν | Not yet submitted | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CE |

| W | ast | Co | nn | AX | New | ME |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-------|------|
| | 030 | 00 | | GA | INCAN | IVIC |



c SI

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV Soil Contamination Reports are being progressively completed for the project in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 and associated guidelines to meet the requirements of condition B31. Compounds and other construction sites identified as having a medium to high risk of contamination are identified in Appendix B of the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan. Soil Contamination Reports (for Phase 2 site investigations) have been prepared for the following sites: - Construction compounds (C1-C4, C7, C8 and C11), - St Peters Interchange, various sites, - Local Road upgrades, various sites. Where remediation is required Remediation Action Plans (RAP) are also being prepared prior to commencement of works that would disturb the land/soil to be remediated. RAPs are being reviewed to produce site audit statements by both Chris Jewell and Rod Harwood, accredited site auditors. RAPs have been prepared for the following sites: - Alexandria Landfill - 25-29 Burrows Rd, St Peters CDS-JV - 32-34 Burrows Rd, St Peters - 33 Burrows Rd and 53-57 Campbell Rd, St Peters - 197 Euston Rd, Alexandria - Kingsgrove Construction Compound. No ground is being disturbed until Soil Contamination Reports are complete and where required RAPs are complete. CDS-JV

| B32 B32 B32 | (a) | The Proponent must submit a copy of the final Landfill Closure Management Plan to the Secretary prior to the commencement of any closure or construction works at Lot 2 DP 1168612, 10-16 Albert Street, St Peters (the Alexandria Landfill). The Plan must be accompanied by a statement which sets out where the following have been addressed in the Landfill Closure Management Plan: the environmental and monitoring framework to be implemented following the cessation of waste disposal and material recycling activities at the Alexandria Landfill and associated waste recycling and transfer facility; | N | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | Pre-Construction | N | 2/06/2016 | N/A | 2/06/2016 | Compliant | CDS-J\ |
|-------------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|-----------|-----|-----------|-----------|--------|
| 032 | (b) | for use of the site as a waste storage and recycling facility; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 B32 | (c) (d) | the proposed future use of the site; the closure and stabilisation of the site including details of final capping designs and future landform; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 | (e) | a groundwater monitoring bore network, to monitor the movement of groundwater within and immediately outside the cut-off wall; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 | (f) | material tracking; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 | (g) | occupational health and safety requirements; | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| B32 B32 | (h) (i) | community engagement processes; specific measures for the management, monitoring and reporting of; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 B32 B32 | | (i) dust and odour; (ii) asbestos; (iii) leachate and gases; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 B32 | (j) | stormwater; and any outstanding clean-up notices, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 | (k) | evidence that the EPA has reviewed the Landfill Closure Management Plan and has no outstanding concerns. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B32 | | Where any of the above details have not been included in the final Landfill Closure Management Plan, then the Proponent must provide the details in the statement accompanying the plan required by this condition. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B33 | | The Proponent must not destroy, modify or otherwise physically affect any heritage items, including human remains, outside of the SSI footprint. This approval does not allow the Proponent to harm, modify, or otherwise impact human remains uncovered during the construction and operation of the SSI. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-J |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CDS-JV | A Landfill Closure Management Plan has been prepared by Golder Associates Pty Ltd. The plan was reviewed and endorsed by the EPA on 27 May 2016. The Plan and required statement was submitted to DPE on 2 June 2016. |
| | An update to the plan was provided to DPE on 11/8/17 to include an Odour Management Protocol as directed by DPE via letter dated 13/7/17. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | Measures to prevent damage to heritage items have been incorporated into Section 6 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). These include: • heritage items to be shown on Site Environment Plans (SEPs), • delineation of heritage items/areas on site, • avoiding or monitoring use of vibratory equipment in |
| | proximity to heritage items training/awareness of unexpected heritage finds procedure. |


| B34 | The Proponent must salvage sections of the laminated timber from the Rudders Bond Store prior to demolition of the building and assess options for its reuse within the project area at St Peters and maximise its use within the operational facilities. The sections to be salvaged must be determined in consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW (or its delegate). The Proponent must submit to the Secretary written advice from the Heritage Council of NSW that it is satisfied with the proposed level of salvage, prior to the building being demolished. | Ν | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | Construction | Y | 20/09/2016 | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|-----|------------------|-----------|-----|

| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|--------|---|
| | Measures identified in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan are being implemented. |
| CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The OEH as delegate of the Heritage Council of NSW has been consulted in regards to salvage requirements (information provided for review 2/08/2016). OEH have provided their satisfaction with the approach outlined for salvage and reuse of items from the Rudders Bond Store for the project (letter ref: SF15/43038, dated 19/09/2016). A copy of this letter was submitted to DP&E on 20/09/2016. Demolition / deconstruction works were completed as of May 2017. Ten whole beams have been salvaged to date for re-use on the project. A further 6 beams have been salvaged for City of Sydney. Items to be reused within the project area at St Peters will |
| | salvaged for City of Sydney. |

WestConnex New M5

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|------------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|---|
| Quarterly Report: I | y Construction Complia | nce | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| B35 | The Proponent must salvage items and materials from heritage items as advised by an independent heritage consultant. The list of items and materials to be salvaged must be developed in consultation with the relevant council(s) and submitted to the Secretary for consideration prior to demolition of any heritage items. How the items are reused in the project is to be detailed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan required by condition B61. Any residual items and materials are to be made available, through a process to be developed by the Proponent in consultation with the relevant council(s), to property owners within the locality from where the material originated. | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Pre-construction Construction | Y | 8/11/2016 | 8/12/2016; 22/12/2016 | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS-JV | The requirement to salvage heritage items/materials is addressed in Section 6.2 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Reuse of items will be described in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan. Salvage reports have been developed in consultation with Inner West Council for 28-44 & 82 Campbell St St Peters. These reports have been considered by DPE. Materials from these properties have been salvaged in accordance with the salvage reports. Work is progressing to incorporate salvaged items into the Urban Design and Landscape Plan. A process has previously been developed and enacted in consultation with Inner West Council to distribute residual items to property owners within the locality. |
| B36 | Except for necessary stabilisation or maintenance works agreed in consultation with the Secretary, the Proponent must not destroy, modify or otherwise physically affect the Service Garage located at 316 Princes Highway, St Peters | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | The property is being protected from indirect damage through the measures outlined in Section 6 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0006), including no-go zone fencing and vibration monitoring as required. |
| B37 | Identified impacts to heritage items and heritage conservation areas must be minimised through both detailed design and construction. The measures for ensuring this are to be detailed in the Construction Heritage Management Plan required by condition D68(c). | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement has been addressed through detailed design and is also addressed in Section 6.2 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0006). All impacts on heritage items and conservation areas have been avoided where possible in both the construction and operational footprint and design. |
| B38 | Prior to conducting acoustic treatment at any heritage items in accordance with this approval, the Proponent must obtain and implement the advice of an appropriately qualified and experienced heritage expert to ensure such work is carried out in a manner sympathetic to the heritage values of the item. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Non- Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). An audit of the Heritage Management Plan undertaken by the ER on 7th September 2017 found that heritage advice had not been sought in relation to acoustic treatment at heritage propertries on Campbell St. St. Peters undertaken during the reporting period (this has since been rectified with those properties having since had a Statement of Heritage Impact prepared and works signed off by the heritage consultant). |

| B39 | | Any buildings or structures identified as potential heritage items in the documents | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
|------------|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----------|----|
| | | listed in conditions A2(b) and A2(c) or identified during detailed design or construction of the SSI, must be dealt with as though they are a locally listed heritage item. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B40 B40 | (a) | The Proponent must prepare a Heritage Interpretation Plan which identifies and interprets the key heritage values and stories of heritage items and heritage conservation areas impacted by the SSI. The Heritage Interpretation Plan must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Construction | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CD |
| 840 | | stories and messages proposed to interpret the history and significance of the affected heritage items and sections of heritage conservation areas including, but not limited to, St Peters Brickpit Geological site, the Alexandra Canal, Terraces at 28-44 and 82 Campbell Street and the Rudders Bond Store; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 840 | (b) | identification and confirmation of interpretive initiatives implemented to mitigate impacts to archaeological relics, heritage items and conservation areas affected by the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B40 | | The Heritage Interpretation Plan must be prepared in consultation with the NSW Heritage Council and the relevant local councils. A copy of the Plan must be provided to the NSW Heritage Council, the relevant local councils and the Secretary at least six months prior to the operation of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| B41 | The Proponent must compile photographic records of those parts of the Alexandra Canal to be impacted by the construction of stormwater drainage works both prior to and post the works being undertaken. The photographs taken prior to the works must be included in the Construction Heritage Management Plan required under condition D68(c) and referred to when reinstating the bricks of the canal embankment to ensure that they are correctly replaced. The pre- and post-works photographs must be made available to the Heritage Council of NSW and the Secretary on request. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Photographic records will be obtained prior to any impact from drainage works on Alexandra Canal. These records will be included in an update to the Construction Heritage Sub- Plan once obtained. Subsequent records will also be obtained as required to ensure appropriate reinstatement. A photographic survey has been undertaken of the Canal banking. The Archival Report is being compiled at the time of reporting with photos to be included in the Construction Heritage Management Plan. |
|-------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| B42 | The Properties of the ended. The Properties that appoint an appropriately qualified and experienced heritage expert to oversee the removal and reinstatement of sections of the embankment wall of the Alexandra Canal affected by the construction of stormwater drainage points. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The project's heritage specialists, Extent Heritage have been engaged to provide advice and oversight of works affecting Alexandra Canal. The relevant works at this site have not yet commenced. |
| B43 B43 B43 | (a) the performance of the road network for all road users, including but not limited to vehicles, freight, public transport and active transport; and (b) existing access arrangements and services for all road users, including consideration of speed and reliability of public transport services. | | Y | | Y | | Y | Y | | Y | Design | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Detailed design is being undertaken in consideration of all Conditions of Approval, including the requirement to improve existing traffic performance and access arrangements. The road performance standards for the project have been nominated by WCX M5 AT and CDS-JV is designing the project in accordance with these standards. Access arrangements are captured in the local road and property adjustment documentation which comply with this requirement. Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report and are confirmed during independent certification. |
| B44 | The SSI must be designed to not preclude delivery of the King Street Gateway Project. Consultation with the relevant council(s) must be undertaken during detailed design of the SSI to facilitate integration of the two projects. Current traffic modelling and assessment, and the result of the Road Network Performance Review Plan as required in condition E40 where applicable, must be provided to the relevant authority and used in the development of the King Street Gateway Project. | Y | Y | | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design | Ν | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | RMS met with Councils to discuss the final concept design plans for King Street Gateway Project on 27 April and 2 May 2017. Councils and RMS have endorsed the Concept Design layouts for the King Street Gateway. Consultation with Councils is ongoing in relation to the detailed design. The Road Network Performance Review Plan (M5N-CDS-MNP-100-100-MG-1865) will incorporate and report on the requirements of this condition including consultation with relevant councils. |
| B45 | Where bus stops are required to be temporarily closed during construction, such closure must not occur until: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Design | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | A bus stop along Euston Road Nortbound south of Maddox Street has been relocated north of Maddox Street. This Bus Stop relocation is part of long term plan for Euston Road |

WestConnex New M5



SAMSUNG C&T

| B45 | (a) | for bus stops identified for relocation in the documents referred to in condition A2(b), relocated bus stops are functioning, have similar capacity and are relocated within a 400 metre walking distance of the existing bus stop (where feasible and reasonable); or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|------|
| B45 | (b) | for bus stops identified for temporary removal in the documents referred to in condition A2(b), bus stops are identified that are within a 400 metre walking distance of the removed bus stop (where feasible and reasonable), have comparable capacity, and are on the same route and in the same direction of the closed bus stop. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B45 | | Where temporary closures of bus stops are required (including relocation or removal), adequate wayfinding signage shall be provided directing commuters to adjacent or relocated bus stops. Any closures or alterations to bus stops during construction are to be undertaken in consultation with Transport for NSW. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B46 | | All bus stops temporarily removed or relocated during construction of the SSI must be reinstated in a manner that provides equal or improved capacity and accessibility in consultation with Transport for NSW and relevant councils prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Prior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS- |
| B47 | | To improve pedestrian and cycle accessibility, road lane widths, associated medians and intersection geometry are to be minimised, where feasible and reasonable, without compromising safety | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | wcx |
| B48 | | In relation to new or modified local road, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure, the SSI (including ancillary facilities) must be designed to meet relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS- |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-----------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | stage 1 B approved under a Traffic manegement plan. In addition the Bus Stop along Euston Road Southbound, north of Maddox Street was tempoarry closed for two weeks with alternative bus stop within 400m was proposed. Consultation and approval were conducted in liaison with Transport for NSW. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| DS-JV | Reinstatement of bus stops will be undertaken in consultation with Transport for NSW and the relevant council in accordance with this condition. This requirement is addressed in Section 6 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| /CX M5 AT | Road Geometry Design Reports and the Road Design Criteria Report take this condition into consideration for optimising road alignments. Area Shared Path (Footpaths, Bicycle Paths) Design Reports further identify accessibility. |
| | Road alignment design reports are finalised and shared use path design reports were being finalised at the time of reporting. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report and are confirmed during independent certification. |
| VI-2C | RMS are reviewing the detailed design packages for the project. |
| | Design reports for each package or road geometry design address engineering and safety guidelines, including Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice, with consideration of impacts to the existing and future demand, road and pedestrian safety and traffic. |
| | Details of compliance against this CoA is provided in the compliance tables included in each design report and are confirmed during independent certification. |

| 84 | 49 | | An independent Road Safety Audit(s) is to be undertaken by an appropriately qualified and experienced person during detailed design to assess the safety performance of any new or modified local road, parking, pedestrian and cycle infrastructure provided as part of the SSI (including ancillary facilities) to ensure that they meet the requirements of relevant design, engineering and safety guidelines, including Austroads Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice. Audit findings and recommendations must be actioned prior to construction of the relevant infrastructure and must be made available to the Secretary on request. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS |
|----|----------|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| | 50 | (a) | The Proponent must undertake a Pedestrian and Cycleway Network Review . The Review must be prepared and approved by the Secretary within six months from the date of this approval (or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary) to identify pedestrian and cycle facilities that are to be provided by the Proponent as part of the SSI. The Review must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person(s) that has been approved by the Secretary. The Review must be undertaken in consultation with the relevant councils and Bicycle NSW and address the matters raised during consultation. The Review must identify (and consider), but not be limited to: current and future land use and associated pedestrian and cycle demand and needs; | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Ongoing | Compliant | wc |
| В! | 50 | (b) | pedestrian and cycle impacts associated with the project; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 50 | (c) | the King Street Gateway Project, including potential Princes Highway traffic calming initiatives; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 50 50 | (d) (e) | Alexander Canal initiatives; regional and local pedestrian and cycling strategies; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 50 | (f) | pedestrian and cycle safety, accessibility and connectivity, including the public realm; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BS | 50 | (g) | Intersection and signal phasing opportunities to reduce waiting and crossing times for pedestrians and cyclists; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 50 | (h) | provision of upgraded cycle and pedestrian facilities within 1,000 metres of the boundary of the St Peters Interchange, apart from the areas addressed in conditions B62(c) and B64; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 50 | (i) | concept designs for pedestrian and cycleway infrastructure and implementation timeframes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 50 | | The Review is also to consider the delivery of the 'M5 East Green Link' between Kingsgrove and Mascot approved as part of the M5 East Motorway project. The review shall address past constraints to the delivery of this project and options to overcome these constraints. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| W | est | Co | nn | ex | New | M5 |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-------|------|
| _ | | | | | 14044 | 1410 |



SAMSUNG C&I

| DS-JV | There were two audits undertraken during the reporting period as follows: |
|-----------|---|
| | - 30/08/2017 Campbell Road traffic Switch Roadworks (Construction) |
| | - 6/9/17 –McEvoy Street traffic switch |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| /CX M5 AT | The revised Pedestrian and Cycleway Review was approved by DPE on 23 June 2017. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Revision 02 |

| B50 | | The Review must not result in a reduced level of cycle and pedestrian infrastructure as identified in the documents referred to in condition A2, unless required by these conditions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|-----------|----|
| B51 | | A detailed Pedestrian and Cycle Implementation Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary within 12 months of the date of this approval (or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary) and implemented at the commencement of project operations, except as permitted by this approval. The strategy must be prepared in consultation with relevant councils and Bicycle NSW. The Strategy must be consistent with the approved Pedestrian and Cycleway Network Review and include: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | W |
| B51 | (a) | pedestrian and cycle engineering and safety | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (b) | standards; a safety audit of existing and proposed pedestrian and cycle facilities to address the above standards (including the shared path audit undertaken for the King Georges Road Interchange Project SSI-6547); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (c) | details of selected routes and connections to existing local and regional routes; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (d) | timing and staging of all works; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (e) | infrastructure details including lighting, safety, security and standards compliance; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (f) | signage and wayfinding ,measures; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | (g) | details of associated landscaping works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | | The Strategy shall be endorsed by a suitably qualified and experienced person(s) approved by the Secretary. The endorsement shall address each of the listed matters in this condition. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B51 | | All identified works arising from this condition are to be implemented by the Proponent. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B52 | | Waste generated outside the site must not be received at the site for storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing, or disposal on the site, except as expressly permitted by a licence or waste exemption under the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, if such a licence is required in relation to that waste. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CI |

| Maci | C 0 10 10 | Laura NAC |
|-------|-----------|-----------|
| vvest | Conn | Jew IVI5 |
| | | |

DRAGADOS



Ì

SAMSUN

-

SAMSUNG C&T

| WCX M5 AT | This requirement is addressed in Section 6 of the |
|-----------|--|
| | Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0008). No waste has been received at project sites during the reporting period. |
| | Compliance monitoring of this condition will be ongoing during Construction. |

| B53 | The reuse and/or recycling of waste materials generated on site must be maximised as far as practicable, to minimise the need for treatment or disposal of those materials off site. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|----|
| B54 | All liquid and/or non-liquid waste generated on the site must be assessed and classified in accordance with Waste Classification Guidelines (DECCW, 2009) or any superseding documents. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
| B55 | All waste materials removed from the SSI site must only be directed to a waste management facility or premises lawfully permitted to accept the materials. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
| B56 | The handling of spoil generated during construction of the SSI is to be conducted in accordance with the Spoil Management Plan required under condition D51. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
| B57 | Utilities, services and other infrastructure potentially affected by construction and operation must be identified prior to construction to determine requirements for access to, diversion, protection, and/or support. Consultation with the relevant owner and/or provider of services that are likely to be affected by the SSI must be undertaken to make suitable arrangements for access to, diversion, protection, and/or support of the affected infrastructure as required. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |

WestConnex New M5



DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

| CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Sections 1.2 and 6 of the Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008). |
|--------|---|
| | The project continues to investigate further opportunities to reduce waste and maximise recycling. |
| CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6 of Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008) and the Manage Waste Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD- 0044). |
| | This process has been implemented throughout the reporting period. |
| CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6 of Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008) and the Manage Waste Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD- 0044). |
| | This process has been implemented throughout the reporting period. |
| CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Spoil Management Plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0002-07). Revision 7 of the Spoil Management Plan was provided to DP&E in January 2017, which addresses the requirements of the conditional approval of the Plan, provided by DP&E dated 23/11/2016. Compliance monitoring undertaken in accordance with the Plan has not raised any non-compliance issues for the reporting period. Tracking of spoil transport and disposal is being undertaken in accordance with the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008) and the Spoil Management Plan. |
| CDS-JV | A number of services have been identified for relocation to date. Pre-construction utility location works have been carried out in consultation with all relevant utility providers. These include Optus, Jemena, Telstra, Ausgrid, Transgrid, NBN and Sydney Water. All relocation and protection works shall continue to be carried out in consultation with, and with approval from, the relevant stakeholders. |

| | | Westconnex New M5 |
|--|--|---|
| Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5 | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| B58The Proponent must undertake dilapidation surveys and prepare dilapidation reports on the current condition of buildings, services and utilities identified as at risk from | Y Y Y Y Y Pre-construction N N/A N/A Ongoing Compliant I | ttCDS-JVDilapidation surveys have commenced for the Project and will continue to be carried out in accordance with this condition. Properties and assets requiring dilapidation surveys have been prioritised to match the construction program.A total of 4200 properties (includes both residential and commercial) are currently identified for pre-condition survey offers, however, this number is expected to rise once all strata properties are accounted for. The following number of dilapidation surveys have been completed in each council area for the project to date: City of Botany - 66 City of Sydney - 191 Marrickville - 401 Rockdale - 341 Canterbury - 101 Hurstville - 6 Bayside - 257 Inner West - 237The Project is on schedule to provide reports to all property and asset owners prior to works that may cause settlement or vibration. |
| B59 Upon determining the access route(s) for heavy and oversized vehicles associated with the construction of the SSI and site establishment works, a suitably qualified and experienced independent expert must prepare a Local Road Dilapidation Report for those local roads within the control of the relevant councils that would be utilised. The Local Road Dilapidation Report must assess the current condition of the road and describe mechanisms to restore any damage that may result due to its use by traffic and transport related to the construction of the SSI, including site establishment works. The Local Road Dilapidation Report must be submitted to the relevant council(s) for review at least two weeks prior to the use of the local roads by heavy and/or over-sized vehicles associated with the construction of the SSI and site establishment works. A subsequent Local Road Dilapidation Report must be prepared within four weeks of the completion of construction to assess any damage to the road that may have occurred as a result of the use of the roads by heavy and/or over-sized vehicles associated with the construction of the SSI and site establishment works. Measures undertaken to restore or reinstate roads affected by the SSI must be undertaken in accordance with the reasonable requirements of the relevant council(s), including agreed timing, and at the full expense of the Proponent. Note: Notte: Notte: Notte: Notte: Nottein in these conditions restricts the Proponent commencing adjustments and minor upgrades to the existing road network to cater for construction traffic and installation of temporary project signage prior to the commencement of construction. | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Pre-construction N N/A N/A 13/05/2016 Compliant Construction | tt CDS-JV Local Road dilapidation surveys have been completed for each council area, as below: Local Road Dilapidation Report: City of Canterbury (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0001); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Hurstville Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0003); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Marrickville Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0003); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Marrickville Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0003); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Sydney City Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0004); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Sydney City Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0005); Local Road Dilapidation Report: Sydney City Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0006). The Local Road Dilapidation Report: Sydney City Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0006). The Local Road Dilapidation Report: Sydney City Council (M5N-CN-RPT-PWD-0006). The Local Road Dilapidation Reports were provided to the relevant Local Council on 13 May 2016. |

WestConnex New M5


| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Quar Repo | | / Construction Complia No. 5 | nce | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG CET |
| B60 B60 | | Within three months of the date of this approval, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must establish an Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP) to provide advice and guidance during detailed design and the preparation of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan The UDRP is to provide advice in relation to architecture, heritage values, urban and landscape design and artistic aspects of the SSI and must: | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | During this reporting period two (2) meetings of the Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP) have been held. Separate meetings of the Eastern and Western Precinct UDRP were held on 29/6/17. On 13/7/17, the NSW Government Architect provided a letter of endorsement on the Urban Design and Landscape Plan to DP&E as Panel Chair . The letters was provided on behalf of the Government and Independent members of the UDRP. |
| B60 (a B60 | a) | be comprised of- (i) representatives from the Proponent, including the Head of Urban Design, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Compliant | | |
| B60 | | (ii) where the works affect places of heritage significance, an independent heritage architect, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B60 | | (iii) two independent architects one of which is a landscape architect, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B60 | | (iv) representatives from the relevant council(s), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B60 | | (v) a maximum of two experts, relevant to the works being considered, as selected by the Proponent, where relevant, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B60 | | the NSW Government Architect as Chair; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B60 (t | b) | meet at least four times a year, or as otherwise agreed by the UDRP; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Compliant | | |
| B60 (d | c) | review and provide advice on the detailed design of the SSI and final review of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (required by condition B61); and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Compliant | | |
| B60 (d | d) | keep a record of meeting minutes and a schedule of action items arising from the meeting, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Compliant | | |
| B60 | | The Proponent may establish a separate UDRP for each precinct | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B61 (a B61 (a B61 (a B61 (a | a) a) | Prior to commencement of permanent built surface works and/or landscaping, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, an Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) must be prepared. The UDLP must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person(s), in consultation with the relevant council(s) and community, Heritage Council of NSW (or delegate), and the UDRP (condition B60). The UDLP must be approved by the Secretary. The UDLP must present an integrated urban and landscape design for the SSI, and must include, but not be limited to: identification of design objectives, principles and standards based on – (ii) local environmental and heritage values (iii) sustainable design and maintenance | N | N | N | N | Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Prior to permanent works | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS-JV | Hassel has been engaged to develop the Project's Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). In accordance with this condition, the UDLP is being submitted for the approval of the Secretary in two packages prior to the commencement of the relevant permanent built works and/or landscaping. No permanent surface works have been undertaken for the project during the reporting period. Both packages of the UDLP have been reviewed by the UDRP in accordance with B60. The first package was approved by DPE on 18/7/17. The second package was submitted to DPE on 14/7/17 with preliminary comments received on 28/7/17. Finalised comments were received from DPE on 7 August 2017. Subsequent comments were received from DPE on 27 September 2017 following DPE meetings with the UDRP. |
| B61 (a | a) | (iv) community safety, amenity and privacy, including 'safer by design' principles where relevant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Submission is expected to DPE in Feb 2018. |

| - 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|-----|--|--|--|--|----------|----------|
| B61 | (a) | (v) relevant design standards and guidelines, | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (a) | (vi) prioritising the visual amenity and values of adjoining receivers over the road user experience | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (a) | (vii) minimising the footprint of the project (including at operational facilities), and | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (a) | the urban design principles outlined in the documents referred to in conditions A2 | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (b) | landscaping and building design opportunities to mitigate the visual impacts of the operational fixed facilities (including the ventilation facilities, emergency smoke extraction outlets and the Motorway Operations Complex) in accordance with the following design considerations | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (c) | details on the location of existing vegetation and proposed landscaping (including use of endemic and advanced tree species where practicable). Details of species to be replanted/revegetated must be provided, including their appropriateness to the area and habitat for threatened species. Where feasible and reasonable, vegetation to be removed must be reused; | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (d) | a description of disturbed areas (including compounds) and details of the strategies to progressively rehabilitate, regenerate and/ or revegetate these areas; | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (e) | a description of the SSI design features, including the graphics such as sections, perspective views and sketches for key elements of the SSI; | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (f) | information on the reuse of heritage items and materials (condition B34 and B35) | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (g) | detail safe public access to the exposed sections of the former St Peters Brickpit Geological Site unless demonstrated to be impracticable for safety reasons;. | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (h) | an assessment of the location, design and impacts of operational lighting associated with the SSI and measures proposed to minimise lighting impacts; | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (i) | details of where and how recommendations from the UDRP have been incorporated into the plan | | | | | | | |
| B61 | (j) | the Pedestrian and Cycle Implementation Strategy (condition B51); | | | | | | | |
| l | | | . I | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| _ | Wes | stConnex | New M5 |
|---|-----|----------|-------------|
| | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| B61 (1) the sub-plans identified in conditions B62(s)- (f); Image: conditions B62(s) | WestConnex New M5 ORAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|---|---|
| B61 (I) the timing for implementation of access, landscaping and open space initiatives; B61 (m) monitoring and maintenance procedures for the built energy read open space initiatives; B61 (m) monitoring and maintenance procedures for the built energy read open space initiatives; B61 (m) monitoring and maintenance procedures for the built energy read open space initiatives; B61 (n) evidence of consultation with the relevant councils and the community on the proposed urban design and landscaping measures fail; and B61 (n) evidence of consultation with the relevant councils and the community on the proposed urban design and landscape measures, prior to finalisation of the Plan B61 The UDLP must be implemented within one year of operation unless otherwise required by these conditions B61 Note: B62 Note: B62 The UDLP must be submitted in stages to suit assigned construction program of the SSI or in stagest construction program o | |
| B61 (n) evidence of consultation with the relevant councils and the community on the proposed urban design and landscapie measures, prior to finalisation of the Plan Image: Council State S | |
| B61 Note: B61 • The UDLP must be implemented within one year of operation unless otherwise required by these conditions B61 • The UDLP may be submitted in stages to suit a staged construction program of the SSI or in stages to address the built elements of the SSI and landscape Plan must B62 • The UDar Design and Landscape Plan must | |
| B61 Note: B61 • The UDLP may be submitted in stages to suit a staged construction program of the SSI or in stages to address the built elements of the SSI and landscaping aspects of the SSI. B62 The Urban Design and Landscape Plan must | |
| B61 • The UDLP may be submitted in stages to suit a staged construction program of the SSI or in stages to address the built elements of the SSI and landscaping aspects of the SSI. B62 The Urban Design and Landscape Plan must Image: Construction program (Construction program (Constr | |
| | |
| include the following Sub-plans: | |
| B62 (a) a complete Read Costing Sub-plan to assit: in the management of access, and uses, community amenity and open space impacts associated with the SL. The Plan must be prepared and approved by the Sacretary within twelve months of the date of this approved. Not yet submitted Not yet complete Not yet com | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV The Campbell Road Crossing Sub-Plan was submitted by RMS to DPE for approval on 31 August 2017. Consultation between DPE and RMS was ongoing during the reporting period. |

| 1.0h | | 0.0 | | | | | | |
|------|-----|---|---------|---|--|---|--|--|
| B62 | (a) | The Plan must identify and facilitate the construction and establishment of a new land bridge over Campbell Road that is connected to, and contiguous with, the southern end of the existing Sydney Park and the proposed open space area (including active recreation facilities) to the north of the St Peters Interchange. The land bridge is to be designed to satisfy the following objectives - | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | to enrich and enhance the functionality, integration, recreational value and quality of Sydney Park | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | to provide a high quality park that is landscaped and provides a continuous flow of open space over Campbell Road | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (iii) to create a new public open space, passive recreation area and garden for the community | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (iv) to address the severance created by an expanded Campbell Road and to enhance connectivity between existing and proposed open space that enhances the efficiency and resilience of the southern portion of Sydney Park and the new active recreation areas, and | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | to improve and contribute to the quality and safety of the pedestrian and cyclist environment, including consistency with the Pedestrian and Cycleway Network Review required by condition B50 | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | The following parameters are to be incorporated and complied with in the design and delivery of the land bridge: | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | be designed to minimise the amenity impacts on adjacent residential development (including visual and acoustic privacy and overshadowing impacts), | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (ii) be located at least 35 metres to the west of No. 2 Campbell Road, | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (iii) be of a width that addresses the objectives of this Plan but be no less than 20 metres (at any point), as measured parallel to Campbell Road, | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (iv) provide high quality access, including the integration of cycling and pedestrian | | | | | | |
| B62 | (a) | (v) facilities offering continuous paths of travel, over Campbell Road, including consistency with the Pedestrian and Cycleway Network Review (condition 850 | | | | | | |
| | • | | • • | • | | • | | |



| No. Max Max Set consists in subtraction of proceedings of proceedings of procedings of | r | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|------------------|--|
| 62 No. No. point the one of expert of the other of expert of experts and expertses and experts and expert experts and expert expected experts and expert expected | B62 | (a) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R62 R0 The Prime and be encodered from any proceeding and proceeding andifficult and proceeding and proceeding andifficult and p | B62 | (a) | across the width and depth of the bridge of a diverse range of vegetation (including species design and maturity) consistent with existing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 (a) The Plan must be there requirements of the UAR. | B62 | (a) | durable park infrastructure, furniture and lighting that meets the relevant council's | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Image: Note of the commencement of comparison, or a solution of performing and performing and performing and performing the according to the solution of the performant of performing the according to the solution of the performant of performing the according to the solution of the performant of performing the according to the solution of the solution of the performant of performance and multifunctional and the performance andi | B62 | (a) | integrate with the requirements of the UDLP (condition B61) and the St Peters Interchange Recreational Area Sub-plan (condition B62 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B22 (b) The Plan must be prepared by an experienced and quicket of the cost o | B62 | (a) | four years of the commencement of operations, or as otherwise agreed by the | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 (b) (i) maximising the availability of active recreation facilities and B62 (b) (i) maximising the availability of active recreation space B62 (b) (ii) All relevant policies, guidelines and | B62 | (b) | Sub-plan to maximise the amount of open space available for the provision of active recreation areas and multifunctional and adaptable active recreation support facilities on the St Peters interchange site {located to the south of Campbell Road). The Plan must be prepared and approved by the Secretary within 12 months of the date of this approval, | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet complete | |
| B62 (b) (ii) All relevant policies, guidelines and Image: Constraint of the second of | B62 | (b) | and qualified person(s) in the design and provision of active recreation facilities and in consultation with the relevant councils (including adjoining councils) and the community. The Plan must detail the construction, timing and responsibility for the delivery of active recreation facilities (including, but not limited to, sporting fields) and take into account the following | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | recreational open space | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CX M5 AT S-JV | The St Peters Interchange Recreational Area Sub-Plan was submitted by RMS to DPE for approval on 31 August 2017. |
| | Consultation between DPE and RMS was ongoing during the reporting period. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |


| | 1.06 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|----|
| | B62 | (b) | (iii) The type of facilities to be provided taking into account the current and future local community recreation preferences and needs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | (iv) The future use and rationalisation of Albert Street to improve the provision and servicing of open space, including consideration of alternate property access and shared zone treatments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | (v) Provision of safe and efficient pedestrian and cyclist access connectivity, including integration with the Pedestrian and Cycleway Network Review (condition 850), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | Integration with Sydney Park Plan of Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | The Plan must be consistent with and integrate with the requirements of the UDLP and the Sydney Park Enhancement Sub-plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B62 | (b) | Within four years of the commencement of operations, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must implement the sub-plan including providing a flat grassed area to be able to be converted into sporting fields and car parking (should a demand be demonstrated). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | B62 | (c) | A Campbell Street Green Link Sub-plan to | N | N | N | N | N | N | v | V | v | Prior to permanent | v | Not yet submitted | Not vet | Not yet complete | Compliant | C |
| | B62 | (c) | A Campbell Street Green Link Sub-plan to provide an enhanced and unified landscaped green link between Sydney Park, Simpson Park and Camdenville Park. The objective of the green link is to facilitate a more legible and navigable open space network by providing a high quality open space link to the northern side of Campbell Street between the three parks. | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Prior to permanent Surface works | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | CL |
| | B62 B62 | (c) (c) | provide an enhanced and unified landscaped green link between Sydney Park, Simpson Park and Camdenville Park. The objective of the green link is to facilitate a more legible and navigable open space network by providing a high quality open space link to the northern side of Campbell Street between the | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Not yet submitted | | Not yet complete | Compliant | C |
| | | | provide an enhanced and unified landscaped green link between Sydney Park, Simpson Park and Camdenville Park. The objective of the green link is to facilitate a more legible and navigable open space network by providing a high quality open space link to the northern side of Campbell Street between the three parks. The Plan must be prepared by an experienced and qualified person(s) in the design and provision of open space and in consultation with the relevant councils and the community, and is to take into account | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Not yet submitted | | Not yet complete | Compliant | C |
| | B62 | (c) (c) | provide an enhanced and unified landscaped green link between Sydney Park, Simpson Park and Camdenville Park. The objective of the green link is to facilitate a more legible and navigable open space network by providing a high quality open space link to the northern side of Campbell Street between the three parks. The Plan must be prepared by an experienced and qualified person(s) in the design and provision of open space and in consultation with the relevant councils and the community, and is to take into account the following considerations: (i) The provision of a consistent and coherent landscaping theme between Sydney | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Not yet submitted | | Not yet complete | Compliant | CL |
| | B62 | (c) (c) | provide an enhanced and unified landscaped green link between Sydney Park, Simpson Park and Camdenville Park. The objective of the green link is to facilitate a more legible and navigable open space network by providing a high quality open space link to the northern side of Campbell Street between the three parks. The Plan must be prepared by an experienced and qualified person(s) in the design and provision of open space and in consultation with the relevant councils and the community, and is to take into account the following considerations: (i) The provision of a consistent and coherent landscaping theme between Sydney park and Camdenville Park; (ii) the establishment of local street | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Not yet submitted | | Not yet complete | Compliant | C |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | The Campbell Street Green Link Sub-plan has been developed in consultation with the UDRP, councils and the community as part of Package 2 of the UDLP. Community consultation on the Sub-plan was undertaken between 4 - 30 April 2017 and was submitted for approval of the Secretary on 14/7/17 with preliminary comments received on 28/7/17. This package is currently being further prepared to address DPEs comments in addition to previusly excluded items. Final submission is expected to DPE in Feb 2017. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| B62 | (c) | (iv) the provision of crossings along the length of the green link, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| B62 | (c) | (v) reviewing on-street car parking and proposed off-street parking on the southern side of Campbell Road to maximise landscaping, pedestrian and cycling facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (c) | The Plan must be consistent with and integrate with the requirements of the UDLP and the Sydney Park Enhancement Sub-plan. All facilities must be provided within 12 months of operation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | a M5 Linear Park Enhancement Sub-plan , for open space bordered by Bexley Road, Bexley, King Georges Road, Beverley Hills, adjoining the M5 Motorway, to connect and enhance the parkland and to offset amenity and open space impacts. The Plan must be prepared and implemented in consultation with relevant Councils, the community and the UDRP and must identify (and consider), but not be limited to: | N | N | Ν | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N | Prior to permanent surface works | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | WCX I CDS-J |
| B62 | (d) | (i) identification of park users and their needs, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | (ii) amenity of communities adjoining the park, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | (iii) outcomes of consultation and how issues raised have been considered, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | measures to enhance active uses and the recreational value of the park (including | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | (iv) consideration of active recreational and fitness facilities), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | (v) measures to activate and enhance the surveillance of the Kindilan Underpass (including consideration of sight lines, splayed entrances, lighting, public art, and recreational facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (d) | Notwithstanding the above, the Kindilan underpass must include CCTV surveillance that meets the requirements of NSW Police and the relevant council | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B62 | (e) | an Alexandra Canal Sub-plan which details the design and integration of the bridges over the Alexandra Canal, including a Heritage Impact Assessment addressing any heritage impacts to the canal and its setting taking into account future and current accessibility plans for the Canal and the heritage sensitivity of the setting as set out in the Alexandra Canal Heritage Conservation Plan. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Y | Prior to permanent built surface works | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS-J\ |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CX M5 AT DS-JV | The M5 Linear Park Enhancement Sub-Plan was amended during the review period to address comments from DPE and Councils. The amended plan was submitted by RMS to DPE on 30 August 2017. RMS received comments from DPE on 12 September 2017 and provided responses to comments on 14 September |
| | 2017. RMS is awaiting further comments from DPE in relation to the plan. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| DS-JV | The Alexandra Canal Sub-plan is being developed in consultation with the UDRP, councils and the community as |
| | a separate part of Package 2 of the UDLP. Final approval is expected to DPE in Dec 2017. |
| | |

| B | 62 | (f) | a Noise Barrier Location and Design Sub-plan which includes | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Prior to permanent built surface works | Y Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | CD |
|---|----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (i) identification and confirmation of all permanent noise barrier locations associated with the SSI including new, relocated or modified barriers; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (ii) the consultation and decision making process for all new, relocated or modified permanent noise barriers associated with the SSI, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (iii) assessment of the potential impacts of the permanent noise barriers including visual amenity, overshadowing and connectivity and community cohesion, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (iv) consideration of safer safety by design principles, the WestConnex Urban Design Framework, RMS Design Guidelines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (v) adjacent property owner concerns and preferences regarding barrier design and location, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | (vi) justification for the final design of new, relocated or modified permanent barriers. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 62 | (f) | The permanent barrier design options must be developed in consultation with the UDRP and presented to landowners adjacent to the barriers for consultation prior to the adoption of a final design. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| B63 | | The SSI must be designed to retain as many trees as possible and provide a net increase in the number of replacement trees. The Proponent must commission an independent experienced and suitably qualified arborist, to prepare a comprehensive Tree Report(s) prior to removing any trees on the periphery and/or outside the construction footprint as identified in the figures in Section 6 of the document referred to in condition A2(b), including any tree(s) removed along Euston Road. The Tree Report may be prepared for the entire SSI or separate reports may be prepared for individual areas where trees are required to be removed. The report(s) must identify the impacts of the SSI on trees and vegetation within and adjacent to the construction footprint. The report(s) must include: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Design Pre-construction Construction | Y | 13/05/2016 | Various | Not yet complete | Compliant | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|------------|---------|------------------|-----------|--|
| B63 | (a) | a visual tree assessment with inputs from the design, landscape architect, construction team; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B63 | (b) | consideration of all options to amend the SSI where a tree has been identified for removal, including realignment, relocation of services, redesign of or relocation of ancillary components (such as substations, fencing, etc.) and reduction of standard offsets to underground services; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B63 | (c) | measures to avoid the removal of trees or minimise damage to existing trees and is to ensure the health and stability of those trees to be protected. This includes details of any proposed canopy or root pruning, excavation works, site controls on waste disposal, vehicular access, storage of materials and protection of public utilities. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

Tree Reports are being progressively submitted to the Secretary, DPE, for approval. The following Tree Reports have been approved for construction during the reporting period:

- Southwest Kindalin Underpass, Beverly Hills, approved 19/9/17

- 33kV Bourke Road, Alexandria, approved 22/11/17

The remaining Tree Reports required for removal of trees at construction compounds and other sites will be submitted to DPE prior to commencement of the relevant works.

Any required replacement trees will be planted within, or in proximity to, the project boundary in consultation with the relevant council and in accordance with this condition. Final site rehabilitation/revegetation will be described in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0009) once finalised.

| B | 63 | | In the event that trees are to be removed, then replacement trees are to be planted within, or in close proximity to, the SSI boundary, including along Euston Road where feasible and reasonable The location of the trees must be determined in consultation with the relevant council(s). The replacement trees are to have a minimum pot size of 75 litres. A copy of the report(s) must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the removal, damage and/or pruning of any trees, including those affected by site establishment works. All recommendations of the report must be implemented by the Proponent, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|----------------------|--------|
| | 64 | | The Proponent must provide a cycleway along Euston Road consistent with proposal in the document referred to in condition A2(b) and must replace the perimeter plantings along the Euston Road frontage of Sydney Park commensurate with type of plantings impacted by the SSI. Replacement plantings must be in accordance with the pot sizes specified in condition B63. | | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Design Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CDS-J' |
| | 65 | | Existing residential properties (and approved residential developments) that are affected by overshadowing from the final detailed design of the SSI (including any noise mitigation measures) are to receive a minimum of three hours of direct sunlight in habitable rooms and in at least 50% of the principal private open space area between 9:00 am and 3:00 pm on 21 June. Such properties must be identified for further consideration by the Proponent in a Solar Access and Overshadowing Report which addresses compliance with these requirements. The Solar Access and Overshadowing Report must be submitted to the Secretary within 12 months of the SSI approval or prior to the construction of any structures that may cause overshadowing of residential premises, whichever is the sooner and must include: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Within 12 months of approval or prior to construction of structures that may cause overshadowing | Ν | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS-J |
| В | 65 | (a) | identification of potentially affected properties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | 65 | (b) | assessment of the detailed design's compliance at each property, informed by: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | 65 | (b) | (i) a review of the habitable rooms within structures, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 65 | (b) | (ii) the size and nature of private open spaces, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | 65 | (b) | shadow diagrams in plan and elevation at hourly intervals between 9.00 am and 3.00pm on 21 June; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| D2-1A | Consultation with City of Sydney Council in relation to Sydney Park continued throughout the review period. |
| DS-JV | The Solar Access and Overshadowing Report was submitted to DP&E on 20 April 2017. DP&E provided comments on the Report on 12/05/2017. These comments will be addressed in an update to the Report, which is expected to be submitted in December 2017, after the completion of final design. Note: Through consultation with the relevant councils - no approved residential developments have been identified which will be affected by overshadowing. |


| B65 | (c) | a consultation plan to detail how potential impacts and mitigation measures will be discussed and negotiated with potentially affected property owners in the event that compliance with this condition is not achieved. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----|
| B65 | | Where existing residential development currently receives less than the required amount of solar access, existing access to sunlight should not be unreasonably reduced. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B65 | | Where affected properties include dwellings held under strata or community title, this condition must be interpreted in relation to individual units within those properties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | | No later than 12 months after the commencement of construction, unless otherwise agreed to by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare a Community and Social Management Plan for precincts directly impacted by the SSI. The Community and Social Management Plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person(s) and in consultation with relevant council(s) and the community and submitted to the Secretary for approval. The Community and Social Management Plan must include but is not limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Within 12 months of approval | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX |
| B66 | (a) | identification of the social impacts of the SSI, including cumulative impacts resulting from the various stages of the SSI (including construction and operation) in directly affected precincts including – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | a refined precinct-based spatial analysis based on representative local communities and stakeholders impacted by the SSI, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (ii) at what stage the identified impact is | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | likely to occur (iii) identification of stakeholders and communities directly affected by each identified impact | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (iv) assessment of the identified social impacts including type, probability and consequence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (v) details of management and mitigation measures, including responsibilities for the implementation of each measure, and an assessment of the likely effectiveness of the measures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (vi) identification of access and connectivity enhancements or new provisions to assist in mitigating community cohesion impacts directly resulting from the SSI including, but not necessarily limited to, community cohesion, public transport and social facility accessibility, connectivity and accessibility to goods and services, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (vii) mechanisms for monitoring social impacts and reviewing the effectiveness of mitigation measures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | (viii) mechanisms for the reporting of social impacts during construction and operation of the SSI, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B66 | (a) | mechanisms for ongoing consultation with | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | I | communities and key stakeholders; and | | I | | | I | | | | 1 | | I | I | | I | | I |

| CX MS AT The Community and Social Management Plan was submitted to DP&E on 20/6/17. DP&E provided comments on the Report to of 7/2017. These comments were addressed in a resubmission to DP&E on 15/8/17. The resubmitted CSMP was under review during the close of this reporting period. | | WestConnex New M5 |
|---|-----------|---|
| submitted to DP&E on 20/6/17. DP&E provided comments on the Report on 6/7/2017. These comments were addressed in a resubmission to DP&E on 15/8/17. The resubmitted CSMP was under review during the close | | CDB DRAGADOS |
| submitted to DP&E on 20/6/17. DP&E provided comments on the Report on 6/7/2017. These comments were addressed in a resubmission to DP&E on 15/8/17. The resubmitted CSMP was under review during the close | | |
| submitted to DP&E on 20/6/17. DP&E provided comments on the Report on 6/7/2017. These comments were addressed in a resubmission to DP&E on 15/8/17. The resubmitted CSMP was under review during the close | /CX M5 AT | The Community and Social Management Plan was |
| | | submitted to DP&E on 20/6/17. DP&E provided comments on the Report on 6/7/2017. These comments were addressed in a resubmission to DP&E on 15/8/17. The resubmitted CSMP was under review during the close |
| | | |

| B66 | (b) | a Community Cohesion Program to enhance community cohesion in precincts directly affected by the SSI through initiatives including, but not limited to - (i) enhancement of open space and recreation areas, (ii) active community involvement and engagement, (iii) provision or facilitation of cycling facilities within Camdenville Park, in consultation with the relevant council, (iv) support of community initiatives and programs, and (v) provision of grants to local community groups. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (iii) CD |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| B66 | | The Proponent must maintain and implement the Community and Social Management Plan throughout construction and for the first three years of operation of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B67 | | The Proponent must prepare a Residual Land Management Plan in consultation with the relevant councils. The Residual Land Management Plan must be submitted to the Secretary at least 12 months prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI. The Residual Land Management Plan must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to Operation | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX N CDS-JV |
| B67 | (a) | identification and illustration on a map, of all residual land following construction of the SSI, including the physical location, land use characteristics, size and adjacent land uses; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| (iii) CDS-JV | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| WCX M5 AT | In progress during the review period. |
| CDS-JV | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| B67 | (b) | identification of proposed uses for the each piece of residual land with consideration given to the provision of additional community uses, public recreation uses and/or affordable or social housing and the justification for the uses chosen; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| B67 | (c) | time frames for implementing the various components of the Residual Management Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B68 | | Residual land that is to be used for a public use and/or transferred to a public authority is to be in a condition suitable for the end use that does not incur additional cost to the public authority to reasonably rehabilitate or remediate the land for the future development identified in the Residual Land Management Plan | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | wc |
| B69 | | The Proponent must ensure that all residual land set aside for open space uses in accordance with condition B67 be available to the relevant council within 12 months of the completion of construction, unless otherwise agreed to by the Secretary | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | wo |
| B70 | | Prior to operations, the Proponent must assist the City of Sydney Council to update and amend the Sydney Park Plan of Management to reflect the changes to the park as a result of the project. This must include investigations into enhancing Sydney Park through maximising the open space area at the eastern edge of Sydney Park, such as future integration of privately owned land along the Euston Road frontage | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Prior to Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | wo |
| B71 | | The Canterbury Golf Course golf ball deflection fence must meet the height and width requirements of Canterbury Council. Property adjustments at the golf course must not introduce additional ongoing maintenance requirements for Canterbury Council | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WC RM CD |



| B72 | SSI with the to, and inte and infrastr infrastructu during cons damage cau SSI must be compensate | ent must design and construct the objective of minimising impacts rference with, third party property ucture and that such re and property is protected truction and operation. Any used to property as a result of the rectified or the landowner ed, within a timeframe defined in ction Environmental Management | | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | Impacts to third party infrastructure will be avoided during construction of the SSI. Any damage or removal of property will be replaced or repaired, or the landowner compensated within a reasonable timeframe. Pre-construction dilapidation surveys are being undertaken in accordance with CoA B58 and subsequent post- construction surveys will be undertaken to assess any damage. Complaints regarding property damage will be managed in accordance with the approved Community Communication Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008) and the Construction |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|--------|---|
| B73 | the SSI with spillage to r generally co Australian S obtrusive ef Notwithstar mitigation r night lightin adjoining or | ent must construct and operate the objective of minimising light esidential properties and be insistent with the requirements of tandard 4282-1997 Control of the fects of outdoor lighting. Iding, the Proponent must provide neasures to manage any residual g impacts to protect properties adjacent to the project, in n with affected landowners | Y | Y | Y | Y | Υ | Y | Y | γ | Y | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | Complaints Management System.Light spillage is minimised where possible and generally consistent with AS 4282-1997 'Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting'.The construction component of this condition is addressed in Section 6.12 and Appendix B of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). The operational component of this condition is being addressed through detailed design for the operational facilities.Complaints regarding light spillage will be managed in accordance with the approved Community Communication Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008) and the Construction Complaints Management System.No complaints relating to light spill have been received to date. |
| B74 | achieve an e rating unde | t be designed and constructed to excellent 'Design' and 'As built' r the Infrastructure Sustainability ustralia infrastructure rating tool. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | A "leading" level ISCA design rating of 76% was achieved during the reporting period. |
| B75 | greenhouse investigated sustainabilit regularly re implemente developmen | es to reduce operational gas emissions must be I during detailed design. The y initiatives identified must be viewed, updated and ed throughout the design nt and construction phase, and ring the operational phases. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Ŷ | Ŷ | Y | Y | Design Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | Opportunities to reduce operational greenhouse gas emissions were identified and investigated during detailed design development and verified as part of the ISCA design submission. The 'leading'' ISCA certification recognised during this quarter was based on significant operational GHG emission reductions implemented as part of the final design of the Project. Some of the verified reductions included: 34% total energy reduction from BAU for the whole Project as a result of energy efficient M&E tunnel design. The improved design incorporated the use of LED lighting in the tunnel interior and an optimised tunnel ventilation strategy (banana jet fans etc.) Australian first innovation - LED lighting in the tunnel interior. Current typical road tunnels use fluorescent lighting for illumination. Improvements in LED technology have enabled the Project to use LED lighting in the tunnel roadway. Together with LED lighting in the Motorway Operations Centres (MOCs), the use of LEDs in the tunnels has resulted in a 70% energy use reduction compared to the use of fluorescent lighting. Opportunities to reduce GHG emissions will continue to be identified and implemented throughout the construction phase where reasonable and feasible. |

| W | est | Con | nex | New | M5 |
|---|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | COL | CON | ILEA | INEW | IVIU |



SAMSUNG

SAMSUNG C&T

| C1 | | Prior to the commencement of construction, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare and implement a Community Communication Strategy. The Community Communication Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary for approval. The Community Communication Strategy must provide mechanisms to facilitate communication between the Proponent (and its contractor(s)), the Environmental Representative (refer condition D1), the relevant council(s) and community stakeholders (particularly adjoining landowners) on the design and construction environmental management of the SSI. The Community Communication Strategy must include, but not be limited to | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-Construction | Y | 13/05/2016 | 27/07/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | CI |
|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|----|
| C1 | (a) | identification of stakeholders to be consulted as part of the Community Communication Strategy, including affected and adjoining | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (b) | landowners, key community and business groups, and community and social service organisations procedures and mechanisms for the regular distribution of accessible information to community stakeholders on construction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (c) | progress and matters associated with environmental management, including provision of information in appropriate community languages; the formation of community-based forums | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | that focus on key environmental management issues for the SSI. The Community Communication Strategy must provide detail on the structure, scope, objectives and frequency of the community-based forums; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (d) | procedures and mechanisms through which the community stakeholders can discuss or provide feedback to the Proponent and/or Environmental Representative in relation to the environmental management and delivery of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSU

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

The Community Communication Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008 Rev 04) has been reviewed, updated and submitted to DPE In addition to the standard notifications for both day and out of hours works, zone specific weekly eupdates are emailed to:

- Gardeners Rd business
- Gardeners Rd residents
- Euston Rd residents
- Campbell Rd terraces
- Campbell St and adjoining streets residents
- Kingsgrove residents .

Targetted meetings have been held with specific stakeholder segments to continue to inform and engage with stakeholders ahead of time.

NOTE: Show cause notices were issued to CDSJV in relation to the over-run of works by Jemena on both the 8th & 15th July 2017. A penalty notice covering both these over-runs was issued to by DPE on 22nd August 2017. CDSJV requested a review of the penalty notice and have ultimately had the notices revoked (outside of the reporting period) and are currently awaiting a formal response from DPE. As this matter has yet to be finalised no noncompliance has been recorded for the reporting period.

ER: A review of the implementation of the Strategy undertaken by the ER on 30 Mar indicated it is being implemented

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------|-------------------|---|-------|---|---|-----|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|-----------|---|
| | | y Construction Compliar No. 5 | nce | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| C1 | (e) | procedures and mechanisms through which the Proponent can respond to enquiries or feedback from the community stakeholders in relation to the environmental management and delivery of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (f) | procedures and mechanisms that would be implemented to resolve issues/disputes that may arise between parties on the matters relating to environmental management and the delivery of the SSI. This may include the use of a suitably qualified and experienced independent mediator; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (g) | procedures and mechanisms to manage the ongoing provision of services for the WestConnex Acquisition Assistance Line, as required by condition C2, and procedures for the notification of the contact details for this assistance line to relocated persons | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | | Issues that must be addressed through the Community Communication Strategy include (but are not limited to): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (a) | Traffic management (including property access, pedestrian access); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 C1 C1 | (b) (c) (d) | Air quality Heritage matters Landscaping and urban design matters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (e) | Construction staging, hours and activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (f) | Noise, vibration mitigation and management, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | (g) | Water quality, hydrology and flooding matetrs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | | The Proponent must maintain and implement the Community Communication Strategy throughout construction of the SSI. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C2 | | The Proponent must maintain and operate a toll-free WestConnex Acquisition Assistance Line for a period of up to six months following completion of the final acquisition required for the SSI, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The WestConnex Acquisition Assistance Line must provide an ongoing dispute resolution, counselling program and contact information to relevant services for all relocated persons. The WestConnex Acquisition Assistance Line must also provide first language support for households with English as a second language. The management of the assistance line is to be outlined within the Community Communication Strategy as required by condition C1 and is to be maintained and operated separately from the standard complaints and enquiries procedure. The Proponent must provide all relevant contact details for the WestConnex Acquisition Assistance Line to relocated | Y Y Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | The services available to support residents who are being relocated as a result of property acquisition include: The WestConnex Assist counselling service - 'Converge' – which is the counselling service provided by WCX M5 AT Pty Ltd. It is a free, independent and confidential counselling service and is still available for use for relocated persons Provision of an independent service to vulnerable households to assist with their relocation Community relations support to respond to community issues, concerns and requests and to offer a translation service to households for whom English is a second language RMS have contacted residential and commercial tenants who are currently renting properties to be acquired for the project, to discuss timeframes for acquisition and compensation (where applicable). Residents who are having their properties acquired or are relocating due the New M5 project (e.g. tenants) have been advised to contact WestConnex directly to discuss available support services. |

WestConnex New M5

| | | persons prior to the commencement of construction. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|----|
| C3 | | Prior to the commencement of site establishment works, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must ensure that the following are available for community enquiries and complaints for the duration of construction: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-establishment | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
| С3 | (a) | a toll-free 24 hour telephone number(s) on which complaints and enquiries about the SSI may be registered; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С3 | (b) | a postal address to which written complaints and enquires may be sent; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C3 C3 | (c) (d) | an email address to which electronic complaints and enquiries may be transmitted; a mediation system for complaints unable to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С3 | (e) | be resolved; and a mechanism for community members to make enquiries in common community | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С3 | | languages of the area The telephone number, the postal address | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | and the email address must be published in newspaper(s) circulating in the local area including in newspapers of culturally and linguistically diverse communities affected by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | the SSI prior to the commencement of construction and prior to the commencement of operation. This information must also be | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | provided on the website (or dedicated pages) required by this approval and available in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | common community languages. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|---------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | CDS JV continues to maintain and utilise the community communications information line for complaints and enquiries. All other requirements of the Condition continue to be maintained. |

| c | 24 | | Prior to the commencement of site establishment works, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare and implement a Construction Complaints Management System consistent with AS/NZS 10002:2014 Guidelines for Complaint management in Organisations and maintain the Construction Complaints Management System for the duration of construction and up to 12 months following completion of construction of the SSI. Information on all complaints received, including the means by which they were addressed and whether resolution was reached, with or without mediation, must be maintained in a complaints register and included in the construction compliance reports required by this approval. The information contained within the Construction Complaints Management System must be made available to the Secretary on request. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JN |
|---|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|--------|
| | 5 | (a) | Prior to the commencement of site establishment works, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must establish and maintain a new website, or dedicated pages within an existing website, for the provision of electronic information associated with the SSI, for the duration of construction and for 12 months following commencement of operation of the SSI. The Proponent must, subject to confidentiality, publish and maintain up-to-date information on the website or dedicated pages including, but not limited to: Information on the current implementation status of the SSI | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX I |
| c | 25 | (b) | a copy of the documents referred to in condition A2, and any documentation supporting modifications to this approval that may be granted from time to time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| c | 25 | (c) | a copy of this approval and any future modification to this approval | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | 25 | (d) | a copy of each relevant environmental approval, licence or permit required and obtained in relation to the SSI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | 25 | (e) | a copy of each current report, plan, or other document required under this approval | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| c | .5 | (f) | the outcomes of compliance tracking in accordance with condition A14 of this approval | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С | 5 | (g) | details of contact point(s) to which community complaints and enquiries may be directed, including a telephone number, a postal address and an email address; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С | 25 | (h) | information on how to receive important information in the common community languages of the area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|---------------------|---|
| CDS-JV | Compalints and enquiries reporting is continuously being improved and the team is adhering to SMC guidelines in order to measure KPIs. The reporting reflects the team's commitment to responding to stakeholders with respect and answering enquiries and complaints as effectively as possible. |
| WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | The website found at https://www.westconnex.com.au/projects/new-m5 is a valuable tool for stakeholders and has been enhanced by SMC with a number of features including the roadheader tracker to which CDS JV contributes weekly roadheader progress The smooth interface developed with SMC ensures that the numerous out of hours notifications are upoladed immediately and within EPA requirements. The project received a show cause request on 29 August 2017 in relation to the provision of up to date information on the website (EPL variation and Blast Management Strategy). A warning letter was issued by DPE (outside of the reporting period) ER: It is still difficult to locate environmental management plans and reports for the NewM5 Project on the Westconnex project website. Finding a specific management plan, particularly one that was approved in 2016, is a laborious process. |
| | |

WestConnex New M5

| D1 | (-) | Prior to the commencement of construction of the SSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must appoint a suitably qualified and experienced Environmental Representative(s) that is independent of the design and construction personnel, and that has been approved by the Secretary. The Proponent must employ the Environmental Representative(s) for the duration of construction, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The Environment Representative(s) must: | NN | I N | Y | Y | Υ | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | Y | 31/05/2016 | 31/05/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | CE |
|----|-----|---|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|------------|------------|---------|-----------|----|
| D1 | (a) | be the principal point of advice in relation to the environmental performance of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (b) | monitor the implementation of environmental management plans and monitoring programs required under this approval and advise the Proponent upon the achievement of these plans/programs; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (c) | have responsibility for considering, and advising the Proponent on, matters specified in the conditions of this approval, and other licences and approvals related to the environmental performance and impacts of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (d) | ensure that environmental auditing is undertaken (but not undertake the audit) in accordance with the Proponent's Environmental Management System(s); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (e) | be given the authority to approve/reject minor amendments to the Construction Environment Management Plan. What constitutes a "minor" amendment must be clearly explained in the Construction Environment Management Plan; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (f) | be given the authority and independence to require reasonable steps be taken to avoid or minimise unintended or adverse environmental impacts; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D1 | (g) | be consulted in responding to the community concerning the environmental performance of the SSI where the resolution of points of conflict between the Proponent and the community is required. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D2 | | The Environmental Representative must prepare and submit to the Secretary a monthly report on the Environmental Representative's actions and decisions on matters specified in condition D1 for the preceding month. The reports must be submitted within seven days for the end of each month for the duration of construction of the SSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary. Notwithstanding, the Environmental Representative must be given the independence to report to the Secretary at any time and/or at the request of the Secretary. | NN | I N | Y | Υ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CI |

| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|---------------------|--|
| CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | The nominated Environmental Representative (ER) for the project, Steve Fermio of Wolf Peak (28/04/2016) was approved by DPE on 31/05/2016. |
| | Since the commencement of construction the ER has provided inspection reports (typically on a weekly basis), monthly reports, attended meetings with and provided advice to the Proponent, Contractor and DPE (typically on a weekly basis) and approved some minor ancillary site facilities and minor amendments to management plans where provided for under the CEMP and AFMP. |
| | The ER typically conducts weekly reviews of management plans and strategies in order to monitor their implementation and advise the Proponent on their achievement as per D1(b). |
| | ER: In November 2017 concerns regarding the environmental performance of the Project (primarily concerning dust, light spill, privacy and future landscape design) originating from the residents of a property adjoining the Project at Kingsgrove were referred to me for consideration. I met with the residents of the property over the course of November and December 2017 and actions taken by the Project team since that time appear to have resolved the concerns for the time being. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | The ER has continued monthly reporting during the period. |
| | The ER issued 3 monthly reports during the period. |
| | |
| | |

WestConnex New M5

| D3 | Soil and water management measures consistent with Managing Urban Stormwater - Soils and Construction Vols 1 and 2, 4th Edition (Landcom, 2004) must be employed during the construction of the SSI to minimise soil erosion and the discharge of sediment and other pollutants to land and/or waters. Where available and practicable, and of appropriate chemical and biological quality, stormwater, recycled water or other water sources must be used in preference to potable water for construction activities, including dust control. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing Com | npliant | CDS-JV | This condition is included in the Construction Soil and Water Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005) and the Water Reuse Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0035). Erosion, sedimentation and drainage controls are being implemented as per Site Erosion and Sediment Control Plans, which have been developed by the project Soil Conservationists, SEEC. These controls are consistent with Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Vols 1 and 2, 4th Edition (the Blue Book) (Landcom, 2004) and are inspected fortnightly by the project's Soil Conservationist for compliance. Opportunities for reusing water onsite or for construction are being investigated and implemented, where feasible and reasonable. Water from the Leachate Treatment Plant at St Peters Interchange is not able to be reused on site for |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| D4 | The Proponent must ensure any siphonic based water management system implemented during construction is removed and, where applicable, replaced with an adequate permanent drainage system. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A Not trigg | : yet gered | CDS-JV | safety/health reasons. This condition and measure is incorporated into the Construction Soil and Water Management Plan (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0005). Current plans do not require any siphonic based water management systems. |
| D5 | The Proponent must immediately notify DPI (Water) of any groundwater bores removed or damaged during construction and operation of the SSI. In the event that a groundwater bore is removed or damaged, the Proponent must repair or replace the bore (unless otherwise advised by DPI (Water)), as applicable within a timeframe agreed to by DPI (Water). | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A Not trigg | : yet gered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | CDS-JV will immediately notify WCX M5 AT who will notify DPI Water in the case of any groundwater bores being removed or damaged over the course of the works. Unless otherwise advised by DPI Water any damage or removal of groundwater bores will be replaced or repaired or the landowner compensated within a reasonable timeframe. This requirement is addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Management Plan (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0005). No registered bores present prior to construction of the project have been removed or damaged during the reporting period. |
| D6 | A geotechnical model of representative geological and groundwater conditions must be prepared prior to excavation and tunnelling in subject area(s) to identify geological structures and groundwater features. This model must include details of proposed excavations and tunnels, construction staging, and identify surface and sub-surface structures and infrastructure which may be impacted by the SSI, including the specific attributes of those structures. The Proponent must use this model to assess the predicted settlement, ground movement, stress redistribution and horizontal strain profiles caused by excavation and tunnelling on adjacent property and infrastructure. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Prior to excavation | N | N/A | N/A | 20/06/2016 Com | npliant | CDS-JV | The geotechnical model has been updated progressively to incorporate the available site investigation information. The Geotechnical Interpretive Report (M5N-GOL-TER-100- 200-GT-1505) is expected to be finalised in June 2017, incorporating revised geological conditions to be considered in the ground movement assessment reports. Consultation was undertaken with DPE during the reporting period in relation to a proposed administrative modification of Conditions D6, D7, D8 and D9. A formal request to amend the conditions was submitted by RMS on 31 July 2017. A subsequent clarification of wording was submitted on 30 August 2017. The modification was approved on 14 November 2017. |
| D7 | The Proponent must undertake a review of property and infrastructure at risk from damage to determine appropriate settlement criteria to prevent damage, prior to commencement of construction activities that may pose a settlement risk. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Prior to tunnelling | N | N/A | N/A | 7/11/2016 Com | npliant | CDS-JV | The Ground Movement Assessment Report (M5N-AJV-DPK- 150-500-TR-1560), which has been finalised, fulfils this condition and has been prepared prior to finalising detailed design to enable feedback into the design. This has been undertaken prior to any construction that may influence ground settlement. Consultation was undertaken with DPE during the reporting period in relation to a proposed administrative modification of Conditions D6, D7, D8 and D9. A formal request to amend the conditions was submitted by RMS on 31 July 2017. A subsequent clarification of wording was submitted on 30 August 2017. The modification was approved on 14 November 2017. |

WestConnex New M5



SAMSUNG C&T

| | Quarterly Construction Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | uarterly Construction Compliance eport: No. 5 | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T | | | | | | | | | |
| D8 | Should the geotechnical model in condition N N D6 identify exceedances of the criteria established in condition D7 or in Table 1 (whichever is the lower), the Proponent must identify and implement mitigation measures such as appropriate support and stabilisation structures in consultation with the relevant land and/or infrastructure owners prior to the commencement of construction to ensure where possible that underground services, infrastructure and adjacent buildings will not experience settlements exceeding the criteria. Table 1 - Settlement Criteria The above criteria do not remove any responsibility from the Proponent for the protection of existing structures or for rectifying any damage resulting from the SSI | N N N | N Y N Prior to tunnelling I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | included in the (M5N-AJV-DP 7/11/2016. The the Impact As 01559), issued Where necess settlement im owner, and ne settlement. M monitoring w agreed criteri Consultation of period in relation of Conditions amend the co 2017. A substitution | was undertaken with DPE during the reporting ition to a proposed administrative modification 5 D6, D7, D8 and D9. A formal request to onditions was submitted by RMS on 31 July requent clarification of wording was submitted 5 2017. The modification was approved on 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| D9 | Settlement criteria for individual utility structures and infrastructure must be determined in consultation with the relevant authorities prior to the commencement of any construction potentially affecting the individual utility structure or infrastructure. Y | Y Y Y | Y Y Y Y Prior to works affecting utilities/infrastructure | with the relev Assessment R Impact Assess construction a individual util Consultation Jemena, Tran the SWSOOS) Caltex, Freyss Desalination, ARTC, Sydney Consultation of period in relation of Conditions amend the co 2017. A substion of a substitution on 30 August | riteria have been determined in consultation vant authorities and reported in the Impact Report (M5N-AJV-TER-150-500-TR-01559). The sment Report is informing detailed design for activities that have the potential to affect lity structure or infrastructure. has been conducted and issues closed out with asgrid, Telstra and Sydney Water (in relation to). Consultation is ongoing with APA, Viva, sinet, Ausgrid, Optus, NBN, Axicom, Sydney Sydney Water (items other than SWSOOS), y Trains, Broadspectrum, BP. was undertaken with DPE during the reporting tion to a proposed administrative modification 5 D6, D7, D8 and D9. A formal request to onditions was submitted by RMS on 31 July sequent clarification of wording was submitted : 2017. A draft modification was provided by w outside of the reporting period | | | | | | | | | |
| D10 | A detailed land use survey must be undertaken to confirm sensitive receivers (including critical working areas such as operating theatres and precision laboratories) potentially exposed to construction vibration, construction ground-borne noise and operational noise. The survey may be undertaken on a progressive basis but must be undertaken in any one area prior to the commencement of construction works which generate construction vibration or ground- borne noise in that area. The results of the survey must be included in the (or an updated) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan as required by condition D68(b) | N N N | N N N Pre-construction | Renzo Tonin & land use surve Appendix B of | ngaged the noise and vibration specialists, & Associates, who have prepared a detailed ey. The results of the survey are provided as f the Construction Noise and Vibration t Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003) | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

| | rterly Construction Complia ort: No. 5 | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | | DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|-------|--|-----|-----|---|---|-----|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|--------|--|
| D11 | Prior to construction, properties that are at risk from construction vibration must be notified and incorporated into the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan as required by condition D68(b). | Y Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | The community team continues to monitor for sensitive receivers in accordance with the requirements of D24. The community team has been briefed by the Enviro team on the Condition and the requirements for managing upcoming compaction and vibration work in areas of close proximity to residents and businesses. |
| D12 | Construction activities associated with the SSI must be undertaken during the following standard construction hours: | Y Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | Except as permitted by the conditions of this approval or an EPL, works are restricted to the nominated timeframes. This is addressed in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003). |
| D12 (| (a) 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Mondays to Fridays, inclusive (b) 8:00am to 1:00pm Saturdays; and (c) at no time on Sundays or public holidays | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D12 (| (c) at no time on Sundays or public holidays Notwithstanding condition D12, tunnelling may be undertaken 24 hours, seven days per week. Other activities associated with tunnelling (such as spoil haulage if approved under the Spoil Management Plan, deliveries, work area establishment, temporary road and intersection modifications, roads/cut-and- cover/dive structures and approach roads and ramps, excavation and ground support, civil, mechanical, and electrical, and ventilation facilities construction) may be undertaken outside of the hours specified in condition D12 where allowed in accordance with condition D15. | N N | N N | N | N | N Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | The allowance for 24 hour operations of tunnelling activities is described in Section 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0003). Tunnelling and tunnel support activities are approved to be conducted 24 hours, 7 days per week under the project EPLs (EPL 20772 & EPL 4627). |
| D14 | Except as permitted by an EPL, activities resulting in impulsive or tonal noise emissions must only be undertaken: | Y Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | Except as permitted by the conditions of this approval or an EPL, works will be restricted to the nominated timeframes. This is addressed in Section 4.1.3 of the Construction Noise |

WestConnex New M5

| | (a) | between the hours of 8:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday to Friday | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|-----|
| | (b) | between the hours of 8:00am to 1:00 pm Saturday; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (c) | in continuous blocks not exceeding three hours each with a minimum respite from those activities and works of not less than one hour between each block | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | For the purposes of this condition, 'continuous' includes any period during which there is less than a one hour respite between ceasing and recommencing any of the work the subject of this condition. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | | Notwithstanding conditions D12 and D14, construction works associated with the SSI may be undertaken outside the hours specified under those conditions in the following circumstances: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Non- Compliant | CDS |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (a) | construction works that cause LAeq (15 minute) noise levels that are: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (a) | (i) No more than 5 dB(A) above rating background level at any residence in accordance with the Interim Construction Noise guideline (DECC, 2009), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (a) | (ii) no more than the noise management levels specified in Table 3 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009) at other sensitive land uses, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (a) | (iii) continuous or impulsive vibration values, measured at the most affected residence are no more than those for human exposure to vibration, specified in table 2.2 of Assessing vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (a) | intermittent vibration values measured at the most affected residence are no more than those for human exposure to vibration, specified in Table 2.4 of Assessing vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006); or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | l | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | 1 | l | | | | l |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003), and the Out of Hours Works Protocol (Appendix F to the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan). |
| | Compliance with this condition is monitored through the weekly environmental inspections. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| VI-2C | This requirement is addressed in Section 4.1.4 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0003), and the Out of Hours Works Protocol (Appendix F to the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan). |
| | Note: Rock-hammering works were undertaken ot of hours over the night of 6th/7th September 2017 in the tunnels under St. Peters in contravention of the EPL which required hammering between 8am - 6pm. EPA were informed and an incident reort provided. |
| | Rock-hammering works were undertaken on Monday 2nd October between the hours of 8am - 6pm in the tunnels under St. Peters during daytime works on a Public Holiday in contravention of the EPL which requires no hammering works in the tunnels on Public Holidays. |
| | This is recorded as a non-compliance against Condition D15 for the reporting period |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| D15 | (b) | where a negotiated agreement has been reached with affected receivers, where the prescribed noise and/or vibration levels cannot be achieved; or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|------|
| D15 | (c) | for the delivery of materials required by the police or other authorities for safety reasons; or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (d) | where it is required in an emergency to avoid the loss of lives, property and/or to prevent environmental harm; or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (e) | construction works approved through an Out- Of-Hours Work Protocol prepared as part of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan required by condition D68(b), provided the relevant council, local residents and other affected stakeholders and sensitive receivers are informed of the timing and duration at least five days and no more than 14 days prior to the commencement of the works; or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D15 | (f) | construction works approved through an EPL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | | The Proponent must implement all reasonable and feasible noise mitigation measures with the aim of achieving the following construction noise management levels and vibration criteria: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS- |
| D16 | (a) | construction noise management levels established using the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | (b) | vibration criteria established using the Assessing vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006) (for human exposure); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | (c) | Standard AS 2187.2- 2006 Explosives- Storage and Use- Use of Explosives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | (d) | BS 7385 Part 2-1993 "Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings Part 2' as applicable to Australian conditions; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | (e) | the vibration limits set out in the German Standard DIN 4150-3: Structural Vibration- effects of vibration on structures (for structural damage). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D16 | | Any construction activities identified as exceeding the construction noise management levels and/or vibration criteria must be managed in accordance with the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan required by condition D68(b). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | l | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| DS-JV | Mitigation measures are provided in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0003). Noise management levels and vibration criteria are set out in the CNVMP and are in accordance with the specified guidelines/standards. The CNVMP also summarises the outcomes of the Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements (Appendix D) for the works which include tailored mitigation measures based on the different works packages and receiving catchments. The mitigation measures contained in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan and the Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements will be implemented on site as required and where reasonable and feasible. |

| D16 | | Note: The Interim Construction Noise Guideline identifies 'particularly annoying' activities that require the addition of 5 dB(A) to the predicted level before comparing to the construction NML. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|--------|--|
| D17 | (a) (b) | Feasible and reasonable noise mitigation measures should be applied to construction activities when the following residential ground-borne noise levels are exceeded: evening (6:00 pm to 10:00 pm)- internal LAeq(15 minute): 40 dB(A); and night (10:00pm to 7:00am)- internal LAeq(15 minute): 35 dB(A). The mitigation measures should be outlined in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan, including the Out-of-Hours Work Protocol, required by condition D68(b). | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | Mitigation measures will be implemented where ground- borne noise levels exceed the nominated levels. These measures are provided in Section 6 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0003) and additional specific mitigation measures are provided in the CNVIS as described under Section 5.1 and summarised in Appendix D. A CNVIS has been prepared for tunnelling works (summarised in Appendix D.7 of the CNVMP) which outlines feasible and reasonable mitigation measures to be applied to meet the criteria for ground borne noise. |
| D18 | | Wherever practical, piling activities that affect sensitive receivers must be undertaken using quieter alternative methods than impact or percussion piling, such as bored piles or vibrated piles. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | CDS-JV will consider alternative work methods, including alternative piling methods, where activities may affect sensitive receivers. This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0003). No impact or percussive piling has taken place during the reporting period. |

| WestConnex | New M | 5 |
|------------|-------|---|
| | | |



_

RAGADOS



| D | 19 | | The Proponent must implement operational noise mitigation measures (such as noise barriers or at-property architectural treatments) in areas where the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c) have identified the receivers would be subject to construction noise impacts and in areas where existing noise barriers are to be altered or removed prior to commencement of construction, where feasible and reasonable. Where this is not feasible and reasonable. Where this is not feasible and reasonable. Where this is not feasible and reasonable. The Proponent must submit to the Secretary for approval a report providing justification as to why along with details of the temporary measures that would be implemented to reduce construction noise impacts until such time that the operational noise mitigation measures are implemented. The report must be provided to the Secretary prior to the commencement of construction works which would affect the identified receivers. Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from submitting separate reports for separate areas of construction. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 19/08/2016 | Various | Ongoing | Compliant | |
|---|----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----|
| D | 20 | | The Proponent must develop and implement a Temporary Noise Barrier Strategy which includes: | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Pre-construction, construction | Y | 13/05/2016 | Various | Ongoing | Compliant | CD: |
| D | 20 | (a) | Identification and confirmation of all temporary noise barriers including - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 20 | (a) | (i) the provision of a temporary noise barrier on the northern side of the Kingsgrove North construction compound to provide noise mitigation to highly affected residents at a level greater than that identified in the documents referred to in condition A2(b), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 20 | (a) | (ii) consideration of the installation of temporary noise barriers on the southern and northern side of the M5 East Motorway during the relocation of the existing permanent noise barriers (or detail on why these noise barriers are not considered feasible and reasonable), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| W | est | Co | nn | ex | New | M5 |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-------|------|
| | 000 | | | | INCOV | IVIC |



ns 🧉

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV CDS-JV are implementing operational noise mitigation measures early in the construction program, where possible. This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003) as well as the CNVIS. Where this cannot be implemented, a report stating why and the temporary measures to be used, will be provided to DP&E prior to commencement of the relevant construction works. Reports approved by DP&E to date under this condition include: - Kingsgrove Permanent Noise Barriers (dated 19/08/2016) approved 26/08/2016 - Architectural treatment for 1 Kirrang St, Beverly Hills (dated 25/08/2016), approved 26/08/2016 - Local roads (dated 9/11/2016), approved 12/12/2016. - 1682 properties listed are noted to date that qualify for acoustic treatment (these include additional dwellings not listed in the EIS but captured in the Noise Model). - Property addresses listed includes block of units which have been expanded to include individual units. - Of the 1682, 305 properties has been identified as "potential" requiring treatment. Further expansion of property addresses (for block of units) is still under investigation to identify number of unit dwellings. ER: The latest review of the implementation of the Report by the ER indicates that changes to the Deed of Consent (required to be signed by owners prior to works commencing) may help reduce the delay in treatments being commenced which is now evident. I was advised that the wording of the DoC was being amended to help in this regard but am yet to receive a copy of the amended Deed. CDS-JV The Temporary Noise Barrier Strategy (TNBS) has been staged and submitted to DPE as relevant to each stage of the project as described in QCCR 1 and QCCR 2. Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies for all construction stages of the project have been approved by DP&E. An update to the Temporary Noise Barrier Strategy for Campbell Road and Campbell Street, which addresses D20(a)(iii) was approved on 22/05/2017. A revised TNBS was submitted to DPE in July 2017 for the changes at Arncliffe (shipping container wall).

| - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|-----------|-------|
| D20 | (a) | (iii) consideration of the installation of temporary noise barriers along Campbell Road, Campbell Street and Euston Road (or detail on why these noise barriers are not considered feasible and reasonable), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D20 | (a) | (iv) temporary noise barriers around construction compounds; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D20 | (b) | the consultation and decision-making process for all temporary noise barriers; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D20 | (c) | an acoustic report detailing the final barrier heights, material analysis and predicted benefits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D20 | | The temporary barrier options must be developed in consultation with the landowners adjacent to the barrier locations prior to the adoption of a final design. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D20 | | The Temporary Noise Barrier Strategy must be approved by the Secretary prior to site establishment works or construction works at the Kingsgrove North construction compound, the permanent noise barriers on the northern and southern side of the MS East Motorway are removed, and/or road widening works are undertaken along Campbell Road, Campbell Street or Euston Road. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D21 | | All acoustic sheds and non-acoustic sheds must be erected at construction ancillary facilities as soon as site establishment works at the facilities are completed and prior to undertaking any works or activities which are required to be conducted within the sheds. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Pre-construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS |
| D22 | | The Proponent must conduct vibration testing prior to commencing vibration generating activities that have the potential to impact on heritage items and vibration monitoring during initial vibration generating activities to identify minimum working distances to retained heritage items to prevent cosmetic damage to these items. In the event that the vibration testing and monitoring shows that the preferred values for vibration are likely to be exceeded, the Proponent must review the construction methodology and, if necessary, implement additional feasible and reasonable mitigation measures, unless otherwise agreed to by the Secretary. Vibration monitoring must be undertaken where structures are identified to be within safe working distances of vibration generating equipment activities | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-J |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| DS-JV | The approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0026) addresses this condition. |
| | Acoustic sheds were completed at the Bexley North, Kingsgrove North and South construction compounds. The Bexley South construction acoustic shed was completed by 30th August and Arncliffe construction compound acoustic sheds completed by 10th October. Acoustic sheds will be completed prior to the commencement of 24 hour spoil removal activities at the relevant sites. |
| DS-JV | Requirements for assessment and monitoring of vibration are identified in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0003) and the relevant CNVIS as described in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 of the CNVMP. Vibration monitoring for specific vibration generating plant / activities has occurred in accordance with this condition at all sites. Vibration monitoring commenced during the reporting period for blasting activities at both Arncliffe and Kingsgrove. Vibration levels continues to comply with relevant guidelines. |
| | |

Quarterly Construction Compliance

| Quarterly Construction Compliance | | |
|--|--|---|
| Report: No. 5 | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| D23The Proponent must undertake noise monitoring during initial high noise generating activities (such as piling, rock hammering, jack hammering) to accurately establish the LAeq to LA1(1 minute) differential and confirm the number of sensitive receivers which may experience sleep disturbance as a result of construction of the SSI during the evening and night-time periods. Management measures must be employed to minimise sleep disturbance impacts in accordance with the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan required by condition D68(b).YY | Y Y Y Y Y Y Establishment Construction N N/A N/A Ongoing Compliant I <t< td=""><td>CDS-JVRequirements for assessment and monitoring of night-time high noise generating activities are identified in Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003). Recommended management measures are also identified in Section 6.2 of the CNVMP to minimise sleep disturbance impacts.Noise monitoring of plant and equipment has continued during the reporting period to confirm noise modelling assumptions for high noise activities.</br></td></t<> | CDS-JVRequirements for assessment and monitoring of night-time high noise generating activities are identified in Sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003). |
| D24The Proponent must consult with potentially- affected community, religious, educational institutions and vibration-sensitive business and critical working areas (such as theatres, laboratories and operating theatres) to ensure that, where feasible and reasonable, noise generating construction works in the vicinity of the affected receivers are not timetabled during sensitive periods, unless other reasonable arrangements to the affected institutions are made at no cost to the affected institution. Consultation must be undertaken at least five days prior to undertaking noise generating construction works that would impact on the potentially affected vibration-sensitive receivers.YY | Y Y Y Y Y Y Stablishment Construction N N/A N/A Ongoing Compliant Image: | CDS-JV Ongoing consultation with stakeholders is maintained especially regarding noisy night worlk. A recent survey has been undertaken to gauge resident preference for noisy works whether to have a 10day and night shutdown or a series of night works. The community again responded well to the survey, preferring the extended 10 day shutdown. The survey results were communicated both to EPA and DPE. |
| D25 During construction, proponents of other Y Y Construction works in the vicinity of the SSI must be consulted and reasonable steps taken to coordinate works to minimise impacts on, and maximise respite for, affected sensitive receivers. | Y Y Y Y Y Establishment Construction N N/A N/A Ongoing Compliant | CDS-JV The project continues to liaise with other infrasturucture providors in the area to minimise impact to residnets. An example of synchronising work with other providors is the recent coordination with Luminet on night work in Gardeners Rd. |
| D26 The Proponent is to ensure that construction Y Y vehicle contractors operate so as to minimise any sleep disturbance impacts. Measures that could be used include toolbox talks, contracts that include provisions to deal with unsatisfactory noise performance for the vehicle and/or the operator, and specifying non-tonal movement alarms in place of reversing beepers or alternatives such as reversing cameras and proximity alarms, or a combination of these, where tonal alarms are not mandated by legislation. | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Ongoing Compliant | CDS-JV Recommended management measures to minimise sleep disturbance are identified in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003) to minimise sleep disturbance impacts. These include: - Minimising night work - Avoiding local roads - Toolbox talks that include awareness of local residents / sensitive recievers - Non-tonal alarms (quacker) - Minimise quantity of plant during the night period. |
| D27 Use of compression brakes must not be Y Y permitted for construction vehicles associated with the SSI during construction, unless in an emergency situation | Y Y Y Y Y Construction N N/A N/A Ongoing Compliant | CDS-JV Compression brakes will not be used unless required in an emergency. This is addressed in Section 6 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003). |

WestConnex New M5

-

| | D28 | | Should blasting be required, the Proponent must prepare a Blast Management Strategy in consultation with the EPA and submit the Blast Management Strategy to the Secretary prior to any blasting. The Blast Management Strategy must demonstrate that all blasting and associated activities will be undertaken in a manner that will not generate unacceptable noise and vibration impacts or pose a significant risk impact to residences and sensitive receptors. The Blast Management Strategy must also address the principles outlined in Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No 6: Hazard Analysis (Department of Planning, January 2011) and Assessment Guideline: Multi-Level Risk Assessment (Department of Planning and Infrastructure, May 2011) for the handling and storage of hazardous materials. Issues to be considered in the Blast Management Strategy must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Prior to blasting | N | 16/01/2017 | N/A | 16/01/2017 | Compliant | CD |
|---|-----|-----|--|----------|----------|---|---|----------|----------|----------|---|---|-------------------|---|------------|-----|------------|-----------|----|
| | D28 | (a) | details of blasting to be performed, including location, method and justification of the need to blast; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (b) | identification of any potentially affected noise and vibration sensitive sites including heritage buildings and utilities; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (c) | establishment of appropriate criteria for blast overpressure and ground vibration levels at each category of noise sensitive site; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (d) | details of the storage and handling arrangements for explosive materials and the proposed transport of those materials to the construction site; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (e) | identification of hazardous situations that may arise from the storage and handling of explosives, the blasting process and recovery of the blast site after detonation of the explosives; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (f) | determination of potential noise and vibration and risk impacts from blasting and appropriate monitoring and best management practices to minimise and manage any blasting impacts and assess compliance with conditions D34 and D35; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D28 | (g) | community consultation procedures. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D29 | | The vibration levels for blasting activities, including both above ground and underground work, must meet the requirements of conditions D34 and D35. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
| L | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 1 | I | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | L | 1 | 1 | 1 | | L | | I | L |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CPB DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CDS-JV | Blasting commenced at Arncliffe during the period and test blasting was undertaken at both SPI and Kingsgrove. |
| | The Blast Management Strategy was updated and referenced in the EPL variation approved 1st December 2017. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | Vibration monitoring for blasting at Arncliffe indicated that the blasting activities were compliant with the requirements of conditions D34 and D35. |
| | |

| D30 | | Blasts must be limited to an average of one single detonation in any one day, per sensitive receiver, and a maximum of six per week per sensitive receiver, unless otherwise agreed by the EPA through consultation on the Blast Management Strategy. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|-----------|-----|
| D30 | | Note: For the purposes of this condition a single detonation may involve a number of individual blasts fired in quick succession in a discrete area. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D31 | | For any section of tunnel construction where blasting is proposed, a series of initial trials at reduced scale must be conducted prior to production blasting to determine site-specific blast response characteristics and to define allowable blast sizes to meet the airblast overpressure and ground vibration limits in conditions D34 and D35. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CD |
| D32 | | Blasting associated with the project must only be undertaken during the following hours: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD: |
| D32 | (a) | 9:00 am to 5:00 pm, Monday to Friday, inclusive; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D32 | (b) | 9:00 am to 1:00 pm Saturday; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D32 | (c) | at no time on Sunday or on a public holiday, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D32 | | or as otherwise allowed for by the EPA and outlined in the Blast Management Strategy. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D32 | | This condition does not apply in the event of a direction from police or other relevant authority for safety or emergency reasons to avoid loss of life, property loss and/or to prevent environmental harm. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D33 | | Where vibration levels generated by blasting exceed the acceptable vibration dose values, feasible and reasonable mitigation measures must be considered and implemented. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
| D34 | | Airblast overpressure generated by blasting associated with the SSI must not exceed the criteria specified in Table 2 when measured at the most affected residence or other sensitive receiver. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CD |
| D34 | | Table 2 - Airblast Overpressure Criteria (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
|--------|---|
| CDS-JV | An application was submitted to EPA on 16th October 2017 to increase the blasts frequency at the Arncliffe construction site from 1 to 2 a day. EPA approved via licence variation on 1st December 2017. This allowed for two detonations in any one day, and a maximum of 12 blasts a week at the Arncliffe site. |
| CDS-JV | Trial blasting commenced at Arncliffe on 27th August 2017. Trial blasting commenced at Kingsgrove on 20th November 2017. Trial blasting commenced at St. Peters on 15th September 2017. |
| CDS-JV | An application was submitted to EPA on 16th October 2017 to increase the hours available for blasting. EPA approved via licence variation on 1st December 2017 an increase in blasting hours between 7am-6pm Monday to Friday and between 8am-1pm on Saturdays |
| CDS-JV | Blasting to date has been compliant with this requirement. |
| CDS-JV | Blasting to date has been compliant with this requirement. |

WestConnex New M5

| D35 | | Ground vibration generated by blasting associated with the SSI must be limited for human comfort to the criteria specified in Table 3 when measured at the most affected residence or other sensitive receiver. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-J |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-------|
| D35 | | Table 3 - Ground Vibration Limits for Human Comfort (AS 2187.2) (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D35 | | Notes: A sensitive site includes houses and low rise residential buildings, theatres, schools, and other similar buildings occupied by people. The recommendations in Table J4.5(A) of AS 2187.2 – 2006 Explosives – Storage and Use – Use of Explosives are intended to be informative and do not override statutory requirements with respect to human comfort limits set by various authorities. They should be read in conjunction with any such statutory requirements and with regard to their respective jurisdictions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D36 | | The blasting criteria identified in conditions D34 and D35 do not apply where the Proponent has a written agreement with the relevant landowners to exceed the criteria. The Proponent must submit to the Secretary details on the propose increased blasting limits, where and when the blasting would occur, the mitigation and monitoring procedures that would be implemented and details of the consultation undertaken with the relevant landowners. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS- |
| D36 | | The following exclusions apply to the application of this condition: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D36 | (a) | any agreements reached may be terminated by the landowner at any time should concerns about the increased blasting limits be unresolved | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D36 | (b) | the blasting limit agreed to under any agreement can at no time exceed a maximum Peak Particle Velocity vibration level of 25 mm/s or maximum airblast overpressure level of 125 dBL(Peak); and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D36 | (c) | the provisions under this condition (to increase applicable blast criteria in agreement with the relevant landowners) do not apply where the property is a heritage item | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CDS-JV | Blasting to date has been compliant with this requirement. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | There are no current written agreements in place. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| D37 | | Prior to the commencement of construction in proximity to, or affecting, a heritage item or contributory item in a heritage conservation area, the Proponent must complete the archival recordings, including photographic recording of the heritage items, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The archival recording must be undertaken by a qualified and experienced heritage consultant, in accordance with the How to Prepare Archival Records of Heritage Items (2003) guidelines issued by the Heritage Council of NSW. Within 12 months of completing the archival recording, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must submit a Heritage and Contributory Item Archival Recording and Research Report containing the archival and photographic recordings and historical research, to the Department, the Heritage Council of NSW, the local library, and the local Historical Society in the respective local government area(s). | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Prior to construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|-----------|-----|
| D38 | (a) | The Proponent must complete archival recordings for any impacted part of the heritage conservation area prior to the commencement of construction within a respective heritage conservation area. Consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW (or its delegate) and the relevant council is to be carried out to determine the objectives and approaches to the archival recording. The archival recording of heritage conservation areas is to include, but not be limited to: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Prior to construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | CDS |



| | JOIL. 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|------------|-----------|----------|--|---|
| D38 | (b) | surveying and mapping of land use arrangements, street patterns and layouts, subdivision layouts, landscape design and street tree plantings; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D38 | (c) | any other feasible recording requested and agreed to following consultation with the aforementioned stakeholders. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D38 | | The archival recording of heritage conservation areas must be undertaken by a qualified and experienced heritage consultant, and should be undertaken in a manner generally reflective of the <i>How to</i> <i>Prepare Archival Records of Heritage Items</i> (2003) guidelines issued by the Heritage Council of NSW. Within 12 months of completing the archival recording, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must submit a Heritage Conservation Area Archival Recording and Research Report, for each relevant heritage conservation area, containing the archival and photographic recordings, mapping and historical research, to the Department, the Heritage Council of NSW, the local library, the local Historical Society in the respective local government area(s). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D39 | | Prior to excavation works adjacent to the Alexandra Canal and St Peters Interchange the Proponent must engage a suitably qualified archaeologist whose experience complies with the Heritage Council of NSW's <i>Criteria for Assessment of Excavation</i> <i>Directors (July, 2011)</i> (referred to as the Excavation Director) to oversee and advise on matters associated with historic archaeology and to prepare an Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology . The Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology is to be submitted to the Heritage Council of NSW for review and comment prior to finalisation. The Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology must: | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Prior to excavation | N | 10/02/2016 | 2/08/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | C |
| D39 | (a) | be consistent with the NSW Heritage Council's Archaeological Assessments Guideline (1996); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D39 | (b) | provide for the detailed analysis of any heritage items discovered during the investigations; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D39 | (c) | include management options for discovered heritage items (including options for relocation and display); and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Now | ME | 1 | | | l | | l | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| CDS-JV | CDS-JV have engaged an Excavation Director, Graham Wilson, of Extent Heritage. Mr Wilson meets the relevant NSW criteria and is available to oversee and advise on historic archaeology. The role of the Excavation Director is described in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006) and the Archaeological Research Design and Excavation Methodology (HARD), which has been prepared for the project by Mr Wilson. The HARD has been reviewed by the Heritage Council of NSW and relevant Councils. The HARD is included as Appendix D to the Construction Heritage Sub- Plan. The Excavation Director is continuing to be present and advise on archaeological issues. |

| D39 | (d) | if the findings of the investigations are significant, provide for the preparation and implementation of a heritage interpretation plan. Where excavation works are required in the vicinity of potential archaeological sites, the Excavation Director must be present to advise on archaeological issues and oversee excavation works. The Excavation Director must be given the authority to advise on the duration and extent of oversight required during excavation. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|----------------|
| D40 | | In the event that archaeological relics are discovered during construction, all work must cease in the affected area and the Excavation Director must be notified and attend the site to assess the finds, identify their significance level and provide mitigation advice according to the significance level and the impact proposed. In the event that the relics are identified as being of State or local significance, the Heritage Council of NSW must be notified in writing in accordance with section 146 of the Heritage Act 1977. An Archaeological Relics Management Plan specific to the relics or site encountered is to be prepared in consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW which is to outline all feasible and reasonable measures to be implemented to avoid and/or minimise harm to the State or locally significant heritage items. Works within the vicinity of the find must not recommence without the approval of a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist in consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW. The Proponent must notify the Secretary in writing of any such encounter of an archaeological relic triggering this condition and must also notify the Secretary of the outcome of consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | CDS-J WCX I |

| . | | |
|--|----|----------|
| CX M5 ATCDS-JV would implement the Unexpected Heritage Finds Procedure, Annexure A to the Construction Heritage Sub- Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The HARD (Appendix D of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan) provides further details about the processes and assessment that would be required where the discovery is determined to be significant.No archaeological relics have been discovered during the | | |
| Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The HARD (Appendix D of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan) provides further details about the processes and assessment that would be required where the discovery is determined to be significant. No archaeological relics have been discovered during the | | CX M5 AT |
| | Is | |

| | In the event that archaeological relics are discovered, within 12 months of completing all archaeological investigations, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare an Excavation Report containing the findings of any excavations, including artefact analysis and the identification of a final repository of any finds. The Excavation Report must be submitted to the Department, the Heritage Council of NSW, and the local library and the local Historical Society in the relevant local government area(s). A copy of the Excavation Report must be retained with the relics at all times. | Y | | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | CDS-J\ |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|--------|
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|--------|

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| VL-SOV | The requirement for an Excavation Report in accordance with this condition is addressed in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| | No archaeological relics have yet been discovered for the project. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-----|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| -JV | Archival recording of the St Peters Brickpit Geological Site was completed in April 2016 using 3-dimensional modelling. |
| | The archival recordings will be included in the Heritage Interpretation Plan. This requirement is addressed in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Quarterly Construction Complian Report: No. 5 | ce | - | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|--|----|----|-----|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------|--------|---|
| D43 The Proponent must take all reasonable steps so as not to harm, modify or otherwise impact any Aboriginal heritage item associated with the SSI. | YY | YY | Y Y | Y Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-JV | The approved project EIS identified the known areas / items of Aboriginal Heritage significance within and surrounding the project footprint. No impacts to known Aboriginal heritage (inside or outside the project footprint) are expected to occur during the construction phase, with the implementation of management measures provided in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Should any activities be required beyond the approved project boundary, they would be assessed in regards to potential impact on Aboriginal heritage. The assessment of changes (that may extend beyond the approved footprint) is summarised in the CHSP (M5N-EN-PLN-PWD-0006). Measures to minimise impact to known and potential unknown Aboriginal heritage items are described in the CHSP and in the Unexpected Heritage Finds Procedure (Appendix A to the CHSP). No impacts to Aboriginal heritage items have occurred during the reporting period. |

| D44 | Where previously unidentified Aboriginal objects are discovered during construction of the SSI, all work should stop in the affected area and a suitably qualified and experienced Aboriginal heritage expert should be contacted to provide specialist heritage advice. The measures to consider and manage this process must be specified in the Construction Heritage Management Plan required by condition D68(c) and, where relevant, include registration in the OEH's Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) register. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | CD |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



WestConnex New M5

SAMSUNG

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

Impacts to Aboriginal heritage (inside or outside the SSI footprint) will be avoided by the project. Where previously unidentified Aboriginal objects are discovered, they will be managed in accordance with the Unexpected Heritage Finds Procedure (Appendix A to the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan). This requirement is addressed in the safeguards listed in the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006).

No Aboriginal objects have been discovered to date for the project.

| D45 The Prop | onent must undertake a program of nical coring at each pile location | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | N | Ν | Ν | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|-----|
| | to Alexandra Canal to obtain | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | t samples to a depth of seven metres. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ment cores are to be examined by a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | qualified and experienced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| archaeolo | ogist engaged by the Proponent to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | he the potential for Aboriginal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ogical artefacts. The assessment by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the archa | aeologist must be carried out prior to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | mencement of excavation and/or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | rks adjacent to the Canal. In the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | at artefacts are uncovered, the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proponer | nt must implement the procedures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | pected finds required by condition | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and update the Construction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Management Plan required by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| condition | n D68(c). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



CDS-JV

This requirement is addressed by the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The sediment cores are being assessed by the project archaeologist of Extent Heritage prior to excavation or

archaeologist, of Extent Heritage, prior to excavation or piling works adjacent to Alexandra Canal. If artefacts are discovered in the cores, the Unexpected Heritage Finds Procedure will be implemented.

No artefacts or relics have been discovered to date in the reporting period.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Westconnex New M5 |
|-----|------------------|--|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|-----|--------------|---|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|---|
| | uarter eport: | y Construction Complia No. 5 | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| D4€ | | heavy vehicle movements associated with the construction of the SSI are not permitted to use Wirega Avenue and Garema Circuit at Kingsgrove, or any other local road not identified for use in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c), unless approved by the Secretary. When seeking the Secretary's approval for use of such local roads, justification must be provided as to why use of the local road(s) is the only feasible and reasonable route along with details on how impacts on surrounding sensitive receivers will be managed. | Ν | Ν | | | Y Y | | Y Y | Construction | Y | 1/09/2016 | 19/10/2016 | | Compliant | CDS-JV | Heavy vehicles associated with construction of the project will not use any unapproved road unless approval has been sought and obtained by the Secretary. This is described in Section 5.6 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) and the Construction Traffic and Access Sub-Plan (CTASP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004). Where use of an unapproved local road is identified to be required for the project, a report outlining the justification for the proposed use will be submitted to DP&E. DPE Approval was given on 28/9/17 for the temporary use of The Cresent at Kingsgrove (a local road) for heavy vehivcle access (note: the road was not used for access during the reporting period). |
| D47 | | Construction vehicles (including staff vehicles) associated with the SSI must be managed so that: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y Y | Y | YY | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | The management of construction vehicles is addressed by the Construction Traffic and Access Sub-Plan (CTASP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0004) and the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) for construction traffic noise management measures. Project inductions and the Drivers Code of Conduct (Section 6.13 of the CTASP) for the project, also provide additional measures to address these requirements. Traffic controls are inspected each fortnight as a minimum by the Traffic Engineers or Traffic foreman with relevant qualification, including any night-time traffic controls.This is specified in Section 8.10 of the CTASP. |
| D47 | (a) | parking or queuing on public roads is minimised; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D47 | (b) | idling and queuing in local residential streets is minimised, where practicable; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

| D47 | 7 ((| c) | heavy vehicles adhere to the nominated haulage routes identified in the Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan required under condition D68(a); and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|-----|
| D47 | 7 (a | d) | access and egress from construction compounds is undertaken in a safe and lawful manner, with particular regard be given to this compounds located in the vicinity of schools and the potential implementation of traffic management or signalisation, in consultation with the relevant council. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D48 | | | Functional and safe pedestrian and cyclist access through and around worksites must be maintained during construction. This includes the consideration of 'safer by design' principles including the provision of appropriate sight lines and lighting. In circumstances where pedestrian and cyclist access is restricted due to construction activities, a satisfactory alternate route must be provided and signposted, including provision of footpaths where pedestrian access is reliant on grassed verges. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| DS-JV | Maintenance of pedestrian and cyclist access, including the provision of alternate routes, is addressed by Sections 6.10 and 6.11 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub-Plan (MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0004). Pedestrian and cyclist access has been considered in the layout of construction compounds and alternate access has been provided where required. Traffic management plans for each specific traffic switch cover a pedestrian detour strategy and provide a safe alternate route. |

| | D49 | | Access to all properties must be maintained during construction, where feasible and reasonable, unless otherwise agreed by the relevant property owner or occupier. Any access physically affected by the SSI must be reinstated to at least an equivalent standard, unless agreed with by the property owner. | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
|---|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|----|
| | 050 | | The Proponent must prepare and implement | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Construction | Y | 7/11/2016 | 23/12/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
| | | | a Construction Parking and Access Strategy to further identify and effectively mitigate impacts resulting from on-and off-street parking changes during construction of the SSI. The Strategy must include, but not necessarily be limited to: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| [| D50 | (a) | confirmation and timing of the removal of on- and off-street parking associated with construction of the project; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 2041 | 0.00 | nex | Marriel | |
|-----|------|----------|------------|---------|-----|
| AAd | 2511 | 0 | IEX | New | NI2 |




DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

| CDS-JV | Access to all properties has been maintained during the |
|--------|--|
| CD2-14 | Access to all properties has been maintained during the reporting period. |
| | Access to Businnesses affected during construction staging |
| | was undertaken with a consultation phase prior |
| | commencing works. Adequate mitigation measures were |
| | considered to maintainprimary accesses to all properties. |
| | |
| | Any access physically affected by the SSI will be reinstated |
| | to at least an equivalent standard, unless agreed by the property owner. Changes to access as a result of the project |
| | are addressed in Section 6.17 of the Construction Traffic |
| | and Access Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004). |
| | |
| | Traffic control plans and staging diagrams will provide |
| | details for any access arrangement during construction. |
| | Notification to property owners/occupiers will be |
| | undertaken prior to changed access arrangements in accordance with the Community Communications Strategy |
| | (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008). |
| | |
| | ER: A site inspection of local road closures carried out by |
| | the ER on Saturday 2 Sep indicated that local resident |
| | access was being maintained |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | The impact of construction works on parking is limited to |
| | local road works (Stage 4) activities. The Construction |
| | Parking and Access Strategy (M5N-AJV-TER-100-110-TM- |
| | 1609) has been developed in consultation with affected |
| | stakeholders. Consultation has been undertaken with |
| | potentially affected residents and businesses as well as the following: |
| | ionomin _b . |
| | - City of Sydney Council |
| | - Inner West Council |
| | - Roads and Maritime. |
| | The Strategy was submitted to DDP Factor 7/44/46 for |
| | The Strategy was submitted to DP&E on 7/11/16 for |
| | approval prior to the commencement of Stage 4 activities. Comments from DP&E were provided and Revision 2 of the |
| | Strategy was approved on 23/12/2016. |
| | |
| | |
| | Monitoring and reporting of monitoring results to the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |
| | Secretary and relevant Councils was undertaken during the |

| | | 10.0 | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D50 | (b) | comprehensive parking surveys of all parking spaces to be removed to determine current demand during peak, off-peak, school drop off and pickup, and weekend periods; | | | | | |
| D50 | (c) | consultation with affected stakeholders utilising existing on- and off-street parking stock which will be impacted as a result of construction; | | | | | |
| D50 | (d) | assessment of the impacts of changes to on- and off-street parking stock taking into consideration outcomes of consultation with affected stakeholders | | | | | |
| D50 | (e) | identification of mitigation measures to manage impacts to stakeholders as a result of on and off-street parking changes including, but not necessarily limited to, staged removal and replacement of parking, provision of alternative parking arrangements, managed staff parking arrangements and working with relevant councils to introduce parking restrictions adjacent to work sites and compounds; | | | | | |
| D50 | (f) | mechanisms for monitoring over appropriate intervals to determine the effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures; | | | | | |
| D50 | (g) | provision of contingency measures should the results of mitigation monitoring indicate implemented measures are ineffective; and | | | | | |
| D50 | (h) | provision of reporting of monitoring results to the Secretary and relevant councils at appropriate intervals. | | | | | |
| D50 | | The Construction Parking and Access Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction. | | | | | |



| D51 | Prior to commencement of any tunnelling works, the Proponent must prepare and | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Y | Ν | Prior to tunnelling | Y | 25/07/2016 | 23/11/2016 | 23/11/2016 | Compliant | CD |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | implement a Spoil Management Plan for the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SSI. The Spoil Management Plan is to be | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | developed, in consultation the relevant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | council(s), for the approval of the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The Spoil Management Plan must incorporate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | detailed information on the handling and transport of spoil generated during | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | construction of the SSI, and provide | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | information regarding each of the broad | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | parameters specified in the documents listed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | in conditions A2(b) and A2(c). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The Spoil Management Plan is to be prepared | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | separate to, but consistent with, the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan required under condition D68(a). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | han required under condition bob(a). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \bot |



WestConnex New M5

SAMSUN

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

A Spoil Management Plan (M5N-CN-PLN-PWD-0002) has been developed and was provided to the following councils for consultation on 25/5/16:

- City of Sydney
- Georges River
- Inner West
- City of Botany Bay
- Rockdale City Council - Canterbury-Bankstown

The Spoil Management Plan was updated to address feedback received during consultation. Revision 6 of the plan was submitted to DP&E on 4/11/16, which was conditionally approved on 23/11/2016. The Plan was updated in accordance with the conditional approval and resubmitted to DP&E on 12/01/2017.

ER: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 21 Sep indicated that it is being implemented

| D52 | The clearing of native vegetation must be minimised with the objective of reducing | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | Ν | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CD |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|----|
| | impacts to any threatened species, | | | | | | | | | | construction | | | | | | |
| | populations and ecological communities to the greatest extent practicable. Impacted | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | vegetation must be rehabilitated with endemic species (in the first instance) and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | locally native species to the greatest extent practicable. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

The clearing of native vegetation is being minimised through design and construction where practicable. The avoidance of vegetation during the establishment of ancillary compounds is addressed in the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) and the Tree Reports required under CoA B63. Site Environmental Plans identify areas of sensitive vegetation and habitat to be retained during construction.

Additional opportunities to avoid vegetation clearance will be investigated throughout construction.


Rehabilitation requirements are identified in the Flora and Fauna Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007) and are detailed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan.

No threatened species, populations and ecological communities have been removed during the reporting period.

Note: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 21 Sep indicated that it is being implemented.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|----|---|---|---|----|-----|---|---|---|-----|-------------------------------|---|-----------|-----|------------|-----------|--------|---|
| | | - | Construction Compliand | се | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| D5 | 3 | | Prior to removing/clearing any vegetation, pre-clearing surveys and inspections for threatened species, populations and ecological communities must be undertaken to confirm the on-site location of those entities. The surveys and inspections, and any subsequent relocation of species and associated management/offset measures, must be undertaken under the guidance of a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist. Methodologies must be incorporated into the Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan required under condition D68(d) and Ancillary Facilities Management Plan required under condition D57. The agreement of OEH or DPI, whichever is the relevant agency, is required for any proposed amendments to the location or reclassification of threatened species, populations and ecological communities. | | Y Y | Y | Y | | Y Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-JV | Pre-clearing surveys were undertaken between 10-13 May 2016 for areas containing vegetation to be removed for the project to determine the presence of actual or potential threatened species, populations, ecological communities or other significant habitat. The results of these surveys are included in Appendix F of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-Plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007). Additional pre-clearing surveys were undertaken on 21 March 2017 at the Marsh Street/Eve Street frog pond site and the proposed Tempe Reserve grouting site. All clearing is being conducted in accordance with the CDS- JV Manage Flora and Fauna Procedure (Appendix B to the CFFSP), which requires a site-specific permit to clear and a pre-clearance inspection. Consultation requirements with OEH/DPI are also set out in the CFFSP and associated procedures. |
| D5 | | | The Proponent must prepare and implement a Construction Contamination ManagementYPlan to manage potential contamination impacts during construction of the SSI (excluding contamination covered by the Landfill Closure Management Plan for the Alexandria Landfill site). The Construction Contamination Management Plan must be developed in consultation with the EPA and relevant councils, and include, but not be limited to:details of construction activities and their | Y | Y Y | Y | Y | Ŷ | YYY | Construction | N | 2/06/2016 | N/A | 13/12/2016 | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan was provided to EPA, Sydney Water and the following councils on 17/05/2016 for consultation: - City of Sydney - Georges River - Inner West - City of Botany Bay - City of Rockdale - Canterbury-Bankstown The Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan was submitted to DP&E on 2 June 2016. DP&E provided comments on the Plan on 27/07/2016. The Plan was revised to address comments and Revision 2 was submitted on 13/12/2016. |
| | | - | locations which have the potential to expose areas known to contain, or potentially contain, contaminated soils and/or materials; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | NO. 5 | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D54 | (b) | details of management measures to minimise bed sediment mobilisation in Alexandra Canal. All measures must comply with the actions required of Remediation Order H01833, 23004/ Area #3151 issued by the EPA on 10 May 2004; | | | | | | |
| D54 | (c) | measures for the handling, treatment and management of hazardous and contaminated soils, materials and groundwater including measures to manage and/or minimise public health and safety concerns with regards to exposure to contamination; | | | | | | |
| D54 | (d) | an Unexpected Finds Procedure detailing procedures and management measures to be implemented in the event that contaminated material is uncovered in any area not identified in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b}, A2(c) and A2(e); | | | | | | |
| D54 | (e) | a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing contamination impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, and how the results of the monitoring would be recorded and reported; and | | | | | | |
| D54 | (f) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of this Construction Contamination Management Plan. | | | | | | |
| D54 | | The Construction Contamination Management Plan must be submitted to the Secretary prior to undertaking any works which may result in the disturbance of contaminated soil, land or materials. | | | | | | |
| D54 | | Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing separate Construction Contamination Management Plans for specific areas of work, rather than a plan which addresses the entire SSI | | | | | | |



| D | 55 | Dangerous goods, as defined by the Australian Dangerous Goods Code, must be stored and handled strictly in accordance with: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Non Compliant | CD |
|----------|--------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----|-----|---------|------------------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D! D! | • • | (a) all relevant Australian Standards; (b) for liquids, a minimum bund volume requirement of 110% of the volume of the largest single stored volume, within the bund; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 55 (c) | (c) the Environment Protection Manual for Authorised Officers: Bunding and Spill Management, technical bulletin (EPA, 1997). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 55 | In the event of an inconsistency between the requirements listed from (a) to (c) above, the most stringent requirement must prevail to the extent of the inconsistency. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

Part D, Appendix C to the Construction Environment Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0001) identifies relevant legislation to the project which includes dangerous goods and hazardous substances legislation.

The relevant requirements for the storage and handling of dangerous goods is addressed by the approved Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005).

ER: Inspections of bunding at the SPI Tunnel Water Treatment Plant over the course of the reporting period indicate deficiencies including the lack of a splash shield; the storage of 25L drums of liquids including oils, 200L drum of unknown liquid and a hazardous liquid IBC stored outside the bunded area in breach of EPA bunding guidelines required under D55 (b) & (c)

| Q | Jarterly | y Construction Compli | anc | е | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAMSUNG |
|-----|----------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------|---|------------|-----------|--------|---|
| | eport: İ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| D56 | | The Proponent must provide boundary screening within all construction sites that adjoin or are adjacent to residential and/or commercial properties, consistent with the surrounding context, in consultation with affected property owners. | | Y | N | | Y | Y | Y | | Y | Construction | N | N/A | N/A | | Compliant | CDS-JV | Boundary screening has been installed at ancillary facilities adjoining or adjacent to residential and/or commercial properties. This is addressed in Section 6.13 of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (MSN-ES- PLN-PWD-0026). Screening includes temporary acoustic barriers, where applicable, which are described in the Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies as described under CoA D20. Consultation has been completed with properties adjoining the following compounds for preparation of the Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies required under D20: - Kingsgrove construction compounds (C1-C3) - Bexley construction compounds (C4-C6) - Arncliffe construction compounds (C7) - SPI construction compounds (C8-C11) - Campbell St construction sites and Camdenville Park minor ancillary facility. Comments/feedback regarding boundary screening and noise walls has been considered by CDS-JV and incorporated where possible into the final site design plans. |
| D57 | | Prior to the establishment of the ancillary facilities described in the documents listed in conditions A2(b) and A2(c), the Proponent must prepare and implement an Ancillary Facilities Management Plan which outlines the environmental management practices an procedures for the establishment and operation of the ancillary facilities. The Ancillary Facilities Management Plan must be prepared in consultation with the EPA and the relevant council and submitted to the Secretary for approval. The Ancillary Facilities, Management Plan must detail the management of these ancillary facilities, and include, but not be limited to: a description of each ancillary facility (including a site layout plan), its components and details of the existing environment on and in the vicinity of the site; | d | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | Y | 3/05/2016 | AFMP: 9/06/2016 Addendum 1: 18/07/2016 | 18/07/2016 | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (AFMP; M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0026) was provided to the EPA and the following councils for consultation on 1/04/16: - City of Sydney - Hurstville (Georges River Council) - Marrickville (Inner West Council) - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury (Canterbury-Bankstown Council) The AFMP (Revision 5) was submitted to DP&E on 3/05/16 and approved on 23/06/2016. Revision 7 of the AFMP was submitted on 27/02/2017 to incorporate additional approved facilities and to reflect commencement of construction in most project areas. |
| D57 | (b) | a description of the works proposed to be undertaken during site establishment; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

-

| | 0.01 | NO. 5 | | | | | | |
|-----|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D57 | (c) | details of the activities to be carried out at each facility, including the hours of operation, staging of operation and predicted date of commissioning; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (d) | a description of the plant, equipment and materials to be used and/or stored on each site, including dangerous and hazardous goods; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (e) | a summary of the potential environmental impacts associated with the establishment and operation of the facility; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (f) | details of the mitigation, monitoring and management procedures specific to each facility that would be implemented to minimise environmental and amenity impacts or, where this is not possible, feasible and reasonable measures to offset these impacts; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (g) | measures to minimise and manage flora and fauna impacts including- | | | | | | |
| D57 | | (i) clearing procedures incorporating pre- clearing surveys and inspections and measures for minimising the extent of clearing, | | | | | | |
| D57 | | (ii) measures to protect the remaining portion of Cooks River/Castlereagh Ironbark Forest and ensure that it is not impacted by the establishment and operation of construction compounds, | | | | | | |
| D57 | | (iii) procedures for removal and relocation of fauna during clearing, and | | | | | | |
| D57 | | construction worker induction and education; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (h) | a description of how the management and mitigation measures set out in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c) will be implemented on each site, and if not, justification for any departures from those management and mitigation measures; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (i) | details of the community consultation to be undertaken with affected and adjoining landowners and sensitive receivers | | | | | | |
| D57 | (j) | details on the height and materials of noise barriers/hoardings at each facility; | | | | | | |
| D57 | (k) | identification of the timing for the completion of site activities at each facility and how each site will be decommissioned (including any necessary rehabilitation); and | | | | | | |
| D57 | (1) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan. | | | | | | |

| We | stConnex | New M5 |
|----|----------|-------------|
| | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | must include an Arncliffe Construction Compound Sub-plan , prepared in consultation with OEH, which includes the following: | | | | | | | | | | Construction | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| D58 | | Proponent from fulfilling the requirements of any conditions in this approval which require certain plans, programs or actions to be undertaken prior to site establishment works or operation of an ancillary facility proceeding. The Ancillary Facilities Management Plan | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Establishment | Y | 20/05/2016 | 9/06/2016 | 9/06/2016 | Compliant | 0 |
| D57 D57 | | Such works are considered to be construction. Nothing in this condition exempts the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | (c) | facilities; or excavation activities (excluding excavation associated with trenching for services, site levelling for the erection of construction site offices and parking and storage and maintenance sheds; or excavation of sediment ponds for construction sediment and erosion control) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | (b) | the erection of acoustic sheds at ancillary facilities; or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | (a) | piling (except for piling required for the erection of noise barriers around construction compounds); or | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | | For the purposes of this condition, site establishment works does not include: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | | No construction-related works or activities are to be undertaken on the ancillary facility sites prior to approval of the Construction Environment Management Plan required under condition D67. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | | The Proponent must update the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan to incorporate the site establishment and operation practices required for any additional ancillary facilities approved by the Secretary under condition 063. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D57 | | In considering the approval of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan, the Secretary will take into account the Proponent's response to public authority and relevant council comments on the plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CDS-JV | The Arncliffe Construction Compound Sub-plan (M5N-ES- PLN-ARN-0001) was provided to OEH for consultation on 3/05/16. The sub-plan was submitted to DP&E for approval on 20 May 2016 and was approved on 9/06/2016. |
| | The Sub-plan was updated (Revision 3) for consistency with the DP&E approval of Revision 18 of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management. The update was considered to be of a minor nature and was therefore approved by the Environmental Representative on 16/02/2017. |
| | |

| | D58 | (a) | the management measures as specified in rows 4-12 of Table 1 of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management presented in Appendix K of Appendix S, Volume 2H of the document referred to in condition A2(b) and any additional measures included in the updated management plan required by condition B14; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------|-----------|-------|
| | D58 | (b) | procedures for decommissioning of the surface water bodies within the construction compound; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | (c) | a stop-work procedure in the event that Green and Golden Bell Frogs are identified on site. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | | The management measures should specifically describe: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | (a) | what information would be included in the site inductions, who would be inducted and the timing and responsibilities for induction; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | (b) | the location and type of erosion and sediment controls to be implemented; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 D58 | (c) (d) | the methods for dust suppression; acid sulphate soil management procedures; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | | and hygiene protocol to minimise the potential for | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 038 | (e) | the introduction and spread of Chytrid Fungus by plant, equipment, construction vehicles, construction workers and materials. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D58 | | The Proponent is not required to consult with the relevant council on the Arncliffe Construction Compound Sub-plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D59 | | Prior to establishing the Arncliffe construction compound (C7), the Proponent must implement the following management measures as specified in the first three rows of Table 1 of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management presented in Appendix K of Appendix S, Volume 2H of the document referred to in condition A2(b): | N Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Prior to establishment | N | N/A | N/A | 27/07/2016 | Compliant | CDS-J |
| | D59 | (a) | define the construction clearing area; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | D59 D59 | (b) (c) | establish a frog exclusion zone; and undertake pre-clearance survey and salvage activities (i.e. frog collection). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | | | | I I | I | I | I | l | l | I | I | I | ļ | 1 | I | l | I | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| D2-JV | This pre-establishment requirement is addressed in the approved Arncliffe Construction Compound Sub-plan (M5N- ES-PLN-ARN-001), which includes the relevant procedures and other management measures to manage frog and tadpole salvage and relocation. The pre-establishment activities have been undertaken and the pre-clearance surveys were undertaken on 23-26th July 2016. Written advice from the RMS herpetologist was provided on 26/07/2016 and this advice was submitted to the Secretary DP&E on 27/07/2016. Decommissioning of ponds is complete at the site. The implementation of actions under the PoM is reported quarterly to DP&E and OEH. ER inspection reports also verify that these requirements are being implemented. |

| D59 | | The Proponent must also establish a procedure for the collection of Green and Golden Bell Frog tadpoles from the existing surface waterbodies at the Kogarah Golf Course that will be impacted by the Arncliffe construction compound, and implement the procedure if tadpoles are present prior to decommissioning of the waterbodies. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|-----|
| D59 | | Any salvaged frogs and tadpoles must be either relocated to the RTA ponds or an appropriate holding facility which is staffed by appropriately trained and experienced frog specialists. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D59 | | No site establishment or construction-related activities or works are permitted at the proposed Arncliffe construction compound site until such time that the above management measures have been implemented and written notice to this effect has been provided to the Secretary by a suitably qualified and experienced frog specialist. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D59 | | The management measures specified in (a) to (c) and above and tadpole collection may be undertaken prior to the Proponent implementing any actions that are required by the conditions set out in Parts B, C, D and E of this approval. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D60 | | Site establishment works at ancillary facilities must be undertaken in accordance with the construction hours specified in conditions D12 and D14. | Y | Y | Ŷ | N | N | N | N | N | N | Establishment | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS |
| D60 | | Notwithstanding, the following activities can be undertaken outside of the hours specified in conditions D12 and D14. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D60 | (a) | the delivery of materials/equipment/plant where it is required by the police or other authorities for safety reasons; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D60 | (b) | works required in an emergency to avoid the loss of lives, property and/or prevent environmental harm; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | ļ | I | I | I | I | 1 | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| DS-JV | This requirement is addressed by the construction hours and related management measures specified in Section 5.1.1 and Appendix B of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). |
| | Site establishment works are complete in most areas. However, any additional site establishment works will be undertaken in accordance with this condition. |
| | All out of hours works during establishment activities are subject to an Out of Hours Work Permit approval, which provides an assessment of noise and vibration and compliance with the conditions of the EPL (D14) and this condition. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |


| C | 060 | (c) | utility connections where the utility provider requires the connections be performed outside of the specified hours; or works which have the potential to impact on road/traffic safety and must be carried out as a result of RMS Traffic Management Centre requirements | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|--------|
| C | 061 | | The Proponent must comply with the requirements of conditions D16, D18, D22 and D24, when establishing ancillary facilities. | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | Establishment | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-J\ |
| | 062 | (a) | Other than ancillary facilities described in the documents listed in conditions A2(b) and A2(c), or those ancillary facilities approved by the Secretary under condition D63, or allowed under condition D64, the location of ancillary facilities must comply with the following locational criteria: be located more than 50 metres from a | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Compliant | CDS-J\ |
| | | (4) | waterway; | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| CDS-JV | The nominated requirements are addressed through the control measures specified in Appendix B of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) and the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0039). |
| CDS-JV | An assessment of each ancillary facility against the locational criteria is provided in Section 5.2 of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0026), and in the case of the HV Power works sites, in the AFMP Addendum No. 1 (Appendix J to the AFMP). Where additional ancillary facilities are proposed they are also assessed against the criteria as described in the AFMP. All additional ancillary facilities assessed during the reporting period required approval under CoA D63 or D64. No additional ancillary facilities were established during the reporting period. |

| | ·ΥΡ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| De | 62 | (b) | be located within or adjacent to land where the SSI is being carried out; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (c) | have ready access to the road network; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (d) | be located to minimise the need for heavy vehicles to travel on local streets and/or through residential areas; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De De | | (e) (f) | be sited on relatively level land; be separated from nearest residences by at least 200 metres (or at least 300 metres for a temporary batching plant); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (g) | not require vegetation clearing beyond that already required by the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (h) | not impact on heritage items (including areas of archaeological sensitivity) beyond those already impacted by the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (i) | not unreasonably affect the land use of adjacent properties; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (j) | be above the 20 ARI flood level unless a contingency plan to manage flooding is prepared and implemented; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 62 | (k) | provide sufficient area for the storage of raw materials to minimise, to the greatest extent practical, the number of deliveries required outside standard construction hours. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 63 | | Prior to establishment of any ancillary facility not described in the documents listed in conditions A2 (b) and A2(c) and which does not meet the locational criteria in condition D52, the Proponent must prepare and implement a Site-Specific Ancillary Facilities Management Plan. The Site-Specific Ancillary Facilities Management Plan must be prepared for the approval of the Secretary, and include: | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Ŷ | Y | Establishment Construction | Y | 9/09/2016 | 14/11/2016 | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS |
| De | 63 | (a) | a detailed description of the ancillary facility, including proposed use and access arrangements; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 63 | (b) | a review of the environmental and social impacts of the ancillary facility, including an analysis of compliance with the locational criteria specified in condition 0; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | 63 | (c) | measures to avoid, mitigate and manage environmental and social impacts associated with the ancillary facility; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | | | l | 1 | 1 | I | I | I | 1 | I | 1 | I | 1 | I | ļ | I | I | i l | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| VI-SC | The assessment and approval requirements for additional ancillary facilities are described in Section 4.3 of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0026). Where the ancillary facility does not comply with the locational criteria, or does not comprise a minor ancillary facility (condition D64), a site-specific ancillary facilities management plan will be submitted for approval. |
| | During the reporting period, an updated Site-specific Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (SSAFMP) for the temporary grouting works at Tempe to accomodate additional holes was submitted to DPE on 19/09/17 and was approved on 17/10/17. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| D63 | (d) | demonstration that, with the measures proposed in accordance with (c), the impacts of the ancillary site are consistent with – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|----|
| D63 | | the overall project impacts described in documents listed in conditions A2(b) and A2(c) , and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D63 | | all relevant conditions of this approval. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D64 | | The Secretary's approval is not required for minor ancillary facilities (e.g. lunch sheds, office sheds, and portable toilet facilities, etc.) that do not comply with the criteria set out in condition D62 of this approval and which: | Y | Ŷ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Establishment Construction | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CI |
| D64 | (a) | are located within an active construction zone within the approved SSI footprint; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D64 | (b) | have been assessed by the Environmental Representative to have – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D64 | (b) | (i) minimal amenity impacts to surrounding residences, with consideration to matters such as noise and vibration impacts, traffic and access impacts, dust and odour impacts, and visual (including light spill) impacts, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D64 | (b) | minimal environmental impact in respect to waste management, and no impacts on flora and fauna, soil and water, and heritage beyond those approved for the SSI; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D64 | (c) | have environmental and amenity impacts that can be managed through the implementation of environmental measures detailed in the Construction Environment Management Plan required under condition D66. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| D65 | All ancillary facilities and supporting infrastructure must be rehabilitated to at least their pre-construction condition or | Ν | N | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction Post-construction | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | CD |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|----|
| | better, unless otherwise agreed by the landowner where relevant. Where the rehabilitated site is residual land then | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | condition B67 applies. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |




CDS-JV

Any ancillary facilities or other sites disturbed by the project will be rehabilitated in accordance with this requirement. Rehabilitation requirements are addressed by Section 7.19 of the approved Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026), and will be described in detail in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan for operational sites, or the Residual Land Management Plan for residual land, once finalised.

| | ortorly | Construction Complia | <u></u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|--|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|-------------------------------|---|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|--|
| | port: I | / Construction Complian No. 5 | nce | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| D66 | | The privacy of adjoining residential development is to be considered in the design and establishment of ancillary facilities. Where an ancillary facility overlooks residential property, privacy measures will be provided in consultation with the affected property owner. | Υ | Y | N | Y | | | | Y Y | | Establishment Construction | Ν | N/A | N/A | Complete | Compliant | CDS-JV | Privacy of adjoining premises has been considered in the design of the ancillary facilities. Consultation was undertaken in September 2016 with affected property owners/occupiers on Glamis Street where the Kingsgrove C1 construction compound overlooks property. Measures have been implemented during establishment to maximise privacy, as described in Section 7.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). The completed noise wall adjoining properties on Campbell St (west) provides privacy as well as noise mitigation. |
| D67 | | Prior to the commencement of construction, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare and implement a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) for the SSI. The CEMP is to be prepared in consultation with the, OEH, DPI (Water) and the relevant council(s). The CEMP must outline the environmental management practices and procedures that are to be followed during construction. The CEMP is to be prepared in accordance with the <i>Guideline</i> <i>for the Preparation of Environmental</i> <i>Management Plans (Department of</i> <i>Infrastructure, Planning and Natural</i> <i>Resources, 2004).</i> The CEMP must include, but not be limited to: | Ν | N | N | Y | Ŷ | Ŷ | Ŷ | Y Y | Ŷ | Construction | Y | 31/05/2016 | 4/08/2016 | Complete | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Project Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0001) was provided to OEH, DPI Water and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville (now Inner West Council) - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury The CEMP was submitted to DP&E for approval on 31 May 2016 and was approved by DP&E on 4/08/16. Ongoing implementation of the CEMP is evidenced in the weekly ER inspection reports. Annual review of the CEMP will be undertaken in August / September 2017. Note: Minor updates to the Construction Environmental Management Plan were approved by the ER as part of the projects annual review on 16th Oct 2017. |

WestConnex New M5

| D67 | (a) | a description of activities to be undertaken during construction of the SSI (including staging and scheduling); | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D67 | (b) | statutory and other obligations that the Proponent is required to fulfil during construction, including approvals, consultations and agreements required from authorities and other stakeholders under key legislation and policies; | | | | | |
| D67 | (c) | a description of the roles and responsibilities for relevant employees involved in the construction of the SSI, including relevant training and induction provisions for ensuring that employees, including contractors and sub-contractors, are aware of their environmental and compliance obligations under these conditions of approval; | | | | | |
| D67 | (d) | an environmental risk analysis to identify the key environmental performance issues associated with the construction phase; and | | | | | |
| D67 | (e) | details of how environmental performance would be managed and monitored to meet acceptable outcomes, including what actions will be taken to address identified potential adverse environmental impacts (including any impacts arising from the staging of the construction of the SSI). In particular, the following environmental performance issues must be addressed in the CEMP – | | | | | |
| D67 | (e) | i. measures to monitor and manage dust emissions including dust from stockpiles, blasting, traffic on unsealed public roads and materials tracking from construction sites onto public roads, | | | | | |
| D67 | (e) | ii. measures for the handling, treatment and management of hazardous and contaminated materials (including asbestos), | | | | | |



| Кероп. і | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| D67 (e) | iii. measures to monitor and manage waste generated during construction including but not limited to general procedures for waste classification, handling, reuse, and disposal, use of secondary waste material in construction wherever feasible and reasonable, procedures or dealing with green waste including timber and mulch from clearing activities. and measures for reducing demand on water resources (including potential for reuse of treated water from sediment control basins); | | |
| D67 (e) | iv. measures to monitor and manage hazard and risks, | | |
| D67 (e) | v. measures to monitor and rectify any impacts to third party property and infrastructure, including details of the process for rectification or compensation of affected landowners, and timeframes for rectification works or compensation processes, and | | |
| D67 (e) | the sub-plans identified in condition D68 | | |
| D67 | The CEMP must include procedures for its periodic review and update (including the sub-plans required under condition 068), as necessary (including where minor changes can be approved by the Environmental Representative). | | |
| D67 | Nothing in this condition prevents the Proponent from preparing a Stockpile Management Protocol as part of the CEMP. | | |
| D67 | The CEMP must be submitted for the approval of the Secretary no later than one month prior to the commencement of construction, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The CEMP may be prepared in stages; however, construction works must not commence until written approval of the relevant stage has been received from the Secretary. | | |
| D67 | The approval of a CEMP does not relieve the Proponent of any requirement associated with this SSI approval. If there is an inconsistency with an approved CEMP and the conditions of this SSI approval, the requirements of this SSI approval will prevail. | | |
| D68 | As part of the CEMP for the SSI, the Proponent must prepare and implement: | | |

| | We | stConnex | New M5 |
|--|----|----------|-------------|
| | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |


| D68 | 3 (a) | a Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan to ensure traffic and access controls are implemented to avoid or minimise impacts on traffic, pedestrian and cyclist access, and the amenity of the surrounding environment. The Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan must be developed in consultation with the relevant council(s), emergency services, road user groups, and pedestrian and bicycle user groups, and include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 25/05/2016 | 27/07/2016 | 27/07/2016 | Compliant | |
|-----|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--|
| D68 | 3 (a) | i. identification of construction traffic routes including any known road closures and consideration of alternate routes and construction traffic volumes (including heavy vehicle/spoil haulage) on these routes; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | 3 (a) | ii. details of vehicle movements for construction sites and ancillary facilities including parking, dedicated vehicle turning areas, and ingress and egress points; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | 3 (a) | iii. demonstration that sufficient on-site parking is provided at construction compounds to accommodate all construction staff at any one time, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



CDS-JV

SAMSUNG C&T The Construction Traffic and Access Sub Plan (CTASP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004) was provided to OEH, DPI Water and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville (now Inner West Council) - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury. The CTASP was also provided to the following groups on 3/5/2016: - NSW Police - NSW State Emergency Service - NSW Fire and Rescue - NSW Ambulance - NRMA - Motorcycle Council of Australia - Transport Workers Union - Action for Public Transport (ATP NSW) Inc - Pedestrian Council of Australia - Bicycle NSW - Bike Sydney - BikEast Inc - Bike Marrickville - Bike South West - Bikes Botany Bay - Cyclists against WestCONnex. The CTASP was approved by DP&E on 27/07/16. Revision 7 of the CTASP was submitted to DP&E on 5/01/2017 for consistency with the approved Spoil Management Plan. Short term and long term traffic controls outlined in the CTASP are subject to regular monitoring by the project Traffic Engineers and Site Supervisors. Inspection records are maintained by the Traffic Team. ER: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 25 May indicated that it is being implemented

| | JOIL. 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|---|-----|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| D68 | (a) | iv. discussion of construction impacts that could result in disruption of traffic, public transport, pedestrian and cycle access, access to public land, property access, including details of oversize load movements, and the nature and duration of those impacts; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | v. details of management measures to minimise traffic impacts, including temporary road work traffic control measures, onsite vehicle queuing and parking areas and management measures to minimise peak time congestion and measures to ensure safe pedestrian and cycle access; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | vi. details of measures to maintain or provide alternative safe and accessible routes for pedestrians throughout the duration of construction; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | vii. details of measures to maintain connectivity for cyclists, with particular emphasis on providing adequate access between key existing cycle routes for commuter cyclists; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | viii. details of measures to manage traffic movements, parking, loading and unloading at ancillary facilities during out-of-hours work; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | ix. details of methods to be used to communicate proposed future traffic changes to affected road users, pedestrians and cyclists, consistent with the Community Communication Strategy required under condition C1; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | an adaptive response plan which sets out a process for response to any traffic, construction or other incident; and | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (a) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Construction Traffic and Access Management Plan. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 1 | I I | 1 | 1 | | | | |



| D68 | (b) | a Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan to detail how construction noise and vibration impacts will be minimised and managed. The Plan must be consistent with the guidelines contained in the <i>Interim</i> <i>Construction Noise Guidelines (DECC, 2009)</i> . The Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 27/05/2016 | 7/07/2016 | 7/07/2016 | Compliant | CE |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----|
| D68 | (b) | identification of the work areas, site compounds and access points; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | identification of sensitive receivers and relevant construction noise and vibration goals applicable to the SSI and stipulated in the conditions above; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | iii. details of construction activities and an indicative schedule for construction works, including the identification of key noise and/or vibration generating construction activities (based on representative construction scenarios, including at ancillary facilities) that have the potential to generate noise and/or vibration impacts on surrounding sensitive receivers, particularly residential areas; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | iv. details of the predicted worst-case noise and vibration levels, including cumulative impacts arising from concurrent construction works and potential for sleep disturbance; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | v. figures illustrating the predicted safe working distances for vibration intensive activities and equipment; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

The Project Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; TH014-15 01F01 WCX_NM5 / M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003) was provided to OEH, DPI Water, EPA (for Outof-hours Protocol) and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016:


- City of Sydney
- Hurstville
- Marrickville (now Inner West Council)
- City of Botany Bay
- Rockdale
- Canterbury

The CNVMP was submitted to DP&E for approval on 27 May 2016. The CNVMP has been updated to address DP&E comments and Revision 19 of the CNVMP was approved by DP&E on 7/7/16.


Implementation of the CNVMP is evidenced through the weekly ER inspection reports.

ER: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 4 May indicated that it is being implemented

| 1.0h | | 0.0 | | | | | | |
|------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D68 | (b) | vi. an Out-of-Hours Work Protocol for the assessment, management and approval of works outside of standard construction hours as defined in condition D12 of this approval, for the Secretary's approval. The Out-of-Hours Work Protocol must be prepared in consultation with the EPA and be consistent with the out-of-hours work procedure detailed in the <i>Construction Noise Strategy</i> (Transport Construction Authority, 2011) and- | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | provide an assessment of out-of-hours works against the relevant noise and vibration criteria, | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | B. provide detailed mitigation measures for any residual impacts (that is, additional to general mitigation measures), including extent of at-receiver treatments, and | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | Set out proposed notification arrangements; | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | vii. justification for any construction works proposed to be undertaken within the Alexandria Landfill site outside of the construction hours specified in condition D12 and which do not meet the requirements of either conditions D13 and D15; | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | viii. identification of feasible and reasonable procedures and mitigation measures to ensure relevant vibration and blasting criteria are achieved, including a suitable blast program, applicable buffer distances for vibration intensive works, use of low-vibration generating equipment/vibration dampeners or alternative construction methodology, and pre- and post- construction dilapidation surveys of sensitive structures (including heritage items) where blasting and/or vibration is likely to result in damage to buildings and structures (including surveys being undertaken immediately following a monitored exceedance of the criteria); | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | ix. details of tunnelling including associated impacts, management and mitigation measures; | | | | | | |



| 1.01 | JUIT. 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D68 | (b) | x. Identification of feasible and reasonable measures proposed to be implemented minimise and manage construction noise and vibration impacts, especially sleep disturbance (including construction traffic noise impacts), including, but not limited to, acoustic enclosures, erection of noise walls (hoardings), at- property architectural treatments, respite periods and the limiting of truck movements during night periods including: | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | (A) consideration of mitigation measures for sensitive receivers adjoining Campbell Road, Campbell Street and Euston Road, | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | (B) the identification of receivers eligible for at-property acoustic treatment for the mitigation and management of operational noise (at-property acoustic treatments are to be installed prior to construction), | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | (C) the identification of receivers eligible for alternative accommodation as determined by the criteria identified within Table 12-49 of the EIS described within condition A2 (inclusive of the consideration and identification of shift workers for alternate accommodation), and | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | (D) the outcomes of community consultation regarding the implementation of any temporary noise barriers developed in accordance with condition D20 | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | xi. a description of how the effectiveness of mitigation and management measures would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be conducted, the locations where monitoring would take place, how the results of this monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance is detected, how any noncompliance would be rectified; | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | xii. evidence that the EPA has been consulted on the Out-of-Hours Work Protocol and where and how any issues raised by, or requirements of the, EPA have been addressed; and | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (b) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Construction Noise and Vibration Monitoring Plan. | | | | | | | | |



| D68 | (c) | a Construction Heritage Management Plan to ensure, and provide detail of how, construction impacts on Aboriginal and non- Aboriginal heritage will be appropriately minimised and managed. The Construction Heritage Management Plan must include, but not be limited to: | N | Ν | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 30/05/2016 | 2/08/2016 | 2/08/2016 | Compliant | |
|------------|------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| D68 D68 | (c) (c) | i. in relation to Aboriginal Heritage – A. procedures for dealing with previously unidentified Aboriginal objects (excluding human remains), including cessation of works in the vicinity, assessment of the significance of the item(s) and determination of appropriate mitigation measures, including when works can re-commence, by a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist in consultation with the OEH, and Aboriginal stakeholders, and assessment of the consistency of any Aboriginal heritage impacts against the approved impacts of the SSI, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | B. procedures for dealing with human remains, including cessation of works in the vicinity, notification of, NSW Police Force, OEH and Aboriginal stakeholders, and commitment to cease recommencing any works in the area unless authorised by OEH and/or the NSW Police Force, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | C. heritage training and induction processes for construction personnel (including procedures for keeping records of inductions and obligations under this approval) including site identification, protection and conservation of Aboriginal cultural heritage, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5



SAMSUN



CDS-JV The Project Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006) was provided to OEH, DPI Water, the Heritage Council of NSW, the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville (now Inner West Council) - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury The CHSP has been prepared and was submitted to DP&E for approval on 30 May 2016. The CHSP was approved by DP&E on 2/08/2016. Implementation of the CHSP is evidenced through the weekly ER inspection reports ER: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 7 Sep indicated that it is being implemented Note: Minor updates to the Construction Heritage Management Plan were approved by the ER as part of the projects annual review on 11th Oct 2017.

| | JOIL. 1 | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D68 | (c) | D. procedures for ongoing Aboriginal consultation and involvement for the duration of the SSI, in the event that previously unidentified Aboriginal objects are discovered; and | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | ii. In relation to non-Aboriginal Heritage | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | A. identification of heritage items directly and indirectly affected by the SSI, | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | B. details of management measures to be implemented to prevent and minimise impacts on heritage items (including further heritage investigations, archival recordings and/or measures to protect unaffected sites during construction works in the vicinity), | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | C. details of monitoring and reporting requirements for impacts on heritage items, | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | D. procedures for dealing with previously unidentified heritage objects and relics, including cessation of works in the vicinity, assessment of the significance of the item(s) and determination of appropriate mitigation measures including when works can recommence by a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist in consultation with the Heritage Council of NSW, and assessment of the consistency of any heritage impacts against the approved impacts of the SSI, | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | E. processes and mechanisms for the reuse and recycling of building and landscape components from contributory, potential and locally listed heritage items within other built or landscaped components of the SSI, and | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | F. heritage training and induction processes for construction personnel (including procedures for keeping records of inductions and obligations under this approval) including site identification, protection and conservation of non-Aboriginal cultural heritage; and | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | iii. mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Construction Heritage Management Plan. | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (c) | The Construction Heritage Management Plan must be developed in consultation with the OEH, Heritage Council of NSW (for non- Aboriginal heritage) and Registered Aboriginal Groups (for Aboriginal heritage). | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | Wes | tConnex | New M5 |
|------|---------------|----------|------------------------|
| CONT | PB ractors | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| D68 | (d) | a Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan to detail how construction impacts on ecology will be minimised and managed. The Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan must be endorsed by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist and be prepared in consultation with the OEH, and must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 27/05/2016 | 20/07/2016 | 20/07/2016 | Compliant | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|---|
| D68 | (d) | i. detailed maps showing the location of impacted and adjoining flora and fauna habitat areas; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | ii. detailed maps showing where pre- clearing surveys will be undertaken to confirm the location of threatened species, populations and ecological communities; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | iii. the identification of areas to be impacted and details of management measures to avoid residual habitat damage or loss and to minimise or eliminate time lags between the removal and subsequent replacement of habitat such as – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | A. clearing minimisation procedures (including fencing), | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | B. clearing procedures, | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | C. removal and relocation of fauna during clearing, | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | D. habitat tree management, | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | E. fauna fencing, and | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (d) | F. construction worker education; | 1 | l | I | | l | I | I | l | l | | I | ļ | | | l | I |

WestConnex New M5



SAMSUN

SAMSUNG C&T

CDS-JV

The Project Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-Plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007) was provided to OEH, DPI Water and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney

- Hurstville
- Marrickville (now Inner West Council)
- City of Botany Bay
- Rockdale
- Canterbury

The CFFSP was prepared and submitted to DP&E for approval on 27 May 2016. Revision 7 of the CFFSP was conditionally approved by DP&E on 20/7/2016. Revision 8 of the CFFSP was submitted on 25/08/2016 to address approval conditions.

Implementation of the CFFSP is evidenced through the weekly ER inspection reports.

Note: Minor updates to the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-Plan were approved by the ER as part of the projects annual review on 17th Oct 2017.

| | vop | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| D | 68 | (d) | iv. the management measures as specified in Table 2 and rows 4-12 of Table 1 of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management presented in Appendix K of Appendix S, Volume 2H of the document referred to in condition A2(b) and in the updated management plan required by condition B14, | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | v. details of the measures to be implemented to prevent impacts to the retained Green and Golden Bell Frog habitat at the Kogarah Golf Course and Marsh Street ponds including, but not limited to types and amounts of materials to be stored at the sites, bunding around the stores, erosion and sediment control measures and dust suppression measures, | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | vi. proposed monitoring for the Green and Golden Bell Frog population at the Kogarah Golf Course in accordance with the updated management plan required by condition B14, | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | vii. details of the specific measures that would be implemented to protect the remaining portion of Cooks River/Castlereagh Ironbark Forest and ensure that it is not impacted by site establishment and construction activities, | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | viii. rehabilitation details, including identification of flora species and sources, and measures for the management and maintenance of rehabilitated areas; | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | ix. Noxious Weed and Pathogen Management Strategy, incorporating weed management measures focusing on early identification of invasive weeds and effective management controls, controls to prevent the introduction or spread of Phytophthora cinnamomi and myrtle rust (Puccinia psidii s./.), frog hygiene protocol to control the introduction of the Chytrid fungus (Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis), and predatory fish in Green and Golden Bell Frog habitat at Arncliffe, | | | | | | |
| D | 68 | (d) | x. where works impact on riparian land, a Vegetation Management Plan consistent with the DPI (2012) Guidelines for Vegetation Management Plans on Waterfront Land including (but not limited to), | | | | | | |

| Wes | stConnex | New M5 |
|-----|----------|-------------|
| | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| D68 (d) ex. the monitoring of the condition of groundwater (limitration additional conditions Street, itesery (limitration additions) (s)(i) Porest) and Stotts Reserve (Costal Sandstone Ridgetop Woodland). Image: Sandstone Sandstone Ridgetop Woodland). Image: Sandstone Sandstone Ridgetop Woodland). Image: Sandstone Ridgetop Rid | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| D68 (d) xiii. a description of how the effectiveness of the flora and fauna management measures would be monitored; Image: Construction of the SSI, Construction of how the effectiveness of the flora and fauna management measures would be monitored; Image: Construction of how the effectiveness of the flora and fauna management measures would be monitored; Image: Construction of how the effectiveness of the flora and fauna management measures would be monitored; Image: Construction including construction including cessation of work and notification to the CDH (atermination of appropriate mitigation measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirement Plan. Image: Construction including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and or biodiversity offset requirement Plan. D68 (e) a Construction Air Quality Management Plan to detail how construction inpacts on local air quality will be minimised and managed. The Construction Air Quality Management Plan. Image | |
| D68 (d) xiv. a procedure for dealing with unexpected threatened species, populations and ecological communities identified during construction, including cessation of work and notification to the OEH, determination of appropriate mitigation measures in consultation with the OEH (including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including cessation of appropriate mitigation measures in consultation with the OEH (including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction including cessation of appropriate mitigation measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction Flore and Fauna Management Plan. D68 (d) mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Construction Flore and Fauna Management Plan. Image: N N N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | |
| black threatened species, populations and ecological communities identified during construction, including resistion of work and notification to the OEH, determination of appropriate mitigation measures in consultation with the OEH (including relevant re-location measures) and updating of ecological monitoring and/ or biodiversity offset requirements; and Image: Construction Construction Consultation Construction Contended Consultation Consultation Consultatio | |
| amendment of the Construction Flora and Fauna Management Plan. Image: Second Secon | |
| to detail how construction impacts on local air quality will be minimised and managed. The Construction Air Quality Management Plan | |
| | 27/05/2016 4/07/2016 Compliant C |
| D68 (e) i. identification of sources (including stockpiles and open work areas) and quantification of airborne pollutants including odour; | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| DS-JV | The Project Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0002) was provided to OEH, DPI Water and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville (now Inner West Council) |
| | - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury |
| | The CAQSP was prepared and submitted to DP&E for approval on 27 May 2016. Revision 4 of the CAQSP was conditionally approved by DP&E on 4/7/2016. Revision 5 of the CAQSP was submitted to DP&E on 25/08/2016 to address approval conditions. |
| | Implementation of the CAQSP is evidenced through the weekly ER inspection reports. |
| | ER: A review of the implementation of the Plan by the ER on 10 Aug indicated that it is being implemented. |
| | Note: Minor updates to the Construction Air Quality Sub- Plan were approved by the ER as part of the projects annual review on 11th Oct 2017. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 1.00 | pon. | NO. 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| D68 | (e) | ii. key performance indicators for local air quality during construction; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | iii. details of air quality monitoring methods, including location, frequency and duration of monitoring; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | iv. methods for assessing meteorological conditions and measures that would be implemented during adverse meteorological conditions; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | v. best practice management mitigation measures to minimise impacts on local air quality including, but not limited to, the relevant revised environmental mitigation measures set out in the documents listed in condition A2(c); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | vi. measures for minimising the release of construction emissions from the site, including plant and equipment; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | vii. procedures for record keeping and reporting against key performance indicators; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | viii. provisions for implementation of additional mitigation measures in response to issues identified during monitoring and reporting; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (e) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of the Construction Air Quality Management Plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D68 | (f) | a Construction Soil and Water Management Plan to manage surface and groundwater impacts during construction of the SSI. The Construction Soil and Water Management Plan must be developed in consultation with DPI (Water) and the relevant councils, and include, but not be limited to: | Ν | N | Ν | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction | Y | 27/05/2016 | 15/07/2016 | 15/07/2016 | Compliant | CDS-JA |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| VI-S | The Project Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005) was provided to OEH, DPI Water and the following councils for consultation on 3/05/2016: - City of Sydney - Hurstville - Marrickville (now Inner West Council) - City of Botany Bay - Rockdale - Canterbury The CSWQSP was prepared and submitted to DP&E for approval on 27 May 2016. The CSWQSP was approved by DP&E on 15/7/2016. Implementation of the CSWQSP is evidenced through the weekly ER inspection reports. ER: A review of the implementation of the Soil and Water Plan by the ER on 20 April and the ASS Plan on 24 August indicated that they are being implemented Note: Minor updates to the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan were approved by the ER as part of the projects annual review on 16th Oct 2017. |

| | amendment of this Construction Soil and Water Management Plan. The provision, operation and maintenance (including all auditing and validation of data) of all air quality monitoring and reporting must be funded by the Proponent. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX CDS- |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| (1) | Water Management Plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | mechanisms for the monitoring, review and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, how the results of the monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (f) | i. details of construction activities and their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (f) (f) (f) | (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils; including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, how the results of the monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) iv. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management plan, including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, how the results of the monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils rot mater soils rot mater soils restored and disposal of acid sulfate soils; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including istormwater runoff and groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including istormwater runoff and groundwater impacts and surface water quality macts (including measures for the management Plan, including measures for the management Plan, including measures for the management plan, including measures for the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed work, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and (f) mechanisms for the monitoring, review and | their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, how ther esults of the monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion groundwater impacts including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) iv. measures to anage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at acid sulfate soils; (f) vi. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would be undertaken, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and (f) mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of this Construction Soil and | (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality pond No.2 at Anneliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at al sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the acions and measures for managing soil and water impacts, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be undertaken, the locations where monitoring would take place, how the results of the monitoring of way non-compliance can be rectified; and (f) we enablish for the monitoring, review and amendment of this Construction Soil and | their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management Plan, including measures of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be reject impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and (f) mechanisms for the monitoring review and amendment of this Construction soil and | their locations, which have the potential to impact on water courses and right in land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all poliutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) iv. measures to manage and/or minimise sediment and erosion, groundwater treatment); (f) v. where acid sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Acid Sulfate Soils Management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate soils, including monitoring of water quality at a cid sulfate soils treatment area, should the project impact on acid sulfate soils; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts would be moritored during the proposed works, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is detected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and (f) mechanisms for the monitoring, review and amendment of this Construction Soil and | Impact on water courses and right and and, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) Ii. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pood No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) Iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mtigation measures as required; (f) Iii. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mtigation measures as required; (f) Iv. measures to manage and/or minimize sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts including stormwater rundf and groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts (including stormwater rundf and groundwater impacts including to the solis in the appropriate mtigation ensures as required; (f) v. where acid sulfate solis are known to accur or potentially occur; an Acid Sulfate solis and surface water quality and cid Sulfate solis, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate solis, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid sulfate solis; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soli and water impacts would be monitored during the proposed work, clearly indicating how often this monitoring would be monitored in gover. (f) v. a description of now the effectiveness of the monitoring would be incommonitoring would be recorded and reported, and, if any exceedance of the criteria is defected how any non-compliance can be rectified; and | their location, which have the potential to impact on water courses and riparian land, stronge facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, including identification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle; (f) II. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pool No.2 at Anciffe is not decommissioned until replacement water quality devices are operational; (f) III. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) III. potential impacts on watercourse bank stability and the development of appropriate mitigation measures as required; (f) IV. measures to manage and/or mimimize sediment and erosion, groundwater impacts and state water quality machs (including stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (f) v. where add sulfate soils are known to occur or potentially occur, an Add Sulfate Soils Management Plan, including measures for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of add sulfate soils, rundling, treatment and disposal of add sulfate soils, sincluding monitoring of water quality at a add sulfate soils treatment areas, should the project impact on add sulfate soils; no add sulfat | their location, which have the potential to impact to maker course and riparian land, storage facilities, stormwater flows, and groundwater, linkuling itentification of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle: (I) II. processes to ensure that Water Quality Pond No.2 at Arncliffe is not decommissioned unlife placement water quality devices are operational; (I) III. protectial impacts on watercourse basediment and corsion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts finationing stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (I) V. measures to manage and/or minimale sediment and corsion, groundwater impacts and surface water quality impacts finationing stormwater runoff and groundwater treatment); (I) V. measures to sin age and/or minimale sediment and corsion, groundwater treatment); (I) V. measures to sin age and/or minimale sediment and corsion, groundwater treatment); (I) V. measures to sin age to solity and suffice solity treatment and disposal of acid suffate solity. (I) V. where acid suffate solity attreatment and disposal of acid suffate solity. (I) V. a description of how the effectiveness for the management, handling, treatment and disposal of acid suffate solity. (I) V. a description of how the resist of the monitoring would be monitoring would take place, how the resist of the monitoring would be contructed and reported, arise the passed source of the control at the rectified; and (I) mechanisms for the monitoring, review and and methernot thits constructions all | If the functions, which have the potential to impact to water curves and ignarian land, groundwater, including interflaction of all polarizations, including interflactions, including stormwater und the development of appropriate intigitation means is a regulated stormwater including means is an equivalent interflaction of all polarization including stormwater und and groundwater treatments; (f) v. where add sulfate soils are known to soccur or potential inpacts including stormwater und and groundwater treatments; (f) v. where add sulfate soils, revealed soils. Management Plan, including measures for the management, bunding, treatment and all opposed including measures of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts on valids the unique the popped water is all store impact on add uffate soils; (f) v. a description of how the effectiveness of the actions and measures for managing soil and water impacts on water and all deposed and including in the popped would take, base, its with the monotopic and, it any exceedure of the citeria is all detected how any non-compliance can be restificit; and | If the focations, which have the potential to the instruction of maximum distribution of maximum distributions in the approximation of the instruction of | (1) interfections, which have the potential and, strengt failing, strengt faili | IP Itericitations, which have the potential tool, investore constrained and potential index, which is a bit order of an application of all policitations all policit |

| | WestConnex | New M5 |
|--------------------|--|---------------|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 'CX M5 AT DS-JV | The Proponent has made allowance for the | is condition. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Westconnex New M5 |
|--------|--------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| | arterly port: 1 | Construction Complia No. 5 | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG |
| E2 | | The Proponent must monitor (by sampling and obtaining results by analysis) the pollutants, within the tunnel using the methodologies and frequency specified in Table 4 throughout the operation of the SSI. Monitoring must commence on the first day of operation of the SSI. | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | N | Ν | Operation | | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA applies to the operational phase of the Project ar will be reported on in the quarterly Construction Compliance Reports and Pre-Operational Compliance Report. Monitoring will commence on the first day of operation of the Project in accordance with the Operational Environmental Management Plan |
| E2 | | Table 4 - In Tunnel monitoring methodology (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E2 | | Note: 1. Special Method 1 means a method approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E3 | | The number and location of the monitoring stations inside the tunnel must be determined to permit an accurate calculation, per the requirements of conditions E4, E5 and E6, and be independently verified in accordance with a methodology approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA, at least six months prior to the operation of the SSI. As a minimum, monitoring stations must be installed at the entry portals, the base of the ventilation outlets, tunnel and ramp junctions and at the emergency smoke extraction facility. All sampling points and visibility monitoring points established under this condition must be audited at least two months prior commencing monitoring, for compliance with the requirements set out in Table 4. Verification and compliance auditing is to be undertaken by an independent person(s) or organisation(s) whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary. Air quality data is to be made available in as close to real time as possible, under the website reporting requirements of condition E24. | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Y | Ν | Operation | | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA applies to the detailed design of the tunnel air quality monitoring system and operational phase of the Project and will be reported on in the relevant quarterly Construction Compliance Reports and Pre-Operational Compliance Report. Modification 2 relating to Conditions E3 & E44 was approved by DPE on 30 August 2017. |
| E4 | | The Proponent must ensure that the average concentrations of CO and NO2, calculated along the length of the tunnel, do not exceed the concentration limit specified for that pollutant in Table 5. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Operation | 1 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA relates to the operational phase of the project ar will be reported on in line with the Compliance Tracking Program. |
| E4 | | Table 5 - In-tunnel average limits along length of tunnel (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E5 | | The Proponent must ensure that the concentration of CO as measured at any single point in the tunnel must not exceed the concentration limit specified for that | | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | Ν | Operation | | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA relates to the operational phase of the project ar will be reported on in line with the Compliance Tracking Program. |
| WestCo | onnex New | / M5 | | | | | | | | | | | | M | N-ES-RP | T-PWD-0032 | | | | Revision 0 |

WestConnex New M5

| | | pollutant in Table 6 under all conditions (including congested conditions). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| E5 | | Table 6 - In-tunnel single point exposure limits (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E6 | | The tunnel ventilation system must be designed and operated so that the visibility in the tunnel does not exceed the level specified in Table 7. | Ν | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Design Operation | Ν | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | The Tunnel Ventilation System design has been devloped to meet this criteria. The control system will be configured to automatically control the ventilation equipment to met this critieria. |
| E6 | | Table 7 - In-tunnel visibility limits along length of tunnel | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | | Prior to commencing operation, an independent person or organisation whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary must: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Prior to operation | Y | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This condition will be implemented prior to operation following approval by the Secretary of the independent person. Progress will be reported in the Pre-Operation Compliance report. |
| E7 | (a) | verify that compliance with in-tunnel limits detailed in Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7, will: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | (a) | (i) supplement/not preclude compliance with the predicted air quality outcomes presented in the documents referred to in condition A2, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | (a) | (ii) not result in air quality impacts greater than predicted in the documents referred to in condition A2; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | (b) | assess how the ventilation system has been optimised, taking into consideration energy requirements and air quality impacts for tunnel users; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | (c) | validate recorded monitoring data and certify compliance with the in-tunnel air quality limits. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 | | The information required in this condition will be made available to the Secretary on request. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | In addition to the general reporting requirements specified in condition E23, the Proponent must notify the Secretary, EPA and NSW Health of any recordings above the limits specified in conditions E4, E5 and E6 within 24 hours of the recorded event. The notification must detail the nature of the event, the concentration or visibility levels that occurred, the duration of the event, and the measures employed to minimise the concentration levels and/or improve the visibility levels. | Ν | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA relates to an operational requirement and will be included in the Operational Environmental Management Plan. Progress will be reported where applicable, in the Pre- Operation Compliance report. |
| E8 | | This notification must provide details of the circumstances of the event, including: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E8 | (a) | the nature and location of the event, including any details relating to the cause; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

WestConnex New M5 Revision Date: 3 January 2018 WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T


| 1.01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-----|--|----|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|-----|--------|-----|----------------------|----------------|
| E8 | (b) | the duration of the event; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ĺ | |
| E8 | (c) | the extent and severity of the event; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E8 | (d) | the measures employed to minimise the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | concentration levels, and measures to improve visibility levels in the event that | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | visibility levels were above the specified limit; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E8 | (e) | the frequency of the event, including whether | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | (0) | an event with the same or similar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | circumstances has occurred previously. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E8 | | Based on consideration of the circumstances | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | of the event, the Secretary may request the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Proponent to prepare a Tunnel Air Quality | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Management Systems Effectiveness Report, in accordance with condition E9. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | Within 20 working down of an and the d | NI | N | N | NI | N | N | N | N | N | | N/A | NI (A | | Not Vot | MOV |
| E9 | | Within 20 working days of any request by the Secretary under condition E8, the Proponent | Ν | Ν | N | Ν | N | N | Ν | N | N | Operation N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I CDS-J |
| | | must prepare and submit to the Secretary a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 555 1 |
| | | Tunnel Air Quality Management Systems | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Effectiveness Report on the overall system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | performance and cause and major contributor of any exceedances, including: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | (-) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E9 | (a) | the overall performance and concentration levels in the tunnel for the preceding six | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | month period (or since commencement of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | operation, where the SSI has operated for | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | under six months), including average and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | maximum levels and time periods; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | • | ' | • | | • | | • | • | | • | | i I | 1 | | • | • | <u>.</u> |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CX M5 AT | This CoA relates to an operational requirement. The |
| DS-JV | Proponent will comply with any such request by the Secretary. Any such request will be reported on in the subsequent operational compliance report. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Revision 02 |

| E9 | (b) | details of any instances throughout the operation of the SSI where pollutant concentration levels in the tunnel have exceeded the limits specified in conditions E4, E5 and E6; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|----------------|
| E9 | (c) | consideration of improvements to the tunnel air quality management system. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E9 | | The Tunnel Air Quality Management Systems Effectiveness Report is to be prepared by the Proponent and reviewed by a suitably qualified and experienced independent specialist(s) whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E9 | | The Proponent must comply with any requirements arising from the Secretary's review of the Tunnel Air Quality Management Systems Effectiveness Report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | | The Proponent must monitor (by sampling and obtaining results by analysis) the pollutants and parameters specified in Table 8 at the following locations as a minimum: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I CDS-J |
| E10 | (a) | two ground level receptors near the Kingsgrove ventilation outlet, at locations suitable for detecting any impact on air quality from the outlet; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | (b) | two ground level receptors near the Arncliffe ventilation outlet, at locations suitable for detecting any impact on air quality from the outlet; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | (c) | two ground level receptors near the St Peters ventilation outlet, at locations suitable for detecting any impact on air quality from the outlet; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | (d) | one location within the vicinity of the St Peters Interchange, as a location suitable for detecting any impact on air quality within the surrounding residential receptors; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | (e) | one location, away from any of the locations at (a) to (d), suitable for providing background ambient air quality reference data for the project area. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | | The Proponent must use the sampling method, units of measure, and sampling frequency specified in Table 8. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 | | Table 8 - Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Methodologies (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ICX M5 AT DS-JV | This CoA relates to an operational requirement. These reporting requirements will be included in the Operational Environmental Management Plan and will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report and the operational compliance reports. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| E10 | Notes: 1. Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (EPA, 2007) or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. 2. AS3580.9.8-2008, Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Ambient Air – Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter – PM10 Continuous Direct Mass Method using Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance Analyser (Standards Australia, 2008). | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | AS 3580.9.13-2013, Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Ambient Air – Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter – PM2.5 Continuous Direct Mass Method using a Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance Analyser (Standards Australia, 2013). TBD - location for meteorological monitoring station(s) to be representative of weather conditions likely to occur in the vicinity of the Kingsgrove, Arncliffe and St Peters ventilation outlets. Appropriately modified to include size selective inlet for PM2.5 or as otherwise approved by the Secretary. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



| | The monitoring locations must be selected with the objective of achieving like-to-like comparison of monitoring results with available pre-construction data. The locations must also allow for the independent team of experts to review the accuracy of predicted environmental outcomes discussed in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c) as part of the environmental audit required under condition E51. All monitoring stations must be established in locations agreed to by the AQCCC and subject to the land owner's and occupier's agreement. The establishment and operation of the stations is to be undertaken in accordance with recognised Australian standards and undertaken by an organisation accredited by NATA for this purpose and approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA and the AQCCC. The quality of the monitoring results must be assured through a NATA accredited process prior to the data being considered as a basis for compliance/auditing purposes. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Design Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|



WestConnex New M5

SAMSUNG C&T

WCX M5 AT CDS-JV Monitoring locations will be selected in consultation with the AQCCC following an assessment by the project team of the suitability of sites. Locations will be reported in subsequent Quarterly Construction Compliance Reports and the Pre-Operational Compliance Report. CDS are currently waiting on EPA review of design reports.

Locations for AQMS will be confirmed at the AQCCC meeting in early 2018 once all necessary assessments have been completed

| E12 | Monitoring results must be made publicly | N | N | N | N | Ν | N | N | N | N | Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet | Not yet complete | Not Yet | WCX N |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|----------|------------------|-----------|--------|
| | available and must be subject to an | | | | | | | | | | | · | | approved | All yet complete | triggered | CDS-JV |
| | independent audit at six-monthly intervals (or at a longer interval, if approved by the | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Secretary). The auditor must be approved by | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | the Secretary in consultation with the EPA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and the AQCCC, and the auditor's report must be directly provided to the Proponent and the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AQCCC. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| K M5 AT -JV | Chrome of the constraint of th |
| | |
| | |

| Quarterly Construction Compliance Report: No. 5 Is a Proponent must commence monitoring for a test welve continuous monitors for a speration and continue monitoring for at these two years operational and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract well contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract well contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract and continue monitoring for at specific contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract and contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract and contract well contract specific contract well contract and contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract and contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract well contract well contract well contract well contract specific contract well contract specific contract well contract well contract well co | w M5 |
|--|-------------------|
| for at least twelve continuous months prior to operation and continue monitoring for at least two years following the commencement of operational monitoring period, the Proponent must review the need for the commencement of the continuation of the ambient monitoring stations in consultation with the AQCCC. Any recommendation to close any or all of the stations will require the approval of the Secretary in consultation with i <td>SAMSUNG C&T</td> | SAMSUNG C&T |
| | be nagement |
| E14 Should ambient monitoring of air pollutants exceed the following goals, the provisions of conditions E15, E16 and E17 will apply: N/A | 'hese limits I |
| E14 (a) CO – 8 hour rolling average of 9.0 ppm (NEPM); | |
| E14 (b) NO2 – One hour average of 0.12 ppm (245 μg/m3) (NEPM); | |
| E14 (c) PM10 – 24 hour average of 50 μg/m3 (NEPM); | |
| E14 (d) PM2.5 – 24 hour average of 25 μg/m3 (NEPM) | |
| E14 (e) PM10 – annual average of 25 μg/m3 (NEPM); and | |
| E14 (f) PM2.5 - annual average of 8 μg/m3 (NEPM) | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|------------|--------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | arterly port: N | / Construction Compliar No. 5 | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| E15 | | In addition to the general reporting requirements specified in condition E23, the Proponent must prepare an Ambient Air Quality Goal Protocol for the evaluation of a potential measurement that exceeds the goals. The Ambient Air Quality Goal Protocol must be developed by the Proponent in consultation with the AQCCC and submitted to the Secretary for approval at least 12 months prior to the commencement of operation of the SSI. | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | N | Ν | Ν | 12 months prior to operation | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA relates to a pre-operation requirement which will be developed and submitted to the Secretary for approval at least 12 months prior to operation of the Project. This will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |
| E15 | | The Ambient Air Quality Goal Protocol must include: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E15 | (a) | the form of and process for providing a Notification of Above-Goal Recording, subject to condition E16; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E15 | (b) | the form and contents of a Report on Above- Goal Recording, subject to condition E17; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E15 E15 | (c) | and a process for appointing an independent person/organisation to prepare the Report on Above-Goal Reading. The process must include – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E15 | | (i) approval of the independent person/organisation by the Secretary prior to preparation of the report, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E15 | | (ii) the appointment of the independent person/organisation at least with the agreement of the Secretary.one month prior to the commencement of operation, or at some other time prior to preparation of the report | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E16 | | The Ambient Air Quality Goal Protocol must provide a Notification of Above-Goal Recording if ambient monitoring of air pollutants records an exceedance of the goals in condition E14. The Notification of Above- Goal Recording is to be submitted within 24 hours of the recording, to the Secretary, EPA and NSW Health. The Notification of Above- Goal Recording must detail: | N | N | N | N | Ν | N | N | N | Ν | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA relates to a pre-operation requirement which will be developed and submitted to the Secretary for approval at least 12 months prior to operation of the Project. This will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |
| E16 E16 | (a) (b) | the nature of the event; the concentration or visibility levels that | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E16 E16 | (c) (d) | occurred; the duration of the event; the measures employed to minimise the concentration levels and/or improve the visibility levels; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E16 | (e) | the Proponent's commitment to prepare and submit a Report on Above-Goal Recording in accordance with condition E17. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| E17 | Within 20 working days of any Notification of Above-Goal Recording, the Proponent must prepare and submit to the Secretary a Report on Above-Goal Recording that details the cause and major contributor of the exceedance and the options available to prevent recurrence. Where the operation of the tunnel is identified to be a significant contributor to the recorded above-goal reading, the Report on Above-Goal Recording must include consideration of improvements to the tunnel air quality management system so as to achieve compliance with the ambient air quality goals, including but not limited to installation of the additional ventilation management facilities allowed for under condition 85, and discussion of whether those improvements are feasible and reasonable. The Proponent must comply with any requirements arising from the Secretary's review of the Report on Above-Goal Recording. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I CDS-J |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| E18 | The Proponent must install monitoring equipment to monitor pollutants from the ventilation outlets. Pollutant monitoring from the ventilation outlets (by sampling and obtaining results by analysis) must be in accordance with the methods and frequencies for the pollutants and parameters specified in Table 9 and be undertaken at commencement of and throughout the operation of the SSI. The monitoring equipment must be independently audited prior to the commencement of monitoring for compliance with the requirements set out in Table 9. The independent person(s) or organisation(s) must be approved by the Secretary and paid for by the Proponent. | | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX RMS CDS-J |
| E18 | Table 9 - Ventilation Outlet Emission Monitoring Methodologies (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CX M5 AT DS-JV | This condition will be included in the Protocol and activated as required during the operational phase of the Project. Any activation of this condition will be reported on in the subsequent operational compliance report. |
| CX M5 AT MS DS-JV | Design and specification of ventilation outlet monitoring equipment will be in accordance with this condition. This design will be detailed in the In-Stack Air Monitoring Report. The proposed Independent Auditor will be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of operation and will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |

| E18 | | Notes: 1. Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (EPA 2007) or an alternative method approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. 2. Must include, but not be limited to: Benzene, Toluene, Xylenes, 1,3-Butadiene, Formaldehyde and Acetaldehyde. 3. Must include, but not limited to; 16 USEPA priority PAHs, namely; Naphthalene, Phenanthrene, Benz(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Acenapthylene, Anthracene, Chrysene, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, Acenaphthene, Fluoranthene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene, Fluorene, Pyrene, Benzo(k)fluoranhtene, Benzo(g,h,i)perylene. 4. Special Method 1 means a method approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| E19 | | The concentration of a pollutant discharged from the ventilation outlets must not exceed the respective limits specified for that pollutant in Table 10. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX RMS CDS-J |
| E19 | | Table 10 - Ventilation Outlet Mass Pollutant Concentrations (refer sheet 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E20 | | An independent person or organisation approved by the Secretary must: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX RMS CDS-J |
| E20 | (a) | verify that compliance with ventilation outlet limits detailed in Table 10 will - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E20 | (a) | (i) supplement/not preclude compliance with the predicted air quality outcomes presented in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c), and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E20 | (a) | (ii) not result in air quality impacts greater than predicted in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E20 | (b) | assess how ventilation outlet discharge velocities have been optimised taking into consideration energy requirements and air quality impacts at all sensitive receivers; and, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E20 | (c) | validate recorded monitoring data and certify compliance with the ventilation outlet limits. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA relates to an operational requirement. These limits will be included in the Operational Environmental Management Plan, which will be reported on in the Pre- Operational Compliance Report. |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA relates to an operational requirement. An independent verifier will be recommended by the proponent, for approval of the Secretary, prior to the commencement of operation. The requirements for independent verification will be addressed in the Operational Environmental Management Plan. |

| E20 | The information required in this condition must be made available to the Secretary on request. The ventilation outlet limits detailed in Table 10 must be reviewed on a five-yearly basis following commencement of operation of the SSI and may be lowered (i.e. made more stringent), subject to a sustainability assessment and there being improvements in vehicle fleet emissions, if the Proponent is directed to do so by the Secretary following consultation with the EPA. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|---------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------|
| E21 | Should the results of monitoring show that any of the ventilation outlet limits specified in condition E19 have been exceeded, the Proponent must notify the Secretary, EPA and NSW Health within 24 hours of the recorded event. The notification must be followed up with a detailed report within 20 working days, which must be prepared by the Proponent, reviewed by a suitably qualified and experienced independent specialist(s), and submitted to the Secretary, on the cause and major contributor of the exceedance and the options available to prevent recurrence. The Secretary must approve the independent person/organisation prior to the commencement of operation, or at some other time prior to preparation of the report. Where the operation of the tunnel is identified to be a significant contributor to the recorded exceedance, this report must include consideration of improvements to the tunnel air quality management system so as to achieve compliance with the ambient air quality goals, including but not limited to installation of the additional ventilation management facilities allowed for under condition 85, and discussion of whether those improvements are feasible and reasonable. The Proponent must comply with any requirements arising from the Secretary's review of the Report. | N | N N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I RMS CDS-J |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| CX M5 AT MS DS-JV | This condition relates to operational monitoring and will be complied with, as necessary, and reported on in the operational compliance reports. The proponent will recommend an independent person for approval prior to the commencement of operation and this will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |

| КСР | юп. г | NO. J | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| E22 | | Conditions E4, E5, E6, E14 and E19 do not apply in an emergency, as defined in the OEMP required by condition E31(g). The Proponent must, as soon as reasonably practicable, notify the Secretary and the EPA of any such discharge. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX N RMS CDS-JV |
| E23 | | The Proponent must develop and implement a reporting system for in-tunnel, ambient and ventilation outlet limits in consultation with the EPA. The reporting system must be approved by the Secretary and fully implemented and operational prior to operation. Minimum analytical reporting requirements for air pollution monitoring stations must be as specified in the Approved Methods of Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW (EPA 2007, or as updated). | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | Prior to operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WCX N RMS CDS-JV |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CX M5 AT //S DS-JV | This condition will be provided during the operational phase as required. |
| CX M5 AT //S DS-JV | A reporting system will be developed in consultation with the EPA and submitted to DPE for approval prior to operation. This condition will be reported on in the Pre- Operation Compliance Report. |

| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|------------------------|
| E24 | | Results of hourly updated real-time ambient monitoring of PM10, PM2.5, visibility, N02, and CO at the approved monitoring stations, in-tunnel CO/N02 and ventilation outlet measurements, and relevant meteorological data, must be provided on a website and made publicly available each month in hard copy format in an easy to interpret format. This data must be preliminary until a quality assurance check has been undertaken by a person or organisation accredited by NATA for this purpose. The availability of this data must be conveyed to the local community by way of newsletter (including translation into common community languages in the area) and newspaper advertisement at least one month prior to the commencement of operation. | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | N | N | N | Prior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I RMS CDS-JY |
| E25 | | The provision, operation and maintenance (including all auditing and validation of data) of all air quality monitoring and reporting must be funded by the Proponent. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I RMS CDS-JV |
| E26 | | All continuous emissions monitoring systems installed and operated as a requirement of condition E18 must undergo relative accuracy test audits at an interval not exceeding 12 months, or as otherwise agreed to by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA. | N | N | N | N | N | Ν | N | Y | N | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX I RMS CDS-J' |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | The requirements for public provision of monitoring data will be addressed in the OEMP. The required community notifications will be provided one month prior to operation. This condition will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report and subsequent operation compliance reports. |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This requirement is noted and will be complied with. |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This requirement will be addressed in the OEMP and reported on in the operational compliance reports. |

| | auditor to conduct an audit of the air quality monitoring (in-tunnel and external) at six- monthly intervals or at any longer interval if approved by the Secretary. Air quality audits must commence six months from commencement of operation. The auditor must ensure that the operating procedures and equipment to acquire air monitoring, meteorological data and emission monitoring data and monitoring reporting comply with NATA (or equivalent) requirements and sound laboratory practice. The Proponent must document the results of the audit and make available all audit data for inspection by the Secretary upon request. A copy of the audit report must also be issued to the Proponent and AQCCC. The auditor must be approved by the Secretary in consultation with the EPA and the AQCCC, and the auditor's report must be directly provided to the Proponent and the AQCCC. | | | | | | | | | | | | | approved | | triggered | RM CDS |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------|--|-----------|-----------|
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------|--|-----------|-----------|



WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV An external auditor will be recommended for approval by the Secretary, in consultation with the EPA and AQCCC.

Auditing and reporting required under this condition will be addressed in the OEMP and will be reported on in the operational compliance reports.

| E28 | The Proponent must undertake appropriate quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) measures for air quality and ventilation outlet emission monitoring data. This must include, but not be limited to: accreditation/quality systems, staff qualifications and training, auditing, monitoring procedures, service and maintenance, equipment or system malfunction and records/reporting. The QA/QC measures must be approved by an independent expert approved by the Secretary prior to monitoring of air quality and ventilation outlet emissions as appropriate. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | Y | N/A | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



WestConnex New M5

SAMSUN

WCX M5 AT

QA and QC measures will be addressed in the OEMP and will be approved by an independent expert that has been approved by the Secretary prior to commencement of monitoring. This will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|-----|--------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | arterly port: 1 | Construction Complia No. 5 | nce | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| E29 | | The Proponent must assist the relevant council(s) in developing an air quality assessment process for inclusion in a Development Control Plan or other appropriate planning and building approvals for new development in areas adjacent to the ventilation outlets which would be within a potential three-dimensional zone of affectation (buffer volume). This process must include procedures for identifying the width and height of buildings that are likely to be either affected by the plume from the ventilation outlet or affect the dispersion of the plume from the ventilation outlet through building wake effects. A part of this process, the Proponent must provide data detailing the results of modelling of pollution concentrations at various heights and distances from the ventilation outlets. The Proponent must meet all reasonable costs for the development of this process and any necessary amendments to the planning instrument(s) required to implement the process. | | N | N | | N | | | NN | | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA will be complied with during the operational phase and will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report and subsequent operation compliance reports. |
| E30 | | Prior to operation, the Proponent must investigate, in consultation with the EPA, the measures for smoky vehicle enforcement in the New M5 tunnels, taking into consideration cost effectiveness. Any measures implemented as a result of investigation recommendations must be in accordance with current RMS smoky vehicle enforcement programs. The effectiveness of the smoky vehicle enforcement measures must be documented in the Independent Environmental Audit required under condition E51. | Ν | N | N | N | N | N | N | NN | Ν | Prior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not Yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA will be complied with and reported on in the Independent Environmental Audit and the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |

| E31 | | Prior to the commencement of operation, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare and implement an Operation Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) for the SSI. The OEMP must outline the environmental management practices and procedures that are to be followed during operation, and must be prepared in consultation with relevant agencies and in accordance with the Guideline for the Preparation of Environmental Management Plans (Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, 2004). The OEMP must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | One month prior to operation | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not Yet triggered | WC RM CD |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| E31 | (a) | a description of activities to be undertaken during operation of the SSI (including staging and scheduling); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (b) | statutory and other obligations that the Proponent is required to fulfil during operation, including approvals, consultations and agreements required from authorities and other stakeholders under key legislation and policies; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (c) | overall environmental policies, guidelines and principles to be applied to the operation of the SSI; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (d) | a description of the roles and responsibilities for relevant employees involved in the operation of the SSI, including relevant training and induction provisions for ensuring that employees are aware of their environmental and compliance obligations under these conditions of approval; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (e) | an environmental risk analysis to identify the key environmental performance issues associated with the operation phase; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (f) | details of periodic testing of the tunnel ventilation system; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (g) | a definition of emergency as it applies to conditions 84, E22 and E44; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | details of how environmental performance would be managed and monitored to meet acceptable outcomes, including what actions will be taken to address identified potential adverse environmental impacts, including those safeguards and mitigation measures detailed in Section 8 the document referred to in condition A2 (and any impacts arising from the staging of the construction of the SSI). In particular, the following environmental performance issues must be addressed in the OEMP - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


WestConnex New M5




SAMSUNG C&T

WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV The OEMP will be prepared and implemented in accordance with this condition prior to operation. Operation will not commence until approval of the OEMP has been obtained from the Secretary. This CoA will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report.

| | pon | | | | | | |
|------------|------------|---|-----|-----|--|---|---|
| E31 E31 | (h) (h) | (i) air quality, (ii) noise and vibration, through preparation of the Operational Noise | | | | | |
| | | Management Plan required under condition E34, | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (iii) traffic, | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (iv) climate change and energy use, | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (v) visual amenity and landscaping, | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (vi) groundwater level/pressure, | | | | | |
| | | inflows, groundwater contamination, treatment and discharge, soil, and | | | | | |
| | | subsidence, | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (vii) groundwater dependent ecosystems, and | | | | | |
| E31 | (h) | (viii) surface water quality and | | | | | |
| | | hydrology, including stormwater management. | | | | | |
| E31 | | The OEMP must be submitted for the | | | | | |
| | | approval of the Secretary no later than one month prior to the commencement of | | | | | |
| | | operation, or as otherwise agreed by the | | | | | |
| | | Secretary. Operation must not commence until written approval of the OEMP has been | | | | | |
| | | received from the Secretary. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| E31 | | Note: | | | | | |
| | | • The approval of an OEMP does not relieve the Proponent of any requirement associated | | | | | |
| | | with this SSI approval. If there is an | | | | | |
| | | inconsistency with an approved OEMP and the conditions of this SSI approval, the | | | | | |
| | | requirements of this SSI approval, the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| L | | 1 | I I | 1 1 | | I | 1 |




| E32 | the objective of meeting the requirements of | N | N | N | N | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | Ν | Design Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX MS RMS |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|---------------|
| | the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and must, where feasible and reasonable, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CDS-JV |
| | include the provision of at-property architectural treatment to all affected | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | sensitive receivers in multi-level dwellings where the project noise criteria are exceeded, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | unless otherwise agreed to by the owner of the noise-affected residence. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Quarterl Report: | y Construction Compliar No. 5 | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| E33 | | N N | N N | N | N N | N N | Design Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA applies to the detailed design of the project which is ongoing. This CoA also applies to the operational phase. This CoA will be assessed and reported on in the Operational Noise and Vibration Review (ONVR), prior to the commencement of construction of permanent noise mitigation structures, in accordance with CoA E37. Compliance with this CoA will also be confirmed in the Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report, in accordance with CoA E38. |
| E34 E34 (a) E34 (b) E34 (c) | A detailed Operational Noise Management Plan must be prepared as part of the Operational Environmental Management Plan required by condition E31 and submitted to the Secretary for approval . The Operational Noise Management Plan must provide details of noise and vibration control measures to be undertaken during the operation stages, and generally in accordance with the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and the NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000). The Operational Noise Management Plan must include, but not be limited to: tests for ascertaining acoustic parameters; predicted noise levels; noise criteria for operation of the project based on the objectives of the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and the NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000); | N N | N N | N | N N | N N | One month prior to operation | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | This CoA will be addressed as part of the OEMP which will be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of operation and will be reported on in the Pre-Operational Compliance Report. |

| | | | NO. 5 | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| E | 34 | (d) | location, type and timing of erection of permanent noise barriers and/or other noise mitigation measures (including details of the barrier to replace the existing noise mound at Beverly Grove Park, consistent with the requirements of condition B62(f) demonstrating best practice including silencers and building treatments for associated plant rooms and enclosures for exposed plant; | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (e) | specific physical and managerial measures for controlling noise; | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (f) | noise monitoring, reporting and response procedures including the monitoring on surrounding roads which experience significantly increased traffic volumes as a result of the project, and including operational facilities; | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (g) | procedures for operational noise and vibration complaints management, including investigation and monitoring (subject to complainant agreement); and | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | an Operational Ancillary Facility Noise Management Sub-Plan including, but not limited to - | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | (i) identification of the final location of all operational ancillary facilities and plant including the Motorway Complex, ventilation facilities, tunnel jet fans and water treatment plants, | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | (ii) the sound power levels of all chosen equipment and plant to be utilised during operation including spectral sound characteristics and frequency data, | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | (iii) identification and/or confirmation of sensitive receivers and appropriate categorisation of the surrounding area in accordance with the INP, | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | (iv) identification of the applicable noise goals, including spectral frequency, for all sensitive receivers identified as being potentially impacted by any operational ancillary facility, | | | | | | |
| E | 34 | (h) | (v) presentation of noise assessment and predicted impacts including the use of mapping and noise contours, | | | | | | |



| E34 | (h) | (vi) identification and implementation of appropriate mitigation measures including building treatment, site layout, attenuators and demonstration that chosen mitigation measures can adequately achieve the noise goals in the INP, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|-----------------|
| E34 | (h) | (vii) details of maintenance and inspection schedules to ensure plant, equipment and other operational ancillary facilities are operating at optimal levels; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E34 | (i) | mechanisms for the monitoring and review of the Operational Noise Management Plan. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E35 | | For the purpose of assessment of noise criteria specified in the Operational Noise Management Plan, required under condition E34, noise from the development arising from ventilation facilities and plant must be: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX I CDS-JV |
| 525 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E35 | (a) | measured at the most affected point on or within the site boundary at the most sensitive locations to determine compliance with LAeq,T noise limits; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E35 | (b) | measured in the free field at least three to five metres from any vertical reflecting surface in line with the worst-affected dwelling facade to determine compliance with LAmax noise limits; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CX M5 AT S-JV | This CoA will be addressed in the Operational Noise Management Plan, part of the OEMP, to be submitted to |
| | the Secretary for approval prior to operation. This CoA will be reported on in the Pre-Operational Compliance Report. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Revision 02 |

| E35 | (c) | subject to the modification factors provided in Section 4 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000), where applicable. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----|-----|---------|-----------|-------|
| E35 | | Notwithstanding, should direct measurement of noise from the fixed facilities be impractical, the Proponent may employ an alternative noise assessment method deemed acceptable by the EPA (refer to Section 11 of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000)). Details of such an alternative noise assessment method accepted by the EPA must be submitted to the Secretary prior to the implementation of the assessment method. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E36 | | The Proponent must design and operate the | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Design | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | WCX I |
| E36 | | The Proponent must design and operate the SSI with the objective, where feasible and reasonable, of not exceeding the vibration goals for human exposure for existing receivers, as presented in <i>Assessing vibration:</i> <i>a technical guideline</i> (DECC, 2006). | N | N | N | | N | N | N | | | Design Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Ongoing | Compliant | CDS-J |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | t | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | J | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------|---|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS |
| | |
| CX M5 AT DS-JV | This CoA applies to the detailed design of the project which is ongoing and will be reported on in the quarterly Construction Compliance Reports and Pre-Operational Compliance Report. This CoA also applies to the operational phase, which will be reported on in the operational compliance reports. |

| E37 | | The Proponent must prepare an Operational Noise and Vibration Review (ONVR) to confirm noise and vibration control measures that would be implemented for the project. The ONVR must be prepared in consultation with the Department, relevant councils, other relevant stakeholders and the community and must: | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Construction | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Compliant | W CI |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| E37 | (a) | confirm the appropriate operational noise and vibration objectives and levels for adjoining development, including existing sensitive receivers; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E37 | (b) | confirm the operational noise predictions of the project based on the final design. Confirmation must be based on an appropriately calibrated noise model (which has incorporated additional noise monitoring, and concurrent traffic counting, where necessary for calibration purposes). The assessment must specifically include verification of noise levels at all fixed facilities, based on additional noise monitoring undertaken at appropriately identified noise catchment areas surrounding the facilities; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E37 | (c) | confirm the operational noise and vibration impacts at adjoining development based on the final design of the project, including operational daytime LAeq 15 hour and night- time LAeq 9 hour traffic noise contours; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E37 | (d) | review the suitability of the operational noise mitigation measures identified in the documents referred to at conditions A2(b) and A2(c) and, where necessary, investigate and identify additional feasible and reasonable noise and vibration mitigation measures required to achieve the noise criteria outlined in the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000), including the timing of implementation; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E37 | (e) | include a consultation strategy to seek feedback from directly affected property owners (including educational institutions) on the noise and vibration mitigation measures; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E37 | (f) | procedures for the management of operational noise and vibration complaints. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



WestConnex New M5




WCX M5 AT CDS-JV The ONVR was submitted to DPE on 30 October 2017. CDSJV currently addressing DPOE comments with view to submission for approval in January 2018.

| E37 | | The ONVR is to be verified by a suitably qualified and experienced noise and vibration expert. The ONVR is to be undertaken at the Proponent's expense and submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to the commencement of construction of physical noise mitigation structures, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| E37 | | The Proponent must implement the identified noise and vibration control measures and make the ONVR publicly available. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E38 | | Within 12 months of the commencement of the operation of the SSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must undertake operational noise and vibration monitoring to compare the actual noise and vibration performance of the SSI against the noise performance predicted in the Operational Noise and Vibration Review required by condition E37 and the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c). The monitoring program must be documented in an Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report . The Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Within 12 months of commencement of operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX RMS CDS-J |
| E38 | (a) | details of the noise and vibration monitoring program including methodology, location and frequency of noise monitoring; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E38 | (b) | results of the monitoring program and an assessment of these against the operational noise criteria specified in the Operational Noise Management Plan required by condition E34 and noise levels predicted in the Operational Noise Review required by condition E37 and the documents referred to in conditions A2(b) and A2(c); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E38 | (c) | details of any complaints received relating to operational noise and vibration impacts; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E38 | (d) | any required recalibration of the noise and vibration model taking account considerations such as traffic numbers and land use change (if applicable); | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E38 | (e) | an assessment of the performance and effectiveness of the applied noise and vibration mitigation measures with regard to the operational noise criteria specified in the Operational Noise Management Plan required by condition E34; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|----------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA relates to the operational phase. An Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report will be prepared and submitted to the Secretary and the EPA within 60 days of completing the operational noise monitoring. This will be reported on in the operational compliance reports. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Jua | rterly | / Construction Complia | nce | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--|-----|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | No. 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG |
| 88 | (f) | identification of any further feasible and reasonable noise and vibration mitigation measures required to meet the noise criteria specified in the Operational Noise Management Plan, where the criteria are exceeded, including timing and responsibilities for implementation. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | The Proponent must provide the Secretary and the EPA with a copy of the Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report within 60 days of completing the operational noise monitoring, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | | The Proponent must implement further feasible and reasonable mitigation measures (where required) as identified in the Operational Noise and Vibration Compliance Report in consultation with affected property owners. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA will be complied with where required during th operational phase. |
| 10 | | At both 12 months and 5 years after the commencement of operation of the SSI, or as otherwise agreed to by the Secretary, the Proponent must prepare a Road Network Performance Review Plan in consultation with Transport for NSW and the relevant councils that includes: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | Not yet submitted | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV | This CoA applies to the operational phase and will be reported on in the operational compliance reports. |
| 0 | (a) | an updated analysis, including modelling of traffic impacts to the adjoining road network (including impacts on local roads and rat- running), as a consequence of the SSI. This must include a review of new information available about potential land use changes, and any traffic changes as a result of other major road projects within the project area; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | (b) | further detailed investigations at the following intersections or sections of the road network- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | (b) | (i) potential 'pinch-points' where the merging of tunnel exit traffic and surface traffic would occur at the King Georges Road Interchange and the St Peters Interchange, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | (b) | (ii) King Street, between Sydney Park Road and Enmore Road, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | (iii) Euston Road, between Sydney Park Road and Botany Road, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | (iv) Princes Highway/Campbell Street, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | (v) Princes Highway/Canal Road, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|) | (b) | (vi) Princes Highway/Railway Road, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (vii) Gardeners Road/O'Riordan Street, | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| E40 | (b) | (viii) Sydney Park Road/Mitchell Road, | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| E40 | (b) | (ix) Gardeners Road/Bourke Road, | | | | | |
| E40 | (b) | (x) Unwins Bridge Road/Campbell Street, and | | | | | |
| E40 | (b) | (xi) Campbell Road/Euston Road; | | | | | |
| E40 | (c) | updated consideration of potential mitigation measures to manage any predicted traffic performance deficiencies in association with the investigations undertaken under (b); | | | | | |
| E40 | (d) | the predicted traffic performance improvements from these measures, including any cumulative improvements; | | | | | |
| E40 | (e) | details on bus priority measures; | | | | | |
| E40 | (f) | a comparison of the pre- and post-road network performance for all road users including, but not limited to, vehicles, freight, public transport and active transport; | | | | | |
| E40 | (g) | justification of why the predicted 'do minimum' performance for any road users of any intersection on the adjoining road network cannot be maintained (if necessary); and | | | | | |
| E40 | (h) | an updated description and proposed timing of potential mitigation measures, including measures to remove or limit any adverse impacts on any road user groups impacted by the SSI. | | | | | |
| E40 | | The Proponent is responsible for the implementation of the identified measures, if required. | | | | | |
| E40 | | The Road Network Performance Review Plan must be submitted to the Secretary, Transport for NSW (in relation to impacts on bus services) and to relevant council(s) within 60 days of its completion and made publicly available. | | | | | |
| E40 | | The purpose of the Road Network Performance Review Plan is to optimise road network performance including public transport access and times, and manage the performance impacts of the SSI on the adjoining road network by identifying or confirming mitigation improvements that could be required in areas where traffic performance may be unsatisfactory at time of completion of construction. | | | | | |



| E40 | | Note: • Identified mitigation measures may need to be further assessed under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Works will need to meet relevant design standards and be subject to independent road safety audits. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| E41 | | The Proponent must liaise with relevant councils during detailed design to improve integration of the project with the local and regional road network. The outcomes of this consultation will be reported and incorporated in the Road Network Performance Review Plan required under condition E40. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Design Operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | WCX CDS- |
| E42 | | The Proponent must prepare and implement an Operational Parking and Access Strategy to facilitate the optimisation of the return of on- and off-street parking removed or altered during construction and consequent to the operation of the SSI. The Strategy shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12 months prior to operation | Y | Not yet submitted | Not yet approved | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX RMS CDS |
| E42 | (a) | confirmation and timing of the return of on- and off-street parking removed or altered as a result of construction and operation of the SSI with reference to the Residual Land Management Plan required in condition B67; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |




1

WestConnex New M5

SAMSUNG C&T

| /CX M5 AT DS-JV | Consultation with Councils is ongoing during the design process and the outcomes of this will be incorporated into the Road Network Performance Review Plan. Compliance with this condition will be reported in the operational compliance reports. |
|--------------------------|---|
| /CX M5 AT MS DS-JV | The Operational Parking and Access Strategy will be prepared and submitted to the Secretary at least 12 months prior to operation in accordance with this condition. Compliance with this condition will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. Implementation of the Operational Parking and Access Strategy will be reported on during the operational compliance reports. |

| | | NO. 5 | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| E42 | (b) | review of comprehensive parking surveys required in condition D50(b) with consideration of changes in demand attributable to land use changes, acquisitions or other cumulative impacts; | | | | | |
| E42 | (c) | consultation with affected stakeholders, including relevant councils, that will experience continued loss, return or additional on- and off-street parking; | | | | | |
| E42 | (d) | assessment of the impacts of changes to on- and off-street parking stock taking into consideration of outcomes of consultation with affected stakeholders and reviews of parking surveys; | | | | | |
| E42 | (e) | identification of mitigation measures and arrangements to manage impacts to stakeholders as a result of on- and off-street parking changes including, but not necessarily limited to, provision of alternative parking arrangements, and working with relevant councils to introduce parking restrictions or permit schemes where appropriate; | | | | | |
| E42 | (f) | mechanisms for monitoring of on- and off- street parking impacts and mitigation measures at 12 month intervals to determine the effectiveness of implemented mitigation measures and any supply and demand induced parking issues that are attributable to the SSI; | | | | | |
| E42 | (g) | provision of contingency measures should the results of mitigation monitoring indicate implemented measures are ineffective; and | | | | | |
| E42 | (h) | provision of reporting of monitoring results to the Secretary and relevant councils at 12 month intervals for the first five years of operation. | | | | | |
| E42 | | The use of residual land to achieve compliance with the objective of optimising the return of all on- and off-street parking is permitted. However, this must be justified within the Residual Land Management Plan required by condition B67. | | | | | |
| E42 | | The Strategy must be submitted to the Secretary for approval at least 12 months prior to the operation of the SSI, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The Strategy must be implemented prior to the operation of the SSI. | | | | | |



| E43 | | The ongoing maintenance and operation costs of urban design, open space, landscaping and recreational items and works implemented as part of this approval will remain the Proponent's responsibility until satisfactory arrangements have been put in place for the transfer of the asset to the relevant authority. Prior to the transfer of assets, the Proponent will maintain items and works to at least the design standards established in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan required by condition B61. | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Construction Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| E44 | | Six months prior to operation, the Proponent must prepare an Emergency Response Plan , in consultation with FRNSW and NSW Police Force. The Emergency Response Plan must include, but not be limited to: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 6 months prior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV |
| E44 | (a) | protocols and procedures to be followed during emergency situations associated with the operation of the project (including fires, explosions and, for the purposes of this condition, vehicle collisions). The protocols and procedures are to take into account the needs of people with a disability or who may experience access problems in emergency situations; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E44 | (b) | details of traffic management measures to be implemented during emergencies, where appropriate, to minimise the potential for escalation of the emergency; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E44 | (c) | design and management measures to address the potential environmental impacts of an emergency situation, including measures for containment of contaminated fire-fighting water, fuel spills and gaseous combustion products; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E44 | (d) | details of a training and testing program to ensure that- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E44 | (d) | (i) all operational staff are familiar with the Emergency Response Plan, and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E44 | (d) | (ii) coordination with FRNSW and NSW Police is regularly exercised; and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| _ | Wes | stConnex | New M5 |
|---|-----|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| | | will be complied al phases, as req | 0 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

WCX M5 AT An Emergency Response Plan will be prepared six months CDS-JV prior to operation and an emergency response exercise will be conducted at least one month prior to opening of the tunnels. This condition will be reported on during the Pre Operation Compliance Report. Note: Modification 2 relating to Conditions E3 & E44 was

approved by DPE on 30 August 2017.

| E44 | (e) | e) provision for a simulated emergency response exercise, including the Proponent, FRNSW and NSW Police, to be conducted in accordance with the approved Emergency Response Plan on at least one occasion at least one month prior to the opening of the tunnels to traffic. The time for the exercise is to be agreed by the participants, and FRNSW and NSW Police are to be provided with at least one month prior notification of any proposed time. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---|---------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| E45 | | Fire simulation and hot smoke testing must be undertaken as part of the simulated emergency response exercise to be staged prior to opening of the project to traffic as required in condition E44(e). The Proponent must respond in writing to ar recommendations made by FRNSW as a resu- of the exercise. Any outstanding concerns ar to be resolved between FRNSW and the Proponent. | y It | N N | N | N | N | N | N | 6 months prior to operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX I RMS CDS-J |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|--------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ICX M5 AT MS DS-JV | This condition will be complied with as part of the emergency response exercise required by CoA E44. Compliance with this condition will be reported on in the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| E48 The Proponent must undertake annual Haard N N N N N N N or yet: Headewood the project for the first they wars of diperation. The Haard Review must deal and how and/end shows the vertice curred during the preceding period, as per (a) to (c) took, used high and/end sing ward must must be undertaken of the project to mattice. N <th>Not yet Wi triggered RM CD</th> | Not yet Wi triggered RM CD |
|--|----------------------------------|
|--|----------------------------------|



WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV Hazard reviews will be undertaken for the first five years of operation in accordance with this condition. A Hazard review report will be provided to FRNSW within one month of the review taking place. Compliance with this condition will be reported on during the operational compliance reports.

| E47 | The Proponent must develop a Fire Engineering Brief and Fire Engineering Report to address fire and life safety in the tunnel, in consultation with FRNSW. The documents must be prepared prior to finalising the detailed design for the tunnel. The documents must outline fire protection systems and other tunnel equipment, systems, and operational protocols required for fire and smoke management. In developing the Fire Engineering Brief and Fire Engineering Report, the Proponent must undertake a detailed fire engineering study in accordance with Australian Building Codes Board codes and guides, and Fire Safety Engineering Guidelines. Detailed design of the tunnel must incorporate the design and operational measures developed in the fire engineering study to minimise the potential | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Design | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Compliant | W0 RM CD |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-----|-----|------------------|-----------|----------------|
| | for, and effect of, fire and hazardous material incidents in the tunnel. The final design of the tunnel in relation to the fire and life safety features must be verified against the fire engineering study in consultation with FRNSW by an Accredited Fire Engineer. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendation made by FRNSW in relation to the Fire Engineering Brief and Fire Engineering Report, within such time as may be agreed by FRNSW. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved between FRNSW and the Proponent. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



WCX M5 AT RMS CDS-JV Extensive presentations, consultation and collaboration has occurred since the commencement of the project to ensure the requirements of FRNSW are incorporated. The Fire Engineering Brief (FEB) has been issued previously and the Fire Engineering Report (FER) was issued in August 2017.


| developed in condition: 647 above must be undertained by an Acceleted fire Engineer. The objective of the audit must be to ensure that all design on portational measures outlined in the fire engineering study have been included, any constraints of the submitted to FINSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to equational measures outlined in the incomplexity of the submitted to FinSW proto to the submitted to FinSW proto t | E48 | Prior to the opening of the project to traffic, a full audit of the fire and life safety system as defined by the fire engineering study | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Prior to opening | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M CDS-JV |
|---|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| The objective of the audit must be to ensure that all design and operational measures outlined in the fire engineering study have been installed, are operational, and achieve the required design criteria. The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations resulting from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | developed in condition E47 above must be | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| that all design and operational measures outlined in the fire engineering study have been installed, are operational, and achieve the required design criteria. The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations resulting from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| been installed, are operational, and achieve the required design criteria. The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations resulting from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | that all design and operational measures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the required design criteria. The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations resulting from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The results of the audit must be submitted to FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations resulting from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | been installed, are operational, and achieve | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FRNSW prior to opening of the project to Image: Constraint of the project to the property of the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| writing to any recommendations resulting Image: Comparison of the audit. Any from FRNSW review of the audit. Any Image: Comparison of the resolved outstanding concerns are to be resolved Image: Comparison of the audit. Comparison of the resolved | | FRNSW prior to opening of the project to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| from FRNSW review of the audit. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | traffic. The Proponent must respond in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| between FRNSW and the Proponent. | | outstanding concerns are to be resolved | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | between FRNSW and the Proponent. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------|----------------------|
| | DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| M5 AT JV | |
| | |

| E49 | | A detailed maintenance-testing program outlining the methods of testing the fire and life safety systems and schedule for implementation must be developed in consultation with FRNSW prior to opening of the project to traffic. The Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations made by FRNSW. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved between FRNSW and the Proponent. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Prior to opening | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX M CDS-JV |
|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| E50 | | Maintenance testing of fire and life safety systems must be undertaken at least annually, or any other interval as required by the design engineer and to the satisfaction of FRNSW. Results of maintenance testing must be made available to FRNSW for review, and the Proponent must respond in writing to any recommendations from FRNSW to ensure the reliability of the fire and life safety systems. Any outstanding concerns are to be resolved between FRNSW and the Proponent. | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Operation | N | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not yet triggered | WCX M RMS CDS-JV |
| E51 | | Within 12 months of the commencement of operation, and at any other stage required by the Secretary, the Proponent must commission and pay the full cost of an Independent Environmental Audit of the SSI. The Independent Environmental Audit must: | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Within 12 months of commencement of operation | N | N/A | N/A | Not yet complete | Not yet triggered | WCX N RMS CDS-JV |
| E51 | (a) | be conducted by a suitably qualified, experienced and independent team of experts whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E51 | (b) | include consultation with the relevant agencies and relevant councils; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | WestConnex New M5 |
|-------------------------|--|
| | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T |
| CX M5 AT JS-JV | This CoA will be complied with prior to operation of the tunnels and compliance will be reported on during the Pre-Operation Compliance Report. |
| CX M5 AT AS DS-JV | Maintenance testing of fire and life safety will be undertaken annually during the operational phase in accordance with this condition, and results made available for FRNSW review. Compliance with this condition will be reported on in the operational compliance reports. |
| CX M5 AT AS JS-JV | An Independent Environmental Audit will be conducted in accordance with this condition within 12 months of the commencement of operation. A copy of the audit report will be submitted to the Secretary within 60 days of completion of the audit. This condition will be addressed in the OEMP and reported on in the relevant operational compliance report. |

| | | 10.0 | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| E51 | (c) | assess the environmental performance of the SSI and assess whether it is complying with the requirements in this approval, and any other relevant approvals (including any assessment, plan or program required under these approvals); | | | | | | |
| E51 | (d) | review the accuracy of predicted environmental outcomes discussed in the documents referred to in conditions A2(b)and A2(c); | | | | | | |
| E51 | (e) | review the adequacy of any approved strategy, plan or program required under the abovementioned approvals in (c); and | | | | | | |
| E51 | (f) | recommend measures or actions to improve the environmental performance of the SSI, and/or any strategy, plan or program required under these approvals. | | | | | | |
| E51 | | Within 60 days of completion of the Independent Environmental Audit, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must submit a copy of the audit report to the Secretary and relevant public authorities, together with its response to any recommendations contained in the audit report. | | | | | | |
| E51 | | Notes: • This audit team must be led by a suitably qualified and experienced auditor, and include experts in air quality, biodiversity, noise and vibration, hydrology and any other fields specified by the Secretary. • The audit may be staged to suit the staged operation of the SSI. | | | | | | |



Appendix B: Revised Environmental Management Measures

This page has been left blank intentionally



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Traffic and transport | TT01 | <u>A Construction Traffic Management and Safety Plan (CTMSP)</u> would be prepared as part of the CEMP. The CTMSP would include the guidelines, general requirements and principles of traffic management to be implemented during construction, including: Signage requirements (eg temporary speed restrictions, changes to the road environment, traffic management controls) Lane possession and approval process during periods of online construction (eg line marking and temporary barriers) Traffic control devices such as traffic signals A local and regional communications strategy, including methods to provide advanced notice of any major or prolonged impacts (eg leaflets and local media), and real-time information regarding current impacts (eg variable message signs, radio traffic news) Details of both the general approach to be used for access and egress to construction compounds and the specific controls required at specific locations Any specific provisions required to manage potential impacts to sensitive users, such as schools, child care centres and health facilities. Strategies to minimise impacts on on-street car parking due to construction workers. The CTMSP would be prepared in accordance with Austroads Guide to Road Design (with appropriate Roads and Maritime supplements), the RTA Traffic Control at Work Sites manual and AS1742.3: Manual of uniform traffic control devices – Part 3:Traffic control for works on roads, and any other relevant standard, guide or manual. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | 6 months review of CTMSP was submitted 28/08/2017 under revision 5 |
| Traffic and transport | TT02 | Construction methods and staging would be designed to minimise road closures, subject to other project constraints, and ensure that disruptions to existing traffic are minimised as much as feasible and reasonable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Road disruptions are being minimised as much as possible (and where possible) through construction methodology and traffic staging and the development of Traffic Management Plans. All traffic management plans are being assessed and approved via Sydney Coordination Office. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Traffic and transport | TT03 | Construction works would be carried out offline, where possible. Where offline construction is not practical, and for tie-ins between online and offline sections of the project, construction sequencing and any temporary works identified would aim to minimise user delay while providing sufficient flexibility for the selected contractor to safely and efficiently construct the project | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Road disruptions are being minimised as much as possible (and where possible) through construction methodology and traffic staging and the development of Traffic Management Plans. All traffic management plans are being assessed and approved via Sydney Coordination Office. |
| Traffic and transport | TT04 | Works that would significantly reduce the performance of the road network would be scheduled for periods of typically lower traffic volumes where feasible and reasonable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Road disruptions are being minimised as much as possible (and where possible) through construction methodology and traffic staging and the development of Traffic Management Plans. All traffic management plans including details of traffic volumes and timeframe are being developed and approved via Sydney Coordination Office after evaluation of each proposal. |
| Traffic and transport | TT05 | Work areas would be isolated from general traffic using temporary safety barriers where possible. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | RMS approved concrete safety barriers are being used on the project as a primary control to isolate the work area from live traffic. Recently, Bourke Road Traffic management plan was implemented with installation of barriers along the shoulder to protect the work zone. |
| Traffic and transport | TT06 | Temporary closed-circuit television (CCTV) and Variable Message Signs (VMS) would be provided at the outset of construction to link with the existing Transport Management Centre (TMC) network to facilitate monitoring and management of traffic impacts | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A traffic control room has been established and is fully operational for construction of the project. In total there are 24 CCTV cameras in use on the Project (19 CCTV cameras from TMC with an additional 5 cameras since acquired). Temporary VMS are also available for the project as required. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Traffic and transport | тто7 | Traffic volume data would be analysed to identify capacity requirements, assess the potential impact of lane occupancies on traffic flows, plan lane occupancies to minimise the work area, and identify the best time to minimise inconvenience to road users. Restrictions and obstructions would be limited, road capacities maximised and peak traffic periods avoided where possible | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Data collection has been undertaken where required to estimate potential impacts of the project related to construction traffic staging. Independent traffic switches required to enable traffic staging on the project have been evaluated with traffic modelling using SIDRA intersection software. Staging works that cause traffic disruption are provided in a traffic management plan for RMS approval. |
| Traffic and transport | TT08 | Impacts on pedestrian paths and cycle lanes would be minimised, and alternatives provided during construction where practical and safe to do so | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | All impacts on pedestrian paths and cycle lanes are minimised and presented as part of the Traffic management plan (TMP) process for RMS approval. Under Bourke Road Traffic management plan, the shared path strategy was implemented. |
| Traffic and transport | тто9 | Impacts to bus stops would be identified and alternative locations and access would be provided. This would be undertaken in consultation with Transport for NSW and the relevant bus service provider | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A bus stop along Euston Road Nortbound south of Maddox Street has been reolocated north of Maddox Street. This Bus Stop relocation is part of long term plan for Euston Road stage 1 B approved under a Traffic manegement plan. In addition the Bus Stop along Euston Road Southbound, north of Maddox Street was temporary closed for two weeks with alternative bus stop within 400m was proposed. Consultation and approval were conducted in liaison with Transport for NSW. |
| Traffic and transport | ττ10 | Local road closures would be managed and adequate property access maintained. This would be undertaken in consultation with Roads and Maritime, local councils and property owners likely to be impacted | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is managed through the fortnightly traffic coordination group meetings. Then formal traffic managment plans are developped to address property accesses as part of each plans (when required). Additional interface with Councils is organised via CDSJV community team to inform and conult of any upcoming closures and/or when required for specific impacts. ER: Confirmed during ER inspection of local road closures on 2 Sept 2017. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Traffic and transport | Π11 | A <u>spoil management plan</u> would be prepared with subsequent monitoring of heavy vehicle and haulage routes to ensure compliance and minimise impact on local roads off the arterial road network | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Spoil Management Plan (MSN-PM-PLN- PWD-0002) was conditionally approved on 23/11/2016. Revision 7 of the Plan was submitted to DP&E in January 2017 to address the requirements of the conditional approval. Section 6.7, 6.20 and appendix H of the Traffic and Access Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004) also addresses this requirement. Current approved haulage routes are identified in the Spoil Management Plan, additional routes approved since will be incorporated in the next revision of the Plan. Trucks have been visually observed and GPS reports reviewed for compliance from 23/6/17 & 9/8/17 and both trucks used approved routes to access & egress the work sites. Further review of trucks on 2/9/17 after CDSJV internal suspicion found that 3 trucks used an unapproved route a number of times including Vanessa & Kingsgrove Avenue - both Local Rds. Notification sent to Contractor trucks on 6/9/17 requesting immediate action. CDSJV Haul Route Map booklet still under development. |
| Traffic and transport | TT12 | A <u>road dilapidation report(s)</u> would be prepared identifying existing conditions of local roads and mechanisms to repair damage to the road network caused by heavy vehicle movements associated with the project. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B59 (Appendix A) |
| Traffic and transport | TT13 | Road occupancy licences would be obtained where required. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | ROLs are being systematically obtained with TMC under their Oplink Procedure. |
| Traffic and transport | ΤΤ14 | The CTSMP would be developed in consultation with local emergency services and procedures would be implemented to maintain priority access and a safe environment for emergency vehicles to travel through construction areas. The CTSMP would include measures to keep emergency services informed of the staging and progress of construction works. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The CTSMP was provided to the following emergency services on 3/5/16 for consultation: NSW Police NSW State Emergency Service NSW Fire and Rescue NSW Ambulance Ongoping consultation is being undertaken via the transport and traffic liaison group (TTLG). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|-----------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Traffic and transport | ΤΤ15 | The location of the car park and site office associated with the Kingsgrove North construction compound (C1) would be further refined with alternatives considered during construction planning, including the opportunity for the use of the existing Garema Circuit carpark | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | Consideration of parking for the Kingsgrove North construction compound is provided in Section 6.16 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004). The Garema Circuit carpark is being used during the construction phase as described in Section 5.7 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (AFMP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026; Revision 6 submitted to DPE 11/01/17). |
| Traffic and transport | OpTT 01 | A road safety audit would be undertaken by a qualified auditor(s) as part of the detailed design, and again immediately prior to project opening, to examine the design from a road safety perspective and identify potential safety issues. This process would be undertaken in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Accident Reduction Guide Part 2: Road Safety Audits (RTA, 2005a). | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B49 (Appendix A) |
| Traffic and transport | OpTT 02 | An operational traffic review would be conducted 12 months following the commencement of operation to confirm the operational traffic impacts of the project on surrounding arterial roads and major intersections. The operational traffic review would be undertaken by a suitably qualified traffic specialist that is independent of the design and traffic studies undertaken as part of the environmental impact statement. The operational traffic review would include (but is not limited to) an assessment of the level of service at major intersections on local roads around the St Peters interchange, the King Georges Road interchange and changes in traffic levels on parallel arterial roads, such as Stoney Creek Road. | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | The operational traffic review is required to be undertaken 12 months after opening of the project and will therefore be reported against in the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Traffic and transport | OpTT 03 | During detailed design, the ability to further reduce the width of Euston Road would be investigated through: Further reductions in the lane widths and/or Minimising the extent of, or need for, the central turning lane along Euston Road. This investigation would consider the changes to network performance, road safety for all users (including pedestrians), implications for access to properties along Euston Road, amenity improvements for pedestrians / cyclists, benefits to reduced impacts on Sydney Park and vegetation, and opportunities for additional landscaping. | Design | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | The bus lane turning right into Sydney Park Road from Euston Road has been removed during detailed design to reduce the width of Euston Road. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Air quality | AQ01 | Develop and implement a <u>Construction Air Quality Management Plan</u> in consultation with the NSW EPA. Any measures that are required will differ depending on the activities occurring, and so will need to be tailored for each individual site. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA D68(e) (Appendix A) |
| Air quality | AQ02 | Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the Construction Air Quality Management Plan, record inspection results. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This REMM is addressed in Section 7 of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0002; approved 4/07/16). Weekly environmental inspections are completed by the Environmental Coordinators and the Environmental Representative during the construction phase of the project, to monitor compliance with the CAQSP. |
| Air quality | AQ03 | Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Community Communication Strategy (CCS)(M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008 Rev 04) has been reviewed, updated and forwarded to DPE. Further, as per section 6.7 of the CCS, SMC has formed an Air Quality Community Consultative Committee. |
| Air quality | AQ04 | Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues at the boundaries of each construction area. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager. Display the head or regional office contact information. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ7) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Signage with project contact details is provided at the boundary fencing of all New M5 construction compound sites. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ05 | Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receivers, as far as is possible. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ2) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Weekly environmental inspections are completed by the Environmental Coordinators and the Environmental Representative during the construction phase of the project, to monitor compliance with the AQMP. |
| Air quality | AQ06 | Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ11 and AQ13) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). Personnel receive inductions and ongoing training via toolbox talks to ensure awareness of dust management measures. Construction site boundaries are typically enclosed by noise barriers, hoardings and / or shade cloth type barriers which assist to reduce dust emissions. |
| Air quality | AQ07 | Ensure, where reasonable and feasible, that appropriate control methods are implemented to minimise dust emissions from the project site. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ2) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Weekly environmental inspections are completed by the Environmental Coordinators and the Environmental Representative during the construction phase of the project, to monitor compliance with the AQMP and this REMM. |
| Air quality | AQ08 | Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used on site. If they are being re-used on-site, cover as soon as practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ4) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Weekly environmental inspections are completed to monitor compliance with the CAQSP and this REMM. Toolbox talks are provided to ensure ongoing awareness of this issue is maintained. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Air quality | AQ09 | Impose and signpost a maximum-speed-limit of 20 km/h on surfaced and unsurfaced haul roads and in work areas. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ32) of the (CAQSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ10 | Not used. | | | | |
| Air quality | AQ11 | Where practicable, only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, (e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ29) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ12 | Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ15) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Water supplies have been provided and are being used at all construction sites, including water carts for dust suppression. Non-potable water has been used at the Arncliffe Construction Compound during the reporting period. |
| Air quality | AQ13 | Where possible, use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ30) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This measure has been implemented where feasible. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ14 | Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ31) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). The crushing plant in use at SPI uses fine water sprays. This measure is also considered for activities where potential dust impacts may occur. |
| Air quality | AQ15 | Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages, and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using appropriate cleaning methods. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ16) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Spill kit locations are identified on Site Environmental Plans and spill response is addressed in inductions and toolbox talks. |
| Air quality | AQ16 | Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ16) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). |
| Air quality | AQ17 | Stockpiles would be located outside overland flowpaths, and where left exposed and undisturbed for longer than 28 days, would be finished and contoured to minimise loss of material in flood or rainfall events. Materials which require stockpiling for longer than 28 days would be stabilised by compaction, covering with anchored fabrics, or seeded with sterile grass where appropriate. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ24) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16) and Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ18 | Where a stockpile, eg sand or fine aggregate, has the potential to generate dust, control measures would be implemented. These would include wetting the stockpile, covering the stockpile or contouring the stockpile. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ25) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Management of dust associated with stockpiles is managed through covering the stockpile and spraying with water and/or polymer. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ19 | Ensure bulk cement and other fine powder materials are delivered in enclosed tankers and stored in silos with suitable emission control systems to prevent escape of material and overfilling during delivery. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ33) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Deliveries of bulk cement are used at Arncliffe in accordance with this requirement. |
| Air quality | AQ20 | For smaller supplies of fine powder materials ensure bags are sealed after use and stored appropriately to prevent dust. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ34) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Small supplies of cement, lime and bentonite are stored in bags which are sealed until they are used. Bags are used completely. |
| Air quality | AQ21 | Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ35) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16) Street sweepers are used on the project at construction sites and compounds as required to remove material from roads. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ22 | Avoid dry sweeping of large areas. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 (AQ18) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). Sweepers use water spray. This has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ23 | Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 (AQ36) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). All haulage trucks on boarded onto the project are checked to ensure mechanical covers are in position & operational on the truck. Daily monitoring from site teams is undertaken to ensure compliance with this requirement and is captured as part of a Chain of Responsibility (CoR) inspection audit. Over 20 audits have |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | been completed to date with no non- compliances recorded. |
| | | | | | | Traffic controllers are also managing this requirement at site exit gates. This has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ24 | Inspect on-site haul routes for integrity and instigate necessary repairs to the surface as soon as reasonably practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Table 10, Section 7 of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). Inspections of working areas are undertaken daily, weekly and monthly during construction. |
| Air quality | AQ25 | Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site log book. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Table 10, Section 7 of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). Spoil team personnel drive the approved road network daily and no issues with air quality have been raised during the reporting period. |
| Air quality | AQ26 | Where reasonable and feasible, haul roads will be maintained with water carts and graders, and the condition of the roads will be monitored. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ37) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). During the reporting period, the sites are at various stages of construction with some internal haul roads completed and others still under development. Haulage routes are monitored by site teams and are cleaned and maintained as required. No issues raised to date |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ27 | Implement site exit controls (e.g. wheel washing system and rumble grids) to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site where reasonably practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ38) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ28 | Ensure there is an adequate area of hard surfaced road between the wheel wash facility and the site exit, wherever site size and layout permits. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ39) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). |
| Air quality | AQ29 | Access gates to be located at least 10 metres from receivers where possible. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ39) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ30 | Ensure all construction vehicles comply with their relevant emission standards. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ40) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This measure was identifed as an Area of Concern during ER audits for the Q2 period. Additional checks have since been included in pre-start forms to check for non-compliant exhaust emmissions. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ31 | Ensure that, where practicable, engine idling is minimised when stationary. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ41) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This requirement is monitored on sites by Site Foremen. Inspections during the period have not identified any non-compliances with this requirement. |
| Air quality | AQ32 | Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ42) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). All sites now have access to mains power and use of generators is avoided where possible. |
| Air quality | AQ33 | Promote and encourage sustainable travel (public transport, cycling, walking, and car-sharing). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ43) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). During the project on-boarding process this REMM is discussed with all employees and is reinforced during the project induction. ER: Evidence of facilities to support cycling to site and bicycle use has been noted at all sites on ER inspections |
| Air quality | AQ34 | No bonfires and burning of waste materials. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ44) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). No burning of waste occurs at project sites. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ35 | Soft strip inside buildings before demolition (retaining walls and windows in the rest of the building where possible, to provide a screen against dust). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ20) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). This process has been implemented during demolition in the reporting period. |
| Air quality | AQ36 | Ensure effective water suppression is used during demolition operations. Hand held sprays are more effective than hoses attached to equipment as the water can be directed to where it is needed. In addition high volume water suppression systems, manually controlled, can produce fine water droplets that effectively bring the dust particles to the ground and may be more useful for covering larger areas. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ21) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Temporary water lines have been installed and used during the demolition of properties. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ37 | Minimise explosive blasting where possible during demolition, using appropriate manual or mechanical alternatives. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ22) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). No blasting has been used for demolition in the reporting period. |
| Air quality | AQ38 | Bag and remove any biological debris or other hazardous materials such as asbestos, damp down such material before demolition. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ23) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Asbestos removal has been undertaken in accordance with the WHS Regulation 2011. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ39 | Re-vegetate earthworks and exposed areas/soil stockpiles to stabilise surfaces as soon as practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ26) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Temporarily exposed areas have been covered with polymer and other ground covers. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed during inspections for the period. |
| Air quality | AQ40 | Use hessian, mulches or tackifiers where it is not possible to re-vegetate or cover with topsoil, as soon as practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ27) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16).Temporarily exposed areas have been covered with polymer and other ground covers.ER: Extensive use of polymers to stabilise loose surfaces at all sites has been noted on ER inspections |
| Air quality | AQ41 | Where possible, only remove any cover for exposed areas in small areas during work and not all at once. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ28) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). Works are staged in accordance with this requirement. |
| Air quality | AQ42 | Regular communication with other high risk construction ancillary facilities within 500 metres of the site boundary, to ensure plans are co-ordinated and dust and particulate matter emissions are minimised. It is important to understand the interactions of the off-site transport/deliveries which might be using the same strategic road network routes. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Proponents of other construction works in the vicinity of the SSI are being consulted and reasonable steps are being undertaken to minimise impacts on sensitive receivers. This requirement is incorporated into Section 6 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0003). This quarter the project has continued to consult with the following projects: Marsh Street Widening (VBA JV) works, adjacent to the Arncliffe construction compound (C7) Green Square Stormwater Project (DG |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | Alliance), Zetland to Alexandria, in proximity to the Local Road Upgrade sites (Huntley St Alexandria) - recently approved Sydney Metro project, - development projects on Gardeners Road including Meriton and ICON developments, - proposed M4-M5 link project (SMC). - 33Kv Ausgrid power supply to SPI |
| Air quality | AQ43 | Undertake regular on-site and off-site inspection, where receivers are nearby, to monitor dust, record inspection results. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Table 10, Section 7 of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0002; approved 27/07/16). This requirement has been complied with during the period. |
| Air quality | AQ44 | Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ8) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16) and Sections 4.9.7 and 4.9.8 of the Community Communication Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 27/07/16). All complaints have been recorded and responded to in accordance with the CCS. |
| Air quality | AQ45 | Make complaints available to the Secretary upon request. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 8.3 of the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008 Rev 04) . A daily complaints report is provided to EPA and a weekly report to SMC and DPE. The Secretary has access to all complaints and responses at all times. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Air quality | AQ46 | Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and/or air emissions, either on- or offsite, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the log book. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6 (AQ6) of the Construction Air Quality Sub-Plan (CAQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0002; approved 27/07/16). All incidents are recorded in the project's Environment and Safety database. |
| Air quality | N/A | Environmental management measures that are available for improving tunnel-related air quality are categorised as follows: Tunnel design Ventilation design and control Air treatment systems Emission controls and other measures Monitoring. Refer to Section 10.10.2 of the EIS for detailed discussion of these categories. | Design / Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV | CDS-JV has referred to Section 10.10.2 of the EIS when preparing the design of the Project. |
| Human health | HH1 | Affected households would be provided access to the following services to support them in the land acquisition process and relocation (including renters). These services include access to a free counselling service; WestConnex Assist and assistance from relocation support teams. Additional services which could include: Assistance in identifying alternative properties Independent social support for households relocating within the area and to other areas, providing contacts and information in regard to social services, facilities and logistical matters (eg logistics of moving including required administrative tasks) Access to financial advice for affected households First language support for households within English as a second language. | Pre-construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | The services available to support residents who are being relocated as a result of property acquisition include: The WestConnex Assist counselling service - 'Converge' – which is the counselling service provided by WCXM5 AT Pty Ltd. It is a free, independent and confidential counselling service and is still available for use for relocated persons Provision of an independent service to vulnerable households to assist with their relocation Community relations support to respond to community issues, concerns and requests and to offer a translation service to households for whom English is a second language RMS have contacted residential and commercial tenants who are currently renting properties to be acquired for the project, to discuss timeframes for acquisition and compensation (where applicable). Residents who are having their properties acquired or are relocating due the New M5 project (e.g. tenants) have been advised to contact WestConnex directly to discuss |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|-----|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | | | | | | available support services. • An interpreting service is provided. |
| Noise and vibration | NV1 | A construction noise and vibration management plan(s) will be prepared and implemented consistent with the requirements of the <i>Interim</i> <i>Construction Noise Guideline</i> (DECC, 2009), and will include the following: Identification of nearby residences and other sensitive land uses Description of approved hours of work Description and identification of construction activities, including work areas, equipment and duration Description of what work practices (generic and specific) will be applied to minimise noise and vibration A complaints handling process Noise and vibration monitoring procedures Overview of community consultation required for identified high impact works. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA D68(b) (Appendix A) |
| Noise and vibration | NV2 | Induction and training will be provided to relevant staff and subcontractors outlining their responsibilities with regard to noise. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 1.4 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Requirements regarding noise and vibration are included in Project and site inductions. |
| Noise and vibration | NV3 | Noisy activities that cannot be undertaken during standard construction hours will be scheduled as early as possible during the evening and/or night time periods. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 3.2.1 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Compliance with this requirement can be evidenced via Out of Hours works approvals. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|-----|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | NV4 | Permanent noise barriers will be scheduled for completion as early as possible in order to minimise construction noise. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Permanent noise barriers form part of the operational design and will be installed as early as possible, once the project's Urban Design and Landscape Plan is finalised. |
| Noise and vibration | NV5 | Property treatments identified for the operational phase of the project will be considered for installation before or early in the construction period, where they would improve noise levels. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP).Permanent noise barriers form part of the operational design and will be installed as early as possible, once the project's Urban Design and Landscape Plan is finalised. An update to the progress of installation of property treatments is provided to DP&E weekly (refer CoA D19).ER: Confirmed during previous review of compliance with D19 |
| Noise and vibration | NV6 | Acoustic sheds will be erected at the Kingsgrove North (C1), Bexley Road North (C4) and Bexley Road South (C5) construction compounds, to mitigate noise generated by tunnelling support activities. The noise attenuation requirements for these acoustic sheds will be reviewed and confirmed during detailed design. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 and Section 4.1.6, of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Acoustic sheds have been constructed at all sites supporting tunnel spoil removal activities, including C1, C4 and C5 compounds. |
| Noise and vibration | NV7 | Temporary acoustic hoardings will be installed at the Kingsgrove North (C1), Commercial Road (C3), Bexley Road North (C4), Bexley Road South (C5), Bexley Road East (C6), Arncliffe (C7), the Marsh Street ponds site, Canal Road (C8) and Campbell Road (C9) construction compounds. The design and location of acoustic hoardings will be confirmed during detailed design. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP), the Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements and the Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies required under CoA D20 (Kingsgrove strategy approved 23/6/16). Temporary noise barriers are being constructed in accordance with the approved Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies. Compliance with this requirement has been confirmed at ER inspections during the period. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | NV8 | When working adjacent to schools, particularly noisy activities will be scheduled outside normal school hours, where practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). |
| Noise and vibration | NV9 | Where feasible and reasonable, equipment with directional noise emissions will be oriented away from sensitive receivers. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). |
| Noise and vibration | NV10 | Verification checks on the noise emissions of plant and machinery will be conducted. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP).ER inspections conducted during the period have confirmed that noise and vibration monitoring of equipment in use is conducted regularly.ER: Noisy sucker truck identified during ER inspection of Local Roads was removed from site on day of inspection |
| Noise and vibration | NV11 | Ongoing noise monitoring will be undertaken during construction at sensitive receivers during critical periods to identify and assist in managing high risk noise events. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 7 and Appendix G of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP) which outlines specific methods for undertaking noise monitoring during the construction phase of the project. Noise monitoring requirements at sensitive receivers are identifed in the relevant CNVIS for each area of works. Vibration monitoring at sensitive receivers has been ongoing during the period however no noise monitoring had yet been required. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | NV12 | Reversing of equipment will be minimised to prevent nuisance caused by reversing alarms. Use of non-tonal reversing alarms ('quackers') will be implemented to further reduce the nuisance caused by reversing alarms. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). As an example the SPI VMP does not allow for reversing of vehicles near residential areas. ER: Confirmed during weekly ER inspections |
| Noise and vibration | NV13 | Loading and unloading will be carried out away from sensitive receivers, where practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). The ER confirmed compliance with this requirement during ER inspections in the reporting period. |
| Noise and vibration | NV14 | Deliveries will be carried out during standard construction hours where feasible and reasonable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). The ER confirmed during inspections in the reporting period that all deliveries to occur outside standard hours require an out of hours works permit. |
| Noise and vibration | NV15 | Additional noise mitigation measures during out of hours works will be determined on a case-by-case basis using individual receiver predictions, and may consist of offers of alternative accommodation, monitoring, individual briefings, letter box drops, project specific respite offers, phone calls and specific notifications. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.4 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Site-specific measures are provided in the Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements developed for each area of works. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Noise and vibration | NV16 | Respite periods (eg one hour respite for every three hours of continuous construction activity) will be scheduled for high noise impact works where appropriate. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 3.2.3 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Compliance with this requirement was confirmed by ER inspections / audits during the reporting period. |
| Noise and vibration | NV17 | Truck drivers will be advised of designated vehicle routes, parking and queuing locations, acceptable delivery hours and other relevant practices (ie minimising the use of engine brakes, and no extended periods of engine idling). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Trucks are ordered (ie number and timing) for each site daily with site access and haul route details forwarded to the truck contractors. The haul routes and specific details for access are addressed in the Delivery & Cartage Driver Induction (115 completed to date). The ER confirmed during inspections in the Q3 reporting period that all truck drivers must sign on to the Code of Conduct as part of the induction process prior to being allowed on site. |
| Noise and vibration | NV18 | Deliveries and spoil removal will be planned to avoid queuing of trucks around construction compounds. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5) and the Spoil Management Plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0002). Trucks are ordered daily and individual arrival times are required for each truck. |
| Noise and vibration | NV19 | Before the start of tunnelling or other vibration intensive works at each site, condition surveys will be undertaken on properties and structures within the preferred project corridor (the zone on the surface equal to 50 metres from the outer edge of the tunnels) and within 50 metres of surface works. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B58 (Appendix A) |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | NV20 | The safe working distances will be complied with where feasible and reasonable. This will include the consideration of smaller equipment when working close to existing structures. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.3 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). The ER confirmed during inspections in the previous reporting period that separation distances between vibration intensive plant and sensitive locations/items are established during design of works. |
| Noise and vibration | NV21 | If vibration intensive works are required within the safe working distances, vibration monitoring or attended vibration trials will be undertaken at the outset of these works to ensure that levels are within relevant criteria. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Appendix G 3.4 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP) which specifies the methods for undertaking vibration monitoring during the construction phase of the project. The ER confirmed during inspections in the Q3 reporting period that vibration monitoring is undertaken regularly during site activities. |
| Noise and vibration | NV22 | Building condition surveys of potentially affected structures will be completed both before and after the works to identify existing damage and any damage due to the works. | Pre-construction Post-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B58 (Appendix A) |
| Noise and vibration | NV23 | Vibration intensive construction works will be confined to the less sensitive daytime period (9.00 am to 12.00 pm and 2.00 pm to 5.00 pm) as far as reasonably practicable. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Noise and vibration | NV24 | A detailed ground-borne noise assessment will be undertaken following further geotechnical investigations. This will include developing the vibration site law for the project. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 6.2 in the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). The ER has confirmed during inspections in the Q3 reporting period that the tunnelling Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statement outlines the scope of the ground- borne noise assessment and that vibration monitoring is planned to confirm predicitions. |
| Noise and vibration | NV25 | Noise and vibration mitigation methods specific to blasting will be incorporated into the construction noise and vibration management plans where required. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A Blast Management Strategy has been prepared in accordance with this condition, and was submitted to DP&E on 16/01/2017. An application to vary the project's EPL to permit blasting activities was approved by EPA on 10/07/17. |
| Noise and vibration | NV26 | Blasting with the potential to generate an impact at the surface will be restricted to standard daytime hours (except where approved by the relevant authority). Blasting would occur between 9:00am and 5:00pm, up to five days per week (Monday to Friday) and on Saturdays (9:00 am to 1:00pm). Blasts would be limited to one single detonation in any one day per receiver group, unless otherwise agreed by the NSW EPA through consultation on the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 3.2.1 and 3.2.7 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Blasting is proposed to be undertaken from September 2017 for the project. A Blast Management Strategy has been prepared in accordance with this condition, and was submitted to DP&E on 16/01/2017. An application to vary the project's EPL to permit blasting activities was approved by EPA on 10/07/17. An application was submitted to EPA on 16th October 2017 which was approved via licence variation on 1st December 2017. This allowed for two detonations in any one day, and a maximum of 12 blasts a week and an increase in blasting hours between 7am-6pm Monday to Friday and between 8am-1pm on Saturdays at the Arncliffe site. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | NV27 | Site investigations will be conducted prior to production blasting to define suitable blast sizes to comply with project blasting noise and vibration criteria. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 4.1.7 and 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Blasting is proposed to be undertaken from September 2017 for the project. A Blast Management Strategy has been prepared and was submitted to DP&E on 16/01/2017, prior to any blasting. Potential vibration and air blast overpressure impacts generated through blasting will be managed through the Strategy. Trial blasting commenced at Arncliffe on 27th August 2017. Trial blasting commenced at Kingsgrove on 20th November 2017. Trial blasting commenced at St. Peters on 15th September 2017. |
| Noise and vibration | NV28 | Dilapidation studies of nearby receiver buildings will be undertaken where the potential for exceedances of the blasting criteria is identified. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). Dilapidation surveys have commenced for the Project (refer CoA B58, Appendix A). A Blast Management Strategy has been prepared to comply with this condition, and was submitted to DP&E on 16/01/2017. |
| Noise and vibration | NV29 | Where the predicted levels exceed the noise or vibration criteria for blasting, alternative construction methods, such as penetrating cone fracture, will be utilised. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.2 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP; TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP) and the Blast Management Strategy, submitted to DP&E on 16/01/2017. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Noise and vibration | NV30 | Community consultation protocols for sensitive receivers likely to be impacted by construction activities such as blasting, vibration and noise will be prepared and implemented. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Community updates and notifications are provided prior to the commencement of activities including tunnelling and noise- generating activities and where changes to access are required. For this reporting period, a number of notifications have been distributed regarding blasting associated with tunnelling work. A small number of community members have been found to be fearful of blasting and the community team has visited these residnets and provides ongoing contact to provide information and comfort that blasting is not harmful. |
| Noise and vibration | NV31 | The implementation of a left in and right out arrangement for heavy vehicles at the Garema Circuit access point to Kingsgrove North construction compound (C1) would be considered during construction planning to restrict heavy vehicles to using only the eastern side of Garema Circuit. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Heavy Vehicle Movement Report: Use of Wirega Avenue and Garema Circuit at Kingsgrove (M5N-TM-RPT- KGD-0001), approved by DP&E 19/10/16. |
| Noise and vibration | OpNV 01 | At locations where residual impacts remain after all feasible and reasonable approaches have been exhausted, noise mitigation in the form of acoustic treatment of existing individual dwellings will be considered. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Noise mitigation for residual impacts will be considered as described in Section 6.5 of the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (TH014-05 01F01 WCX-NM5 CNVMP). The need for operational acoustic treatments is being identified during detailed design. Where identified, these treatments are being offered as early in the construction program as possible and regular updates are provided to DP&E in regards to status of offers and installation |
| Noise and vibration | OpNV 02 | Operational traffic noise will be monitored at sensitive receivers between six months and one year after opening. If the traffic noise levels are above the predicted levels, consideration of additional feasible and reasonable mitigation measures will be undertaken. | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on in the pre- operation compliance report and subsequent operational compliance reports required under CoA A14. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Noise and vibration | OpNV 03 | Operational fixed facilities will be designed to meet project specific noise criteria derived in accordance with the <i>NSW Industrial Noise Policy</i> . | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | Concept design has been informed by the NSW Industrial Noise Policy. Design of operational fixed facilities is ongoing and will specify the operational plant requirements and attenuation measures. The design and procurement processes will include assessment of the proposed plant options against operational noise requirements in the INP. Evidence of compliance against this requirement will be provided in the relevant design reports and will be confirmed during independent certification. |
| Land use and property | LP01 | The relevant property owners would be consulted in relation to the acquisition of properties required to facilitate construction of the project. Acquisition would be undertaken consistently with the principles in the Land Acquisition Information Guide (Roads and Maritime, 2014b) and the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991</i> (NSW). | Pre-construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Land acquisition for the New M5 project has been undertaken in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Services <i>Land Acquisition</i> <i>Information Guide</i> (Roads and Maritime 2014) and the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms</i> <i>Compensation) Act 1991</i> (NSW). |
| Land use and property | LPO2 | Prior to any impact to access, alternative arrangements would be negotiated with the affected parties in order to enable continued access and to minimise disruption as much as reasonably possible. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Impacts to access will be minimised during establishment and construction of the project. Where alternative access arrangements are required, consultation will be undertaken with affected parties in accordance with Sections 6.17 and 9 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004; approved 27/07/16) and the Community Communication Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008; approved 27/07/16). |
| Land use and property | LP03 | Affected property owners and community facilities would be provided with advanced notification of relevant project schedules, construction works and changes to access arrangements. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Further to comments for previous quarter, a weekly eupdate to the various precincts provides details of construcion work in the particular area for the following week. Street meetings provide an update on work for the quarter. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Land use and property | LP04 | Community updates would be provided on changes to the local road network within the project area during construction. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | As per the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008), a range of communications materials is used to inform stakeholders in a timely manner. Weekly e- updates to segmented areas provide detail of the following week's work and street meetings outline work over the coming quarter. |
| Land use and property | LP05 | Appropriate signage would be provided advising of walking track closures and alternative walking routes. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.10 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004; approved 27/07/16). Signage strategies for any walking track closures are provided as part of the Traffic management plan (TMP) process. All required signage is presented within a traffic control plan within the TMP for approval by RMS. Note: ER confirmed compliance with this requirement during an inspection of Local Roads on 2nd September 2017. |
| Land use and property | LP06 | A Settlement Monitoring Plan would be prepared that would provide details on: Location of monitoring points Duration of monitoring Data collection and review Roles and responsibilities for review of data Triggers and actions for corrective actions. | | Compliant | | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. The related Acceptable Effects Report contains trigger values and management responses for these monitoring programs. This is described in Section 1.3 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Land use and property | LP07 | Building condition surveys would be undertaken on properties and structures within the preferred project corridor (the zone on the surface equal to 50 metres from the outer edge of the tunnels) and within 50 metres of surface. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B58 (Appendix A) |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Land use and property | LP08 | Services in locations where differential / angular settlement is anticipated would be identified. A monitoring plan, triggers and actions would be agreed with the utilities owner prior to potential impacts occurring. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. The related Acceptable Effects Report contains trigger values and management responses for these monitoring programs. Consultation with utility owners is ongoing during the design phase in relation to potential impacts on services. |
| Land use and property | LP09 | A monitoring program, undertaken as part of the Settlement Management Plan, would be undertaken to ensure that settlement has stabilised before monitoring is completed. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. |
| Land use and property | LP10 | Sites directly affected by construction works, including demolition of structures, would consider the potential for, and where necessary treat, termites consistent with AS 4349.3 2010 Inspection of Buildings – Timber Pest Inspections and AS 3660.2 2000 Termite Management In and Around Existing Buildings and Structures. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Where termites are identified through environmental inspections, fauna audits, property surveys, community interaction or other means they will further investigated in accordance with AS 4349.3 2010 Inspection of Buildings – Timber Pest Inspections and be treated in accordance with AS 3660.2 2000 Termite Management In and Around Existing Buildings and Structures. |
| Land use and property | OpLP 01 | Land acquisition for the project would be undertaken consistently with the principles in the Land Acquisition Information Guide (Roads and Maritime, 2014b) and the Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991 (NSW). | Pre-construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Land acquisition for the New M5 project has been undertaken in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Services <i>Land Acquisition</i> <i>Information Guide</i> (Roads and Maritime 2014) and the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms</i> <i>Compensation) Act 1991</i> (NSW). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Land use and property | OpLP 02 | Property accesses that are affected as a result of the project would be reinstated in consultation with the affected landowners including relocation if required. | Pre-construction | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.17 of the Construction Traffic and Access Sub- plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0004; approved 27/07/16). This requirement will be reported on in the quarterly construction compliance reports and the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V01 | Existing vegetation around the perimeter of the construction compounds would be retained where feasible and reasonable, particularly: Vegetation surrounding the Bexley Road East construction compound, particularly along the boundary between residential properties and the compound along the northern and eastern boundaries Mature trees along the north-west (Marsh Street) and south-west boundaries of the Arncliffe construction compound site Mature trees and vegetation along the boundary of Sydney Park along Campbell Road and Barwon Park Road. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Retention of vegetation at construction compounds is being considered through the Tree Reports required under CoA B63. Refer to Appendix A. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V02 | Landscape planting would use fast growing species where reasonable and feasible. This would soften views of construction sites, particularly for compounds located within public recreational spaces. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Appendix B of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026; approved 9/06/16). This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V03 | Revegetation and landscaping would be undertaken progressively. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement will be addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan required by CoA B61 and B62. Work on the project urban design is being prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel. The UDLP was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. Approval of the western work area was given by DPE on 26/10/17. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | V04 | Temporary noise barriers would be erected early within the site establishment phase where required to minimise noise impacts and provide visual screening. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | All temporary noise barriers will be installed in accordance with the Temporary Noise Barrier Strategies required by CoA D20, (refer Appendix A). Temporary noise barriers associated with construction compounds have been installed early during site establishment as described in Section 5.3 and Appendix B of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V05 | Temporary noise barriers would be designed to include painted surface and project information / logo to deter graffiti and reduce the scale of noise barriers, particularly adjacent to residential areas or public open space. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Temporary noise barriers are being designed in consultation with directly affected property owners in accordance with CoA D20. This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V06 | Site hoardings and fencing would be regularly maintained, including the prompt removal of graffiti. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Appendix B of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). This requirement is monitored during daily site inspections undertaken by the Site Supervisors and weekly environmental inspections undertaken by Environmental Coordinators |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V07 | Acoustic sheds would be designed to minimise noise impacts and provide visual screening to be visually recessive, such as the use of mid toned colours and materials to minimise the intrusiveness and potential glare of the sheds. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Acoustic sheds have been designed during detailed construction noise assessment. Specifications for acoustic shed design are provided in the Construction Noise and Vibration Impact Statements. Acoustic sheds use mid-toned colours to minimise impact and glare. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | V08 | During detailed design, the Roads and Maritime would liaise with the Civil Aviation Safety Authority to assess the potential for impacts of night lighting from the construction of the project on Sydney Airport operations. Mitigation measures would then be developed as required. | Design | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Consultation is ongoing with the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and Sydney Airport Corporation to address any impacts of the project on Sydney Airport operations. Consultation will continue during construction to maintain open dialogue. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V09 | Cut-off or and directed lighting would be used within and outside of construction compounds with lighting location and direction considered to ensure glare and light spill are minimised. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). Construction lighting is being installed in accordance with the relevant standards. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V10 | The lighting design for shared paths located within the M5 Linear Park impacted by the project or located adjacent to compounds would be designed to minimise light spill to adjoining residential properties while maintaining a safe night time environment for path users (eg lighting position below the height of the fence line). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). Construction lighting is being installed in accordance with the relevant standards. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V11 | A signage strategy would be developed during detailed design for temporary wayfinding and safety. Potentially affected receivers would be consulted on the final signage in relation to the location and associated impacts. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Signage strategies for any walking track closures are provided as part of the Traffic management plan (TMP) process. All required signage is presented within a traffic control plan within the TMP for approval by RMS. In addition, all traffic closures are annouceed via VMS strategy also approved by RMS through traffic management process. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | V12 | Elements within construction sites would be located to minimise visual impacts as far as feasible and reasonable, for example, locating equipment back from site boundaries. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026). Construction lighting is being installed in accordance with the relevant standards. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V13 | Opportunities would be investigated to maximise the separation distances as far as reasonable and feasible: Between the Kingsgrove North construction compound to the adjoining residential areas to reduce shading and visual impacts Between the Bexley Road North and Bexley Road East construction compounds and adjoining residential areas to reduce sharing and visual impacts. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) and was considered during design of the construction compounds. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | V14 | Opportunities would be investigated to provide an alternative southern cycle route for the length of the existing shared path impacted by the western surface works. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Section 6.12 of the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0026) and was considered during design of the construction compounds. Vegetation has been retained where possible at the Kingsgrove North and Bexley East construction compounds. Additional privacy measures have been implemented at the Bexley North compound where separation is highly constrained. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 1 | Vegetated batters would be limited to 1:3 and 1:4 where possible in order to maximise the impact of vegetation on these batters and minimise maintenance. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel and the community. The UDLP was submitted to DP&E for approval on 10/07/17. Compliance against this REMM will be reported on in the quarterly compliance reports subsequent to finalisation of the UDLP. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----------|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 2 | Chain link fencing for sites would only be used where these would not be viewed by sensitive receivers, such as residents and users of recreational space. At these locations, high quality fencing suitable for parks and public spaces would be considered. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 of the UDLP was submitted to DP&E on 13/04/2017. Package 2 of the UDLP was submitted on 10/07/17. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 3 | Where large areas of hardstand are or structures are designed, such as carparks, consideration of shade trees within these spaces would be considered where reasonable and feasible to soften views and provide shade and visual amenity. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 of the UDLP was submitted to DP&E on 13/04/2017. Package 2 of the UDLP was submitted on 10/07/17. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 4 | Pedestrian and shared paths would be aligned away from residential property boundaries where public open space adjoins residential boundaries. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 of the UDLP was submitted to DP&E on 13/04/2017. Package 2 of the UDLP was submitted on 10/07/17. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 5 | Planting areas would be maximised, where feasible, between public open space and infrastructure, and would include taller screening vegetation (at the Bexley Road South motorway operations complex (MOC2) between the built form and the M5 Linear Park shared path for example). | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP) required by CoA B61 and B62. The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 of the UDLP was submitted to DP&E on 13/04/2017. Package 2 of the UDLP was submitted on 10/07/17. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----------|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 6 | A final <u>urban design and landscape plan</u> would be prepared in consultation with the New M5 Urban Design Review Panel, local councils within the project corridor and the local community. The Plan shall include (but not limited to): The architectural treatment of the ventilation facilities, which would be informed by the functional requirements and the design principles detailed in the New M5 Urban Design Report. Landscape plans and final plant species for the western surface works, Bexley Road motorway operations complex, Arncliffe motorway operations complex, the St Peters interchange and local road upgrades The artwork strategy for the Kindilan underpass Outcomes of consultation with NSW Heritage Office with respect to integration of heritage interpretation into the urban design of Alexandra Canal bridge crossings would also consider the Alexandra Canal Heritage Conservation Plan (NSW Architect's Office, 2004) Consideration of the outcomes of the Safety in Design review of the project. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Hassel has been engaged to develop the Project's Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). In accordance with this condition, the UDLP is being submitted for the approval of the Secretary in two packages prior to the commencement of the relevant permanent built works and/or landscaping. No permanent surface works have been undertaken for the project during the reporting period. Both packages of the UDLP have been reviewed by the UDRP in accordance with B60. Package 1 (Western Surface Works - Retaining Walls) was approved by DPE on 18/7/17. Comments from DPE were received on 7 August 2017 for Package 2. Response to DPE's comments were submitted on 17 October 2017. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV0 7 | The design of the noise attenuation at the western surface works would be confirmed during detailed design and in consultation with the local community. This may consist of noise mounds and barriers (or a combination of both) and with consideration to the provision of accessible open space at Beverly Grove Park and a landscaped outlook. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Noise Barrier Location and Design Sub-plan, which forms part of Package 2 of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). The Noise Barrier Location and Design Sub- plan has been developed in consultation with the UDRP, councils and the community as part of Package 2 of the UDLP. The Noise Barrier Location and Design Sub-plan was submitted to DPE on 9/10/17 with a response to DPE's comments submitted on 7/12/17. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----------|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | ОрV0 8 | Landscaping at the Bexley Road motorway operations complex would consider opportunities to complement the existing topography of the built site as well as the adjacent Wolli Creek bushland. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Package 2 of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 (Western Surface Works - Retaining Walls) was approved by DPE on 18/7/17. Comments from DPE were received on 7 August 2017 for Package 2 (main UDLP). Response to DPE's comments were submitted on 17 October 2017. A revised UDLP is expected to be submitted in February 2018 |
| Visual impacts and urban design | ОрV0 9 | Reasonable and feasible measures to use landscaping to screen or filter views of the Arncliffe motorway operations complex from residential areas to the west would be implemented. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Package 2 of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 (Western Surface Works - Retaining Walls) was approved by DPE on 18/7/17. Comments from DPE were received on 7 August 2017 for Package 2 (main UDLP). Response to DPE's comments were submitted on 17 October 2017. A revised UDLP is expected to be submitted in February 2018 |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|-----------|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV1 0 | Opportunities to maximise the green canopy throughout the local road upgrades at Peters with tree planting in medians where safety barriers and / or speed limits permit would be explored during detailed design, and implemented where reasonable and feasible. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Package 2 of the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (UDLP). The UDLP has been prepared in consultation with the Urban Design Review Panel, councils and the community. Package 1 (Western Surface Works - Retaining Walls) was approved by DPE on 18/7/17. Comments from DPE were received on 7 August 2017 for Package 2 (main UDLP). Response to DPE's comments were submitted on 17 October 2017. A revised UDLP is expected to be submitted in February 2018 |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV1 1 | During detailed design, the Roads and Maritime would liaise with the Civil Aviation Safety Authority to assess the potential for impacts of night lighting from the operation of the project on Sydney Airport operations. Mitigation measures would then be developed as required. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Consultation is ongoing with the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and Sydney Airport Corporation to address any impacts of the project on Sydney Airport operations. Consultation will continue during design and construction to address issues as they are identified. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV1 2 | Lighting design within motorway operations complexes, roadways, and on elevated pedestrian bridges would minimise the impacts of lighting to surrounding areas (particularly residential areas), for example through the use of cut-off, directed lighting and landscaping to minimise light spill and glare. This includes consideration of residences along Campbell Road. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement has been addressed in the lighting design packages for the project. |
| Visual impacts and urban design | OpV1 3 | Opportunities to further minimise the overshadowing and visual impacts to the 2-34 Campbell Road terraces by the Campbell Road pedestrian / cycle bridge would be explored during detailed design | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Opportunities to minimise overshadowing have been investigated as part of the development of the concept design for overbridge on Campbell Street, developed as part of the MCoA 62(a) Campbell Road Crossing sub-plan. It is noted that construction of the overbridge will occur in Stage 3 and is no longer part of the New M5 scope of work. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Social and economic | SEO1 | A <u>community involvement plan</u> would be implemented to provide timely, regular and transparent information about changes to access and traffic conditions, details of future work programs and general construction progress throughout the construction phase of the project. Information would be provided in a variety of ways including letter box drops, media releases, internet site, signage and a hotline. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD-0008) has been developed in acccordance with CoA C1 and reviewed 7 with the final draft submitted to DPE September 2017. |
| Social and economic | SEO2 | Acquisition would be undertaken consistently with the principles in the Land Acquisition Information Guide (Roads and Maritime, 2014b) and the Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991 (NSW). | Pre-construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Land acquisition for the New M5 project has been undertaken in accordance with the Roads and Maritime Services <i>Land Acquisition</i> <i>Information Guide</i> (Roads and Maritime 2014) and the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms</i> <i>Compensation) Act 1991</i> (NSW). |
| Social and economic | SEO3 | A toll free number and website would be maintained to enable business owners and/or operators to receive prompt responses to their concerns, access information and view assistance measures in place during construction related work. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 8 of the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008). With a dedicated Complaints and Enquiries Lead, the project provides very timely and personalised response to stakeholder complaints and enquiries. The Lead is able to follow up immediately with the project team on urgent community concerns. |
| Social and economic | SEO4 | A business impact risk register would be maintained to identify and manage the specific impacts associated with construction related works for individual businesses. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A business regiser is maintained via the database Consultation Manager. Businesses are grouped in precincts and communicated with regularly. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Social and economic | SEO5 | The business stakeholder forum would be continued during detailed design and throughout construction to address business concerns. Further information about consultation can be found in Chapter 7 (Consultation) | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Ongoing consultation and engagement with the various businesses on the alignment provide positive relationships and trust in accordance with Section 7 of the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008) |
| Soil and water quality | SW01 | The control and mitigation of potential surface water quality impacts during construction would be defined in a Soil and Water Management Plan prepared as part of the overall CEMP. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer CoA D68(f) (Appendix A) |
| Soil and water quality | SW02 | The Soil and Water Management Plan would be developed to incorporate controls and measures in accordance with The Blue Book. The plan would be continually updated to suit the changing needs as the project works progress. The plan would be developed in consultation with the Environment Protection Authority and DPI - Water and document the types of measures that would be put in place to minimise the risk of soil erosion or polluted discharges reaching the receiving environments. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005) has been developed to incorporate 'best practice' controls and measures in accordance with the Blue Book. |
| Soil and water quality | SW03 | An Erosion and Sedimentation Management Plan would be prepared as outlined in the Erosion and Sedimentation Risk Assessment Procedure (RTA, 2008). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005) incorporates the RMS' Erosion and Sedimentation Risk Assessment Procedure (RTA, 2008) throughout. Erosion and sedimentation control plans are developed for each area of works by the project's soil conservationist and are reviewed and updated regularly. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Soil and water quality | SW04 | The Soil and Water Management Plan would include: · Construction traffic restricted to delineated access tracks, and maintained until construction complete · Appropriate sediment and erosion controls to be implemented prior to soil disturbance · Stormwater management to avoid flow over exposed soils which may result in erosion and impacts to water quality · Stockpiles located outside the 20 year ARI flood extent where feasible. Otherwise, appropriate management control measures such as bunding would be implemented · Staging of surface works to minimise area of exposed surfaces, with re- vegetation and / or stabilisation of disturbed areas to occur as soon as feasible · Site compounds sealed or hard stand to minimise erosion where possible · Wheel wash or rumble grid systems installed at exit points to minimise dirt on roads · A soil conservation specialist would be contracted to supervise construction in high risk areas in accordance with the Erosion and Sedimentation Management Procedure (RTA, 2008c) · All water generated during construction would be captured, tested (and treated if required) prior to reuse or discharge under a site specific arrangement, depending on the quality of water generated. This would target compliance with the Water Quality Reference Criteria. At the St Peters interchange site this would include transfer of some water to the leachate treatment plant. Varying levels of groundwater quality would also require a variation to treatment approaches · All water generated during construction would be captured, tested (and treated if required) prior to reuse or discharge under a site specific arrangement, depending on the quality of water generated. This would target compliance with the Water Quality Reference Criteria. At the St Peters interchange site this would include transfer of some water to the leachate treatment plant. Varying levels of groundwater quality would also require a variation to treatment approaches · Contaminated sediments and potential acid | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 7.1 Table 12, project controls associated with management of soil and water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0005) and Section 5 of the Water Quality Plan & Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0027) – Water Quality Performance Standards. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Soil and water quality | SW05 | The water quality and outflow velocities of the water treatment plants at the following compounds would be in accordance with the project's Water Quality Reference Criteria and the project's Environment Protection Licence: Kingsgrove North construction compound (C1), Commercial Road construction compound (C3), Bexley Road South construction compound (C5), Arncliffe construction compound (C7), Canal Road construction compound (C8). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 2.4 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0005) which addresses the EPL conditions relevant to soil and water management, and Section 5 of the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0027). This requirement is being complied with through the tunnel construction water treatment plant specifications and procedures for discharge |
| Soil and water quality | SW06 | The project specific water quality monitoring program would continue to collect to at least 12 months of data or to the commencement of construction (whichever is sooner) to represent pre-construction conditions for the project. Monitoring would continue during construction of the project as identified in Appendix A of the Technical working paper: Surface water (Appendix N). The details of this monitoring program would be contained in the Soil and Water Management Plan, and would include the following: Sampling locations to include upstream (control) and downstream measurement locations Samples taken twice a month, once in dry conditions and once in wet conditions where possible In-situ monitoring of: pH Reduction Oxidation Potential Dissolved Oxygen Conductivity Colour Analytical sampling of the following potential constituents of concern: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene and Naphthalene Nutrients including: Total Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrogen Oxide, Nitrite, Nitrate, Total Phosphorous and Reactive Phosphorous Heavy metals (Arsenic, Cadmium, Copper, Chromium, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Zinc) Manganese Ferrous Iron and Total Iron. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Section 6 of the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0027; submitted to DPE 3/06/16) addresses testing parameter requirements for water quality monitoring. The Surface Water Baseline Monitoring Report provides 12 months of pre-construction monitoring data. Surface water and groundwater continues to be monitored and reported on throughout construction in accordance with the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Soil and water quality | SW07 | Water quality monitoring of the breeding ponds for Green and Golden Bell Frog near Marsh Street, Arncliffe would occur during construction by a suitably qualified scientist as part of the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management. | Construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | Both the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0027) and the Flora and Fauna Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0007) reference the requirement for monitoring in accordance with the Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management – Arncliffe. |
| Soil and water quality | SW08 | Opportunities for reuse of treated water generated at the Arncliffe motorway operations complex would be considered during detailed design. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020), Sustainability Initiatives Register and appraisal procedure. It is an operational requirement and will therefore be addressed in the operational- phase Water Reuse Strategy for the project. Evidence for compliance against this requirement will be provided in the compliance tables in the Operational-phase Water Reuse Strategy. |
| Soil and water quality | SW09 | An Acid Sulfate Soil Management Plan would be prepared as a sub-plan to the Construction Environment Management Plan to outline the requirements for the management of potential acid sulfate soils. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0031), forms an Appendix to the approved Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005), which forms part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0001) for the project. |
| Soil and water quality | SW10 | Further contamination investigation would be conducted in areas with medium or high acid sulfate soils potential during the detailed design stage as part of early works. Management of acid sulphate soils during the project would be undertaken as per the management measures outlined in Section 17.4 of the EIS. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 5.3 – Acid Sulfate Soils in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005). Acid Sulfate Soils have been identified in the Soil Contamination Reports required by CoA B31, and are managed through management measures provided in the relevant report. Unexpected finds are managed through the Manage Acid Sulfate Soils Procedure (M5N-ES- PRC-PWD-0038) and the Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC- PWD-0036). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Soil and water quality | SW11 | During landfill closure activities, surface water management measures would be implemented in accordance with The Blue Book to isolate and capture potentially contaminated water. Any such water would be transferred to the leachate treatment plant for treatment prior to discharge to sewer under a trade waste agreement with Sydney Water. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | In accordance with CoA B32, a Landfill Closure Management Plan has been prepared by Golder Associates Pty Ltd. The plan was reviewed and endorsed by the EPA on 27 May 2016. The Plan and required statement was submitted to DPE on 31 May 2016. Surface water management measures are identified in the LCMP. Discharges from the leachate treatment plant are in accordance with Sydney Water trade waste agreement No. 32539. |
| Soil and water quality | SW12 | The following measures would be in place to manage spills of contaminated fluids: Areas would be allocated for the storage of fuels, chemicals and other hazardous materials Facilities would be secured and bunded to levels dictated by Environment Protection Authority guidelines Spills or contaminated runoff would be captured and treated and / or disposed of at a licensed facility With the exception of Arncliffe construction compound, Re-fuelling would occur in bunded areas or in areas beyond 40 metres from waterways. Where refuelling occurs outside bunded areas, specific refuelling procedures would be in place and operators would be trained in these procedures. Spill kits would be readily available to manage re-fuelling outside bunded areas to mitigate risks in relation to spills or leaks of fuels / oils or other hazardous onsite construction material The application of good practice in the storage and handling of dangerous and hazardous goods would provide appropriate practical responses to manage impacts on occupational health and safety and minimise the risk of a spill occurring Potential discharges from construction sites would be managed through the installation of basins (primarily designed for sediment capture but with capacity to contain the nominated spill volume) constructed in accordance with The Blue Book Captured contaminants resulting from spills or leaks would be treated and disposed of at a licensed facility Any soil which has been contaminated with fuel, oils or other chemicals would be readed and disposed as contaminated spill volume) constructor. | Construction | Non Compliant | CDS-JV | These measures are incorporated into the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005), Section 7.1, Table 12 and the Appendix B (A171-A179) Ancillary Facilities Management Sub Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0026). Measures are inspected by the Environmental Coordinators during the weekly environmental inspections. ER: Inspections of bunding at the SPI Tunnel Water Treatment Plant over the course of the reporting period indicate deficiencies including the lack of a splash shield; the storage of 25L drums of liquids including oils, 200L drum of unknown liquid and a hazardous liquid IBC stored outside the bunded area in breach of EPA bunding guidelines required under D55 (b) & (c) |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Soil and water quality | SW13 | Construction work activities within and / or adjacent to waterways would be minimised as much as feasibly possible to minimise disturbance to those waterways and waterfront land. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0005), Section 7.1 Table 12, project controls associated with management of soil and water quality. Construction compounds/sites have been established/designed to minimise disturbance to waterways and waterfront land where possible. |
| Soil and water quality | SW14 | Alignment of drainage and discharge outlet infrastructure would direct flows downstream to minimise alterations and erosion of the channel beds and banks. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. All Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | SW15 | Drainage and discharge outlet infrastructure would include energy dissipation and erosion scour protection as appropriate. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0005; approved 15/07/16) and is considered where relevant in the relevant Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. This requirement is being addressed for permanent drainage works in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. All Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | SW16 | Disturbed floodplain environments adjacent to watercourses (including waterfront land) and / or along overland drainage lines would be stabilised and vegetation managed in accordance with the <i>Guidelines for Controlled Activities on Waterfront Land</i> (DPI, 2012a). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0005), Section 7.1, Table 12, project controls associated with management of soil and water quality. Exposed areas are temporarily stabilised / vegetated until final rehabilitation works are undertaken in accodance with the Urban Design and Landscape Plan (M5N-HSL- MNP-100-110-TR-1970), to be finalised prior to the commencement of permanent landscaping/rehabilitation works. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 01 | Suitable stormwater treatment devices would be identified during detailed design, including an operational water treatment plant, with the aim of meeting the targets of the Botany Bay and Catchment Water Quality Improvement Plan (SMCMA, 2011). Where space is available, water quality basins would be installed. In the case where space is unavailable, treatment would include the use of proprietary stormwater treatment devices. The design of treatment trains would be informed by an assessment of the sensitivity of the receiving environments and supported by MUSIC modelling. | Design Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. All Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 02 | The treatment capacity lost in decommissioning pond WQP – 2 would be provided by new or upgraded stormwater treatment devices. Repl acement water quality devices would be installed and operational prior to d ecommissioning of the existing water quality pond (WQP- 2) in Wolli Creek catchment. | Design Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV | A replacement operational water quality device has been installed to treat existing stormwater discharges and WQP-2 was subsequently decommissioned in the Q4 reporting period. Prior to receiving operational stormwater discharge, an additional water treatment device will be installed to offset the additional storwater discharge associated with operation of the project. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 03 | Operational water quality monitoring would be conducted for 12 months post-construction or as otherwise required by the conditions of approval. This would include upstream (control) and downstream monitoring locations. The details of this monitoring program would be contained in the Soil and Water Management Plan, and would include the following: • Sampling locations to include upstream (control) and downstream measurement locations • Samples taken twice a month, once in dry conditions and once in wet conditions where possible • In-situ monitoring of: • pH • Reduction Oxidation Potential • Dissolved Oxygen • Temperature • Conductivity • Turbidity • Colour • Odour • Analytical sampling of the following potential constituents of concern: • Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons • Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylene and Naphthalene • Nutrients including: Total Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrogen Oxide, Nitrite, Nitrate, Total Phosphorous and Reactive Phosphorous | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | Operational water quality monitoring must be conducted for a minimum 3 year period or until the affected waterways and/or groundwater resources are certified by a suitably qualified and experienced independent expert as being rehabilitated post-construction in accordance with CoA B28. This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------------|---|--------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | | Heavy metals (Arsenic, Cadmium, Copper, Chromium, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Zinc) Manganese Ferrous Iron and Total Iron. | | | | |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 04 | New discharge outlets into Alexandra Canal would be designed with sufficient energy dissipation or scour protection to limit the impact on contaminated sediments and reduce the possibilities of contaminated sediments being subject to scour or resuspension. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the relevant Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The Drainage design reports relating to this requirement are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 05 | Where existing drainage lines are to be subject to increased inflow, an assessment of their discharge characteristics would be made. If necessary, energy dissipation or scour protection would be added to prevent contaminated sediments from being subject to scour or resuspended. This would be undertaken during detailed design. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The relevant Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 06 | The assessment of risk of spills on the motorway would be undertaken during detailed design. If warranted, spill containment would be provided. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The relevant Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------------------|------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 07 | The operational water treatment plant would be designed to meet the Water Quality Reference Criteria outlined in Appendix A of the Technical working paper: Surface water (Appendix N). Monitoring of the Cooks River would be undertaken during initial operation of the project to ensure discharge meets these criteria. | Design Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | The operational water treatment plant is being designed as part of the Arncliffe Motorway Operations Complex Wetland and Water Treatment Plant Design Package and references the technical working paper in determining the plant specifications. Monitoring of operational discharge will be detailed in the Project Operational Environmental Management Plan. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 08 | Suitably designed scour and erosion control measures would be included in the detailed design. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Soil and water quality | OpSW 09 | Drainage and discharge infrastructure where space is available would incorporate measures, as appropriate, to trap and remove sediments in line with the outcomes of the stormwater pollution reduction targets from the Botany Bay and Catchment Water Quality Improvement Plan (SMCMA, 2011). This would reduce the risk of any impacts to the geomorphic condition of receiving waters. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The Drainage design reports are expected to be completed by December 2017. |
| Contamin ation | CM01 | The closure and ongoing management of the Alexandria Landfill would be undertaken in line with the LCMP (see Section 17.3.4 and Section 5.9.1 of the EIS) and remedial action plan. This includes a landfill closure, environmental management and monitoring framework. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A St Peters Interchange - Landfill Closure Management Plan prepared by Golder Associates Pty Ltd (M5N-GOL-TER-900-116- 0012) has been developed in accordance with CoA B32 and was submitted to DP&E on 30/05/2016. Activities associated with landfill closure are ongoing at the site. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-------------------|------|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Contamin ation | CM02 | A site specific management plan would be prepared for the Alexandria Landfill to manage the excavation of parts of the landfill during construction. The management plans, amongst other requirements would detail mitigation measures to: • Contain and treat landfill gas emissions from excavations • Treat offensive odours produced by leachate and landfill gas • Contain, extract and treat leachate within excavations • Protect workers and off-site receptors from exposure to potential biological, chemical and physical hazards encountered during the exhumation of landfill waste. • Manage asbestos contaminated wastes | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the St Peters Interchange - Landfill Closure Management Plan prepared by Golder Associates Pty Ltd (M5N-GOL-TER-900- 116-0012). |
| Contamin ation | СМ03 | Potentially contaminated areas directly affected by the project would be investigated and managed in accordance with the requirements of guidance endorsed under section 105 of the CLM Act. This includes further investigations in areas of potential contamination identified in the construction footprint. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 5.4 and Section 7, Table 12 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved on 15/07/16) and the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0033). Soil contamination investigations and reports, and Remediation Action Plans, are being undertaken/prepared in accordance with CoA B31 and the CLM Act. Remediation strategies are being reviewed by a site auditor accredited under the CLM Act. |
| Contamin ation | CM04 | An unexpected finds and hazardous materials procedure would be implemented to manage any potentially contaminated materials that may be encountered during site preparation and / or construction works. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0036) has been developed for the project and includes a procedure for the management of unexpected finds of contamination/hazardous materials. |
| Contamin ation | CM05 | <u>Waste management plans</u> , as part of the CEMP, would include procedures for handling and storing potentially contaminated spoil and, should off-site disposal be required, undertaking waste assessment and classification for off-site disposal to appropriately licenced waste facilities. See Chapter 24 (Resource use and waste minimisation) of the EIS for more information. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A Construction Waste and Resource Sub-plan (CWRSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008), approved 15/07/16, has been developed for the project and incorporates this requirement. Section 4 of the Construction Environment Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0001) and the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0033), submitted 2/06/2016, also address this requirement. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Contamin ation | CM06 | <u>Site specific asbestos management plans</u> would be developed where relevant. Refer to Chapter 24 (Resource use and waste minimisation) of the EIS for further information on asbestos management. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the project's Manage Work with Asbestos Procedure (M5N- ES-PRC-PWD-0037) and Construction Area Plans. Site specific asbestos management plans have been developed where required by the Contamination Assessment Report for the relevant site. |
| Contamin ation | CM07 | A hazardous materials assessment would be carried out prior to and during the demolition of buildings. Demolition works would be undertaken in accordance with the relevant Australian Standards and relevant NSW WorkCover Codes of Practice, including the <i>Work Health and Safety</i> <i>Regulation 2011</i> . | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | HAZMAT Inspection Reports are completed for each property prior to demolition in accordance with the Demolition Plan and Asbestos Management Plan. An independent Occupational Hygienist completes a final inspection to confirm all items listed on the report have been removed. A review of the implementation of this requirement by the ER in Feb 2017 found shortcomings in the documentation of the process which have subsequently been addressed by the creation of an ITP for the process. ER: A review of the implementation of this requirement in Feb found shortcomings in the documentation of the process which have subsequently been addressed by the creation of an ITP for the process |
| Contamin ation | CM08 | A dangerous goods search of the WorkCover NSW records for licenced dangerous good would be undertaken prior to construction. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment reports prepared in accordance with the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0033), submitted 2 June 2016. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Contamin ation | CM09 | An explosive ordnance due diligence assessment would be completed at the identified former ammunition site (Project area 3), located between Flatrock Road, Bexley Road and Wolli Creek. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment reports prepared in accordance with the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0033), submitted 2 June 2016. |
| Contamin ation | CM10 | In the event of encountering unexpected finds of contamination (i.e. the observation of offensive odours, soil discoloration, buried waste or potential asbestos containing materials) during construction, work in the area would cease until an appropriately qualified environmental consultant can advise on the need for further assessment, remediation or other action, as deemed appropriate. Further assessment and management of contamination, if required, would be undertaken in accordance with section 105 of the CLM Act. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0036) and the Manage Work with Asbestos Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0037). |
| Contamin ation | CM11 | Appropriate mitigation measures to minimise sediment mobilisation as a result of construction activities at the location of the new stormwater infrastructure at Alexandra Canal would be detailed in the CEMP in accordance with the requirements of the Remediation Order in consultation with NSW EPA and Sydney Water. Measures would be detailed in a Alexandra Canal Contamination Management Plan. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer Section 3.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD- 0005) and the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0033). These measures will be incorporated into an update to the Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0033) prior to commencement of drainage works in Alexandra Canal. |
| Contamin ation | CM12 | Appropriate mitigation measures including stockpiling and management of potentially contaminated material would be undertaken at construction compounds to prevent movement of material into receiving waters. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed through the Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0033), the Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC- PWD-0036), and any relevant Remediation Action Plan for the site. Management of stockpiles is also addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0005). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-------------------|-----------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Contamin ation | CM13 | Plant, equipment and supplies would be managed to prevent spills and leaks. See Chapter 26 (Hazard and risk) of the EIS for more information. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7 of the Construction Soil & Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD- 0005), approved 15/07/16. The Manage Hazardous Substances Procedure (M5N-ES- PRC-PWD-0041) also addresses this REMM. |
| Contamin ation | CM14 | Tunnel washing water and waste would be appropriately contained, treated and disposed of. Refer to Chapter 24 (Resource use and waste minimisation) of the EIS for more information. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7, Table 12 of the Construction Soil & Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0005), approved on 15/07/16). All tunnel waste water is directed to the construction water treatment plants prior to discharge in accordance with the requirements of the project EPLs. All tunnel wastes are dealt with in accordance with the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008). |
| Contamin ation | CM15 | Further <i>in situ</i> testing of soils in areas of known potential contamination to determine waste classification. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to the Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0033) and the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008). Soil contamination investigations are being undertaken/prepared in accordance with CoA B31 and the CLM Act. Waste classification is being undertaken in accordance with the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-plan. |
| Contamin ation | OpCM 1 | The ongoing management of the Alexandria Landfill will be undertaken in line with the <u>LCMP</u> (see Section 17.3.4 and Section 5.9.1 of the EIS) | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Contamin ation | OpCM 2 | Procedures to address spills, leaks and tunnel washing would be developed and implemented during operation of the project | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | This requirement will be reported on during the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Contamin ation | OpCM 3 | Measures to minimise sediment mobilisation during operation would be incorporated into the design of stormwater outlets at the location of the new stormwater infrastructure at Alexandra Canal. The design of the outlets, including discharge velocities and scour protection measures, would be confirmed during detailed design and supported by appropriate drainage modelling. The detailed design of the outlets would be finalised in consultation with the NSW EPA with consideration of the requirements of the Remediation Order and would be provided to Sydney Water for approval (as the asset owner). | Design Operation | Compliant | WCX M5 AT | This is being addressed in the Drainage Design Reports, which are being progressively prepared, prior to commencement of the relevant works. The Drainage Design Reports are being prepared in consultation with Sydney Water and the relevant councils and are expected to be completed by December 2017. The Drainage Design Reports for Alexandra Canal will also be provided to EPA for consultation. |
| Contamin ation | OpCM 4 | Ongoing management of sites with contamination managed or emplaced in- situ would be managed in accordance with site specific Site Management Plans. Where required, a Site Management Plan (SMP) would be developed and implemented to manage risks associated with the presence of residual contamination that in situ. The requirement for an SMP would be evaluated based on the nature, concentration and extent of contamination as well as the current and proposed land use. | Operation | Not yet triggered | WCX M5 AT | This requirement will be reported on during the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD01 | A Flood Management Strategy would be prepared by a suitable qualified and experienced person in consultation with directly affected landowners, DPI-Water, OEH, Sydney Water and the relevant local councils. It would include but not be limited to: Identification of flood risks to the project and adjoining areas, including consideration of local drainage catchment assessments and climate change implications on rainfall, drainage and tidal characteristics Design and mitigation measures to protect proposed operations and not worsen existing flooding characteristics during construction and operation, including soil erosion and scouring Drainage system upgrades Preparation of a flood / emergency management plan. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to CoA B23 (Appendix A) |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Flooding and drainage | FD02 | The Flood Management Strategy would be peer reviewed and confirmed as meeting the requirements of this management measure by a suitably qualified and experienced independent hydrological engineer. It would be submitted to the Secretary of DP&E and the relevant local councils prior to construction works commencing in the vicinity of flood prone land and overland flow paths for the waterways and catchments in the vicinity of the project area, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary of DP&E. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | CoA B23 requires peer review of the Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS-PLN-PWD- 0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). The peer review was undertaken by an independent verifier, Darren Bell, on 29/4/2016 and was submitted to the Secretary and the relevant council(s) on 25/05/2016. The FMS is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and the revised Stage 1 FMS will be resubmitted to DP&E for approval in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD03 | The 100 year ARI flood level is to be adopted in the assessment of measures which are required to mitigate any adverse impacts attributable to the project. Changes in flood behaviour under PMF conditions would also be assessed in order to identify impacts on critical infrastructure and significant changes in flood hazards as a result of the project. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 1 (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD04 | A detailed hydraulic assessment into the impacts the project would have on flooding behaviour and relevant mitigation measures would be undertaken. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016) sets the approach to design and assessment for flood mitigation and details the relevant mitigation measures that would be undertaken at construction sites. The FMS is informed by Flood Design Reports that detail the design outcomes after the application of the mitigation measures. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD05 | Works within the floodplain would be designed to minimise adverse impacts on surrounding developments for flooding up to the 100 year ARI flood. Assessment would also be undertaken of the impacts during flooding in excess of the 100 year ARI flood up to the PMF in the context of impacts to critical infrastructure and flood hazards. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 1 (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Flooding and drainage | FD06 | A floor level survey would be undertaken in affected areas to determine whether the project would increase floor damages in adjacent developments (ie in properties where there is a potential for increases in peak flood levels for events up to the 100 year ARI flood). | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A floor level survey has been undertaken to enable flood modelling to be undertaken, refer to section 3 of the Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS-PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD07 | <u>Flood management plans</u> would be developed as part of the CEMP prior to construction to guide the detailed design of temporary ancillary facilities, including construction compounds, to minimise the potential impacts of flooding on the project. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 1 (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Drainage design measures have been incorporated into the site layout plans provided in the Ancillary Facilities Management Plan (Appendix F & G). |
| Flooding and drainage | FD08 | Around 8,000 cubic metres of the projected 12,000 cubic metres of lost floodplain storage due to the operation of the Arncliffe motorway operations complex (MOC3) would be required to be recaptured. Floor level surveys would be undertaken to determine whether the project would result in the above- floor inundation of the following potentially affected properties. Further design development would be undertaken during detailed design to confirm the extent of works required to mitigate the impact of the project on flooding conditions at these existing residential developments. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Flood modelling was completed for the Arncliffe/Cooks River area in October 2016 as part of the Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS-PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016). This modelling has determined that no indundation would occur as a result of the project at the site and therefore no flood mitigation/additional flood storage is required. The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Flooding and drainage | FD09 | Detailed design would aim to reduce the impact of the project on flooding conditions for the following two potentially affected properties. This would include consideration of whether the project would result in above-floor inundation of these properties. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS). The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD10 | The potential to reduce impacts of the project on flooding for the properties located on the western overbank of the Alexandra Canal would be considered during detailed design. This would also include consideration of whether the project would result in above-floor inundation of these properties. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016) identifies the measures required for properties near the Alexandra Canal to prevent inundation during construction. The FMS Stage 1 (revised) will be resubmitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. The Flood Design Report for SPI/Local Roads identifies the properties that may be affected by flooding as a result of the project and is expected to be finalised in April 2017. The FMS Stage 2 will identify measures required to prevent inundation of properties during the operational phase and is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD11 | Further design development would be undertaken to mitigate the impact of the project on flooding conditions in the TransGrid site. This would include further refinement of design of the relief drain, as well as the western approach to the Campbell Road bridge. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This has been considered during detailed design and the Flood Design Report for SPI/Local Roads will identify the expected inundation at this property. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD12 | Existing transverse drainage structures would be left in place during construction where transverse drainage structures are to be upgraded or replaced. If this is not feasible, temporary drainage would be adopted. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Site plans and permanent design have been prepared to maintain or improve drainage. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Flooding and drainage | FD13 | Detailed flood modelling to understand the effects of likely rainfall events would be undertaken. Construction layouts would be finalised accordingly. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Flood Mitigation Strategy (FMS; M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016) draws on detailed flood modelling undertaken during detailed design and takes into consideration rainfall events. Construction layouts have been designed with consideration of rainfall events. Detailed modelling has been completed for all project areas. The FMS Stage 1 is being revised to address additional consultation feedback and is expected to be resubmitted in Feb 2018. Operational aspects of the project will be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in Feb 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD14 | Tunnel dive shafts would be protected against flooding either through locating openings outside of flood prone areas or constructing temporary bunding and / or appropriate temporary drainage. Stockpiles would be located outside the 20 year ARI flood extent where possible. Where construction compounds are located in the 20 year ARI flood extent, a contingency plan to manage flooding would be prepared and implemented. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16) and the Design Flood Reports. Flood Reports have been completed for the Wolli Creek and Cooks River catchment areas. The Flood Report for the Alexandra Canal catchment was completed in June 2017. Relevant measures are being incorporated into Erosion and Sediment Control Plans. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD15 | Further detailed assessment of flooding impacts for proposed construction compounds and relevant management measures would be undertaken during detailed design. <u>Contingency plans</u> to manage flooding would be prepared and implemented for high risk temporary facilities proposed including fuel storages, water treatment plants and substations, as well as for the following construction compounds (located either wholly or partially within the 20 year ARI flood extent): • Kingsgrove South construction compound (C2) • Commercial Road construction compound (C3) • Bexley Road North construction compound (C4) • Bexley Road South construction compound (C5) • Arncliffe construction compound (C7) • Alexandra Canal bridge construction compound (C12) • Gardeners Road bridge construction compound (C13). For these sites, suitable procedures for flood warning, emergency management, site evacuation and planning would be developed. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 1 (FMS; M5N-DS-PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 25/5/2016) documents the design measures to minimise flood impacts of the project during construction. Flood mitigation measures are being incorporated into Erosion and Sediment Control Plans and Construction Area Plans. Emergency procedures are included in the Incident Response Plan (M5N-HS-PLN-PWD- 0002). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Flooding and drainage | FD16 | The following measures would be implemented to manage flooding risks on construction sites: • Temporary bunding around parts of the site that would be adversely affected by floodwaters • Temporary drains / detention areas within the site • Use of carparks to provide detention • Elevation of site buildings where necessary to get floor levels above expected flood levels • Use of erosion and sediment fences around noise barriers to provide bunding to some parts of the sites while directing overland flows through less sensitive parts of sites, particularly at Kingsgrove and Arncliffe. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). Measures are identified and implemented through the site Erosion and Sediment Control Plans. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD17 | A <u>drainage strategy</u> would be determined during detailed design to manage the increased runoff within the catchment draining into Camdenville Park. This drainage strategy would be based on not increasing flows into the Eastern Channel for all events up to and including the 100 year ARI flood. The strategy would be prepared in consultation with Marrickville Council. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the relevant Drainage Design Report which has been prepared in consultation with Inner West Council. This will also be addressed in the Stage 2 FMS, which is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | FD18 | A more detailed assessment would be undertaken during detailed design to determine the climate change related flood risks to the project and to scope requirements for any management measures. The assessment would be undertaken in accordance with the <i>Practical Considerations of Climate</i> <i>Change – Floodplain Risk Management Guideline</i> (DECC, 2007) | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Flood Mitigation Strategy for the operational phase (Stage 2 FMS) will detail the design measures to minimise impacts to flooding during operation. The project design includes an assessment of flood impacts for two climate change scenarios: 1 in 20 year ARI event for an increase in rainfall of 10% 1 in 100 year ARI event for an increase in rainfall of 10%. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 01 | Bridge crossings over the Alexandra canal would incorporate a suitable freeboard between the underside of the bridge structure and the peak 100 year ARI flood level. | Detailed design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement as well as the freeboard requirements of Sydney Water have been addressed in the detailed design for these works. |
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 02 | The project and associated arrangements would be designed to limit increases in peak discharges into the downstream drainage systems in accordance with local council requirements. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in detailed drainage design. The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 2 will address the design measures to minimise impacts to flooding for operational requirements. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 03 | The control and mitigation of potential localised flooding and drainage impacts during operation would include: Drainage systems that are of insufficient capacity would be modified or upgraded to cater for increased flows Where new drains connect with existing drainage networks a survey and condition assessment would be undertaken to inform detailed design The efficiency of transverse drainage upgrades would be taken into account during detailed design The effects a partial blockage of major hydraulic structures on flooding behaviour would be considered when determining finished road level and flood wall heights. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in detailed drainage design. The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 2 will address the design measures to minimise impacts to flooding for operational requirements. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 04 | Tunnel entries and associated flood protection barriers would be located above the PMF level or the 100 year ARI flood level plus 0.5 metres (whichever is greater). The same hydrological standard would be applied to tunnel ancillary facilities such as tunnel ventilation and water treatment plants where the ingress of floodwaters would also have the potential to flood the tunnels. | Detailed design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in detailed drainage design. The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 2 will address the design measures to minimise impacts to flooding for operational requirements. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 05 | A suitable freeboard would be incorporated into the design of openings into the new road tunnels (eg at the location of the tunnel portals and ventilation shafts). These designs would take into account the impacts of a partial blockage of major hydraulic structures as well as climate change induced sea level rise on PMF event levels. | Detailed design / pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in detailed drainage design. The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 2 will address the design measures to minimise impacts to flooding for operational requirements, including climate change impacts. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |
| Flooding and drainage | OpFD 06 | Emergency response facilities, including the motorway control centre, tunnel fire water tank and pump buildings and associated electrical substations would be locate above the PMF level or the 100 year ARI flood level plus 0.5 metres (whichever is greater). | Detailed design / pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is being addressed in detailed drainage design. The Flood Mitigation Strategy Stage 2 will address the relevant design measures for operational requirements. The Stage 2 FMS is expected to be submitted to DP&E in February 2018. |
| Groundw ater | GW01 | An <u>Acid Sulfate Soil Management Plan</u> (ASSMP) would be prepared including the measures and monitoring to be undertaken where potential acid sulfate soils are expected. The plan would outline the type of treatment required for acid sulfate soils, bunding and requirement for treatment ponds. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Appendix A of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (CSWQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Groundw ater | GW02 | A groundwater and soil salinity report would be prepared prior to the commencement of earthworks to assess the potential impacts to the local hydrogeological regime. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | A Groundwater and Soil Salinity Report (M5N- GOL-TER-100-200-GT-1520) has been prepared to comply with this requirement. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Groundw ater | GW03 | Contingency measures to address leachate management at the Alexandria Landfill during construction and prior to the commissioning of the new leachate treatment plant would be explored during detailed design. Identified measures would be detailed in the CEMP and implemented during construction. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Landfill Closure Management Plan (LCMP; M5N-GOL-TER-900-116-0012) has been prepared and meets this requirement. The LCMP was submitted to DPE on 2/06/16. Works are being conducted in accordance with the LCMP. |
| Groundw ater | GW04 | The tunnel construction program would be constructed in accordance with an overarching CEMP for the project which would include measures to manage contaminated groundwater issues. This may include removal of the source of the contamination by excavation and remediation of shallow impacted soils or engineering a solution to prevent the migration of contaminated groundwater into the tunnels. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The approved CEMP includes a Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (CSWQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005). In addition, a Construction Contaminated Land Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0033), that addresses contaminated groundwater issues, required under CoA D54, has been prepared in consultation with EPA and the relevant Councils and was submitted to DPE (2/6/16) prior to works impacting on contaminated land or water. Areas of identified contamination are being managed in accordance with Remediation Action Plans, developed in accordance with CoA B31 and the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997. Groundwater contamination will be monitored throughout the construction phase in accordance with the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0027). |
| Groundw ater | GW05 | Intersected shallow contaminated groundwater would be directed to the construction water treatment plant prior to discharge. Elsewhere, collection and treatment options would be considered and releases made under relevant discharge criteria. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (CSWQSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0005) for the location of construction water treatment plants. All captured groundwater is sent to the relevant water treatment plant prior to discharge. Discharges from water treatment plants are in accordance with the water quality requirements of the Environment Protection Licence (EPL No. 20772 or No. 4627). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Groundw ater | GW06 | The intersection of shallow groundwater at the Arncliffe construction compound (C7) would be managed under CEMP(s) for the project. In the event that contaminated groundwater is intersected the approach would be to either remove the source of the contamination by excavation and remediation of shallow impacted soils or engineering a solution to prevent the migration of contaminated groundwater into the project tunnels. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (CSWQSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0005). All captured groundwater at the Arncliffe construction compound is sent to the construction water treatment plant at this compound for treatment prior to discharge. Any unexpected finds of contamination would be managed in accordance with the Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0036). Appropriate measures to manage the source of the contamination would be developed in accordance with the requirements of CoA B31. |
| Groundw ater | GW07 | Treated waste water would be stored and re-used for project purposes wherever possible. Groundwater reuse would be in accordance with the policies of sustainable water use of the NSW Office of Water, such as dust suppression and earthworks | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-plan (CSWQSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0005) and the Manage Soil and Water Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0035). The approved Water Reuse Strategy identifies options for the reuse of treated water on construction sites. |
| Groundw ater | GW08 | Where saturated faults and fractures are intersected additional rock support would be installed in order to ensure tunnel stability. Appropriate waterproofing measure to reduce the inflow to an acceptable quantity will be applied as required. Measures can range from a spray-on membrane to grouting or installation of a sheet membrane | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Design Plan (M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001) and the Construction Management Plan (M5N-CN-PLN-PWD-0001). |
| Groundw ater | GW09 | Where higher than expected inflows are experienced as beneath the Cooks River and under other major surface water features, appropriate waterproofing measure to permanently reduce the inflow to an acceptable quantity will be applied as required. Measures can range from a spray- on membrane to grouting or installation of a sheet membrane depending on the inflow volume | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Design Plan (M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001) and the Construction Management Plan (M5N-CN-PLN-PWD-0001). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Groundw ater | GW10 | Building materials that are resistant to aggressive groundwater conditions would be selected. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Design Plan (M5N-DS- PLN-PWD-0001) and design reports. |
| Groundw ater | GW11 | The project works would be undertaken in accordance with a CEMP(s) for the project which would include the following management measures: Stockpiles of fuels, hazardous liquids and chemicals would be stored in an impervious bunded area in accordance with Australian Standards and EPA guidelines The storage of fuels and chemicals would be limited to locations more than 40 metres from any water course With the exception of Arncliffe construction compound, re-fuelling would occur in bunded areas or in areas beyond 40 metres from waterways. Where refuelling occurs outside bunded areas, specific refuelling procedures would be in place and operators would be trained in these procedures. Spill kits would be readily available to manage re-fuelling outside bunded areas. At Arncliffe construction compound, a bunded area would be provided where all refuelling would occur. Vehicles would be properly maintained to minimise the risk of fuel/oil leaks and routine inspections of construction equipment would be undertaken to identify any fuel/oil leaks Emergency spill kits would be kept on-site and project personnel would be aware of the location of spill kits and trained in their use Hazardous materials handling procedures would be documented and implemented In the event of an incident resulting in impacts to human health or the environment, works would cease immediately and the EPA would be notified (if required) Erosion and sediment control measures would be regularly inspected, and particularly following rainfall events. The controls would remain in place until construction works are completed and areas are stabilised. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | These measures are identifed in Section 7.1 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- plan (CSWQSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005).Implementation of these measures are reviewed/inspected by the Environmental Representative during weekly inspections and the Site Environmental Coordinators during weekly environmental inspections. Erosion and sediment controls are also inspected regularly by the Environmental Coordinators, including after rainfall events, and fortnightly by the project Soil Conservationist.Compliance with this requirement is monitored through the weekly ER inspections. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Groundw ater | GW12 | A tunnelling procedure that details a methodology to determine when and what type of waterproofing is required to be installed during construction would be implemented during construction. Pre- excavation pressure grouting may also be used in locations identified that could produce substantial inflows to reduce groundwater inflows to an acceptable level. Post grouting (ie grouting undertaken post excavation) may also be required to further reduce groundwater inflows. Post grouting would occur within one month post excavation. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Detailed design for the tunnel waterproofing is addressed in the following design reports which are now finalised: - Tunnel Water Resisting Lining Systems - Tunnel Groundwater Control Systems - Tunnel Ground Improvement Grouting. Waterproofing design requirements from the above reports are being incorporated into tunnelling work packs and procedures. |
| Groundw ater | GW13 | A groundwater monitoring program would be prepared and implemented to monitor groundwater impacts during construction. This would include the monitoring of groundwater inflow into the tunnels. The program would be developed in consultation with the EPA, DPI (Fisheries), NSW DPI Water and relevant councils. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed by the approved Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (WQP∓ M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0025). The WQP&MP was provided to Councils, DPI (Water) and EPA for consultation on 3/05/16, and to Sydney Water on 14/06/16. |
| Groundw ater | GW14 | Where the project alignment passes close to watercourses and inflows are elevated, appropriate waterproofing measures to permanently reduce the inflow to an acceptable quantity would be applied as required | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Grouting works continue to be undertaken adjacent to the Cooks River where geotechnical investigations have confirmed elevated groundwater inflows to the tunnel during excvation. |
| Groundw ater | GW15 | In the event that the drawdown in a licensed water supply bore or irrigation bore exceeds two metres (in accordance with the Aquifer Interference Policy) or that impacts to groundwater quality alter the beneficial use of the water, measures would be taken to 'make good' the impact by restoring the water supply to pre-development levels. The measures taken would be dependent upon the location of the impacted bore and would be determined in consultation with the affected licence holder but could include, deepening the bore, providing a new bore or providing an alternative water supply. | Construction Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Water Quality Plan and Monitoring Program (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0025). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Groundw ater | GW16 | A Settlement Monitoring Plan would be prepared that would provide details on: Location of monitoring points Duration of monitoring Data collection and review Roles and responsibilities for review of data Triggers and actions for corrective actions. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. The related Acceptable Effects Report contains trigger values and management responses for these monitoring programs. This is described in Section 1.3 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Groundw ater | GW17 | Building conditions surveys would be undertaken in the zone of influence of the tunnel settlement where the settlement is expected to have a potential impact. In the unlikely event that any damage occurs to a property, appropriate rectifications would be carried out. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Letters of offer for property condition surveys and the property condition surveys themselves are underway, and are being executed progressively in line with the RMS substratum acquisition process. Refer to CoA B58 (Appendix A). |
| Groundw ater | GW18 | Services in locations where differential/ angular settlement is anticipated would be identified. A monitoring plan, triggers and actions would be agreed with the relevant utility owner prior to potential impacts occurring. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. The related Acceptable Effects Report contains trigger values and management responses for these monitoring programs. Consultation with utility owners is ongoing during the design phase in relation to potential impacts on services. |
| Groundw ater | GW19 | A monitoring program, undertaken as part of the Settlement Management Plan, would be carried out prior to excavation until all relevant settlement has stabilised. Monitoring would be for a period of not less than six months after settlement has stabilised. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT-1530) has been developed and details the locations, durations and frequency for monitoring programs for settlement. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-----------------|------------|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Groundw ater | OpG W01 | An OEMP would be prepared and implemented to outline management measures for groundwater inflows, treatment and discharge and protocols for spillages or incidents. Monitoring parameters may include groundwater levels, groundwater quality including field parameters, laboratory analytes and sample frequency. | Pre-operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | The OEMP will be prepared in accordance with this requirement and will be submitted to DPE for approval prior to operation. |
| Groundw ater | OpG W02 | The drainage system would be regularly maintained in accordance with the Operational Environmental Management Plan. | Pre-operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | The OEMP will be prepared in accordance with this requirement and will be submitted to DPE for approval prior to operation. |
| Groundw ater | OpG W03 | A groundwater monitoring program would be prepared and implemented to monitor groundwater impacts during tunnel operations. This would include the monitoring of groundwater inflow into the tunnels. The program would be developed in consultation with the EPA, DPI (Fisheries), NSW DPI Water and relevant councils. The groundwater monitoring program would continue (where appropriate) the construction groundwater monitoring program (GW13) and would continue for three years, after which, the requirement for further monitoring would be assessed. The following analytes would be added to the groundwater baseline monitoring program for the project in order to inform the discharge water quality criteria • Ammonium • Phenols • Organophosphorus pesticides • Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Discharge water quality criteria would be developed in consultation with the EPA. | Pre-operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | The operational phase groundwater monitoring program will be prepared prior to operation in accordance with this requirement. |
| Groundw ater | OpG W04 | Contingency measures to address leachate management in the event of pump failure would be explored during detailed design and implemented in the Landfill Closure Plan. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Landfill Closure Management Plan (LCMP; M5N-GOL-TER-900-116-0012) has been prepared and includes contingency measures for leachate management. The LCMP was submitted to DPE on 2/06/16. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Groundw ater | OpG W05 | The final design capacity of the new leachate treatment plant would have a maximum 200 kilolitres per day and would be confirmed in consultation with Environment Protection Authority and Department of Primary Industries (Water) during detailed design. | Detailed design | Compliant | CDS-JV | Design of a new leachate treatment plant will be undertaken in consultation with EPA and DPI Water during detailed design. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 1 | Protocols would be developed for anticipated categories of unexpected non-Aboriginal heritage finds, such as tram infrastructure, late 19th to early 20th refuse, and brick works. In the event of an unexpected cultural heritage find outside of these specific protocols, the <i>Standard Management</i> <i>Procedure – Unexpected Archaeological Finds</i> (Roads and Maritime, 2015a) would be followed. This would include notification to the NSW Heritage Division of OEH (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006) includes a Historical Archaeological Research Design (Appendix D), which describes the anticipated categories of non-Aboriginal heritage finds for the project, and incorporates the Roads and Maritime unexpected finds procedure (Appendix A). |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 2 | Construction personnel would be made aware of non-Aboriginal heritage sites as part of the site induction. These sites would be identified on sensitive area plans and in the CEMP (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006) addresses this requirement. Heritage sites are identified on heritage maps contained in Appendix B to the CHSP and are also identified on Site Environment Plans. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 3 | As part of the construction heritage management plan, an <u>overarching</u> <u>historical archaeological research design</u> would be prepared prior to commencement of construction in consultation with the NSW Heritage Division of OEH. It would describe clear significance thresholds to possible archaeological items that may be uncovered during works and designate when monitoring, testing and / or salvage and excavation should occur in relation to the project works and timing. Post-excavation reporting, including artefact analysis and additional historical research (where necessary), would be required for any historical archaeological investigations undertaken (moderately effective). | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Historical Archaeological Research Design is provided in Appendix D to the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The CHSP was provided to the NSW Heritage Division of OEH for consultation on 3/5/16. All potential archaeological finds will be managed and reported in accordance with the CHSP. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 4 | A construction heritage management plan would be prepared prior to construction in consultation with the NSW Heritage Division of OEH, local councils and Sydney Water. The plan would detail how construction impacts on heritage would be minimised and managed including training and induction processes for construction personnel. Inductions are to cover built heritage, landscape and historical archaeological sites and their management, and provide heritage guidance on how to avoid / manage impacts. The induction would be prepared in consultation with a suitably qualified heritage specialist and historical archaeologist. As a minimum, the plan would include the following: Induction protocols for staff and project personnel to undertake a cultural heritage induction, to assist them in understanding and complying with their legal obligations under the Heritage Act 1977 A list, plan and GIS layer showing the location of identified heritage items A significance assessment and statement of significance for each item Detail the mitigation measures identified and when the measures are to be implemented Provide protocols and procedures to be enacted during construction to ensure the protection of items of heritage significance, or elements that contribute to the values of the heritage conservation area An unexpected finds procedure in the event that further sites are identified during works. The separate procedure for the discovery of skeletal remains (highly effective). | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006) was provided to the NSW Heritage Division of OEH, local councils and Sydney Water for consultation on 03/05/2016. An induction has been prepared in consultation with the project heritage specialists, Extent Heritage. The CHSP includes lists and plans to identify all known heritage items within and near the project footprint (Appendix B). A statement of significance for each item is contained in Appendix C. Mitigation measures are identified in Section 6 of the CHSP, which link to the protocols and procedures to be implemented to protect heritage during construction. The RMS unexpected finds procedure will be implemented for the project and is provided in Appendix A of the CHSP. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 5 | Impacts to built heritage, heritage landscapes and historical archaeological sites, will to the greatest extent practicable, be avoided and minimised. Where impacts are unavoidable, works shall be undertaken in accordance with the strategy outlined in the construction heritage management plan (moderately effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 6 | In relation to the house at 82 Campbell Street and terrace group at 28-44 Campbell Street the following would be undertaken: The buildings would be subject to a full archival recording following the NSW Heritage Division guidelines How to Prepare an Archival Recording (NSW Heritage Office, 2003) and Photographic recording of heritage items using film or digital capture (NSW Heritage Office, 2006) Consideration should be given as to whether elements of the houses could be salvaged and used to maintain or restore other properties managed by Roads and Maritime (somewhat effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Archival recording and salvage reporting is complete for the house/terraces on Campbell Street. Reuse of salvaged items will be in accordance with the Urban Design and Landscape Plan, once approved. Any residual |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | | | | | | items will be made available to local property owners, in accordance with CoA B35. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 7 | In relation to Rudders Bond Store, the following would be undertaken: The bond store would be subject to a full archival recording following the NSW Heritage Division guidelines How to Prepare an Archival Recording (NSW Heritage Office, 2003) and Photographic recording of heritage items using film or digital capture (NSW Heritage Office, 2006). This would include a comparative analysis of the Rudders Bond Stores should be prepared against other laminated truss Symonds buildings in NSW and Australia Consideration would be given as to whether the selected laminated timber columns could be salvaged and re-erected and clad elsewhere within the St Peters interchange or the local area. The cladding and brick walls are not considered to be of heritage significance and are not included within the reuse proposal. The level of fabric salvage required, the appropriate methodology for salvage and identification of appropriate adaptive reuse and locations for reuse of these elements would be determined in consultation with Heritage Council, the NSW Heritage Division of OEH and the New M5 Design Panel, with advice from a suitably qualified specialist informed by the full archival recording prior to the demolition of the item Nevestigate options for documenting the history of the Ralph Symonds company and presenting it to a national audience, in partnership with stakeholders such as the City of Sydney and Powerhouse Museum. The focus would be on their development of innovative timber construction methods during and after World War II (somewhat effective). | Design Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Archival recording has been completed for Rudders Bond Store. OEH have endorsed the salvage and deconstruction approach for this heritage item. Demolition/deconstruction commenced during the reporting period. Preliminary heritage interpretation work is ongoing with the intention to report as part of the overall Heritage Interpretation Plan for the project. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 8 | In relation to the Service Garage at 316 Princes Highway, the following would be undertaken: An existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks to the heritage item A photographic archival recording is undertaken prior to the current use ceasing. The archival recording should conform to the guidelines provided in How to prepare archival records (NSW Heritage Office, 2003) | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006; submitted to DPE 30/05/16). A condition survey report has been completed |
| | | and Photographic recording of heritage items using film or digital capture (NSW Heritage Office, 2006). The archival recording should be lodged with the relevant local libraries and the State Library of NSW. The oral history should be prepared, which seeks to contact past and present employees as well as others with memories of the service station. | | | | and monitoring equipment has been installed in accordance with the CHSP. Photographic archival recording has also been completed. Monitoring has identifed no impacts to date. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | The oral history should be lodged with the relevant local libraries and the State Library of NSW (somewhat effective). | | | | |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAHO 9 | Detailed mitigation and management measures would be developed for each heritage item directly impacted by the project with regard to vibration (surface and tunnelling) and settlement once final disturbance areas have been identified through detailed design. These mitigation and management measures would be included in the CEMP(s) for the project (highly effective). | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 0 | Surface works would adhere to safe working distances, and an existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks at the following non- Aboriginal heritage items: • Wolli Creek Culvert • St Peters Public School, including interiors • Terrace housing, including interiors (1273) • Waugh and Josephson industrial buildings, former, showroom, offices and workshops, including interiors • Town and Country Hotel, including interiors • Group of Victorian Filigree and Victorian Italianate terrace houses – Narara, including interiors • Terrace group 112 • Water Board pump house, including Interior and substructure • Industrial Building, 'Frank G Spurway' • Former Alexandria Spinning Mills (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Condition surveys are being undertaken at the listed items prior to commencement of works that may impact on the item. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 1 | An existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks at the Macdonaldtown Stormwater Channel #3 (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The existing condition survey report has been completed for the Channel. Vibration monitoring would be implemented where required by the relevant CNVIS for the works. Settlement monitoring would be undertaken where required by the Instrumentation and |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|---|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | Monitoring Plan (M5N-GOL-DRT-100-200-GT- 1530). |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 2 | The following non-Aboriginal heritage properties would be considered for at property acoustic treatment: St Peters Public School, including interiors Terrace group 112 (highly effective). Acoustic treatments would be confirmed during detailed design, and would consider the principles of The Burra Charter (the Australia ICOMOS charter for places of Cultural Significance) (ICOMOS (Australia), 2013). | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The final list of properties eligible for acoustic treatment are identified in the CNVIS developed for each area of works. The CNVIS for the Local Roads Upgrades works identifies these properties for acoustic treatments. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 3 | Management measures for the Goodsell Estate Heritage Conservation Area would include: Landscaping, to mitigate the impacts of realigning and widening roads, as well as alterations to the existing stormwater detention basin Surface works would adhere to safe working distances An existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks to relevant structures within the conversation area and within 50 metres of the main alignment tunnels (highly effective). | Design Pre-construction Construction Post-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The condition survey process has been implemented for properties within the zone of influence. Safe working distances are specified in the relevant CNVIS. Landscaping will be detailed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan, which was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 4 | Management measures for the Clemton Park Urban Conservation Area would include: Surface works would adhere to safe working distances An existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks to relevant structures within the conservation area Landscaping would provide screening of the Bexley Road South motorway operations complex from the Clemton Park Urban Conservation Area, once established (highly effective). | Design Pre-construction Construction Post-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). The condition survey process has been implemented for properties within the zone of influence. Safe working distances are specified in the relevant CNVIS. Landscaping will be detailed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan, which was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|---|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 5 | Management measures for the Pallamanna Parade Urban Conservation Area would include: Surface works would adhere to safe working distances An existing condition survey report and program of monitoring would be undertaken to identify early potential risks to relevant structures within the conservation area Landscaping would provide screening of the project from the Pallamanna Parade Urban Conservation Area, once established (highly effective). | Design Pre-construction Construction Post-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0006).The condition survey process has been implemented for properties within the zone of influence. Safe working distances are specified in the relevant CNVIS. Landscaping is detailed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan, which was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 6 | The following management measures would be implemented with respect to Alexandra Canal: Monitoring during works to ensure vibration is not impacting the Alexandra Canal walls Preparation of an archival recording of the Canal, involving both scale drawings and photography, prior to the removal of sandstone blocks Numbering of sandstone blocks so that those displaced by the discharge points can be replaced in their previous locations Stockpiling displaced sandstone blocks for use in repairs of other sections of the Alexandra Canal Installation of heritage interpretation regarding the Canal in accordance with an interpretation plan (moderately effective) Any rehabilitation or conservation works in the vicinity of these areas would be determined in consultation with Sydney Water, as the asset owner Skilled trades people would be used for the proposed works along Alexandra Canal that involve direct interaction with the heritage item. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Access to the canal is currently restricted due to overgrown vegetation. Recording of the canal will commence once CDS-JV clear the edges of the canal, prior to the commencement of works at this location. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 7 | An interpretation plan would be prepared and implemented for: • Alexandra Canal and the industrial heritage of the area. This shall include installation of a heritage interpretation regarding the Canal in accordance with an interpretation plan • The St Peters Brickpit geological site, including: - Integrate the geological interpretation into the Sydney Park brickworks in consultation with City of Sydney, as the industrial counterpart to the geological history to tell a more complete story of historical land use in the area - Integrate the geological / palaeontological discovery of the Paraclytosaurus davdi - Retain an exposed section of the fresh shales and siltstones, including features associated with deposition of the sedimentary rocks, and later formed fractures such as joints and faults, if feasible and safe to do so for | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006; submitted to DPE 30/05/16). Development of the heritage interpretation plan is ongoing. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | both landform stability and ongoing access for interpretation (moderately effective). | | | | |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 8 | An archival recording of the St Peters brickpit geological site would be undertaken prior to and during the construction (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Sections 6 and 7 and Appendix B of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006; submitted to DPE 30/05/16). Pre-construction archival recording of the site has been undertaken. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH1 9 | An assessment and / or consultation with a palaeontologist to determine whether the project impact area has potential to contain further specimens of scientific interest (highly effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Sections 6 and 7 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub- Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Consultation has been undertaken with a palaeontologist in accordance with this requirement. |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH2 0 | Approaches to appropriately manage impacts of the project to the individual contribution of views into and out from heritage properties and the long-term impact of construction would be detailed in a CEMP (highly effective). Individually tailored landscape treatments would be developed during detailed design to mitigate visual impacts at 2-34 Campbell Road, St Peters. | Design Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 and Appendix B of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Landscape treatments will be addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan. The UDLP was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|---|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH2 1 | The construction heritage management plan would include detailed procedures / strategies for the conservation and curation of any historical artefacts recovered during works (moderately effective). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Sections 4.2 and 6 of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006). |
| Non- Aborigina I heritage | NAH2 2 | Urban design and landscaping would be undertaken to manage visual impacts to the following additional non-Aboriginal heritage items: Terrace housing (1273) Southern Cross Hotel (1277) Water Board pump house (118) (highly effective). | Design Construction Post-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the mitigation measures contained in Section 6 of the approved Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (CHSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0006). Urban design and landscaping is addressed in the Urban Design and Landscape Plan. The UDLP was submitted to DPE for approval on 10/07/17. |
| Biodiversi ty | B01 | A Flora and Fauna Management Plan will be developed before construction and in accordance with Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). The Plan will identify potential impacts to biodiversity and describe mitigation measures and environmental controls to be implemented during construction, including measures to protect biodiversity features which will be retained. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer CoA D68(d) (Appendix A) |
| Biodiversi ty | B02 | The removal of established vegetation will be minimised, where possible. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6, controls FF11 and FF14, in the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). Also refer to CoA D52 (Appendix A). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Biodiversi ty | B03 | Pre-clearance activities will be carried out in accordance with <i>Guide 1 Preclearing process</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). Pre-clearing surveys will be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist to identify the presence of: Hollow-bearing trees Threatened flora and fauna. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6, controls FF11 and FF14, in the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). Also refer to CoA D52 (Appendix A). |
| Biodiversi ty | B04 | Trees will be removed in accordance with <i>Guide 4 – Clearing of Vegetation</i> and Removal of Bushrock of Roads and Maritime's Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA, 2011). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section, controls FF12, FF18 and FF19, in the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007). Pre-clearing surveys were undertaken and are included in Appendix F of the CFFSP. |
| Biodiversi ty | B05 | Where vegetation clearance is required, exclusion zones will be established in accordance with <i>Guide 2 Exclusion Zones</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) has been prepared in accordance with Guide 4 – Clearing of Vegetation and Removal of Bushrock of Roads and Maritime's Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA, 2011). Tree clearance records identify that tree clearing has been undertaken in accordance with the CFFSP. |
| Biodiversi ty | B06 | Where reasonable and feasible, mature and hollow-bearing trees will be retained. Where this is not reasonable and feasible, nest boxes will be installed to mitigate the impacts of removing hollow bearing trees in accordance with Table 8.1 of <i>Guide 8 – Nest boxes</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011) at least one month prior to the commencement of construction | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) has been prepared in accordance with Guide 2 – Exclusion Zones of Roads and Maritime's Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA, 2011). Tree clearance records identify that exclusion zones have been established prior to clearing in accordance with the CFFSP. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------|-----|---|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Biodiversi ty | B07 | Locally indigenous species will be included as part of landscaping and rehabilitation works to promote native fauna habitat. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.1 and 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) for the methods to identify and retain habitat trees where feasible, and Section 7.2 and Appendix D for the replacement of habitat trees with nest boxes. Refer to Appendix F (pre-clearance survey report) of the CFFSP for identified habitat trees. Nest boxes have been installed to offset potential habitat loss due to clearing at the Arncliffe Construction Compound. Nest boxes will also be installed to offset potential habitat loss due to clearing for the Local Roads Upgrades. |
| Biodiversi ty | B08 | Should unexpected threatened flora or fauna be located at any time during construction, relevant works will cease in the area to prevent further harm to the individual. Should this occur, a suitably qualified ecologist will be engaged to advise on appropriate mitigation and management measures. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) for this requirement. |
| Biodiversi ty | B09 | Any fauna handling would be undertaken by an appropriately licenced ecologist in accordance with <i>Guide 9 – Fauna handling</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) for this requirement. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------|-----|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Biodiversi ty | B10 | The <u>Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management Plan</u> will be finalised and implemented to minimise and manage impacts to the Arncliffe key population. The Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management Plan would be approved by the Commonwealth Department of the Environment and OEH, and would include: Management measures to be implemented at the Arnciffe construction compound (C7) and RTA Ponds to minimise and manage impacts to the Green and Golden Bell Frog habitat and key population during construction. Management measures relating to the enhancement of existing habitat at the Marsh Street Wetland Supplementary management measures for consideration to mitigate and minimise impacts to the Green and Golden Bell Frog. | Construction | Compliant | WCX M5 AT CDS-JV | The Green and Golden Bell Frog Plan of Management – Arncliffe, has been finalised and was approved by DPE on 17/05/16. An updated PoM has been prepared and was endorsed by the Environmental Representive on 16/11/2016. The PoM was approved by DP&E on 14/02/2017. The POM was reviewed and updated during the reporting period and resubmitted to DPE on 28 September 2017. The Habitat Creation and Captive Breeding Plan was approved by DPE on 1 November 2017. |
| Biodiversi ty | B11 | Measures to mitigate potential water quality impacts during construction are outlined in Section 16.4 and Section 18.4 of the EIS. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16) and the Flood Mitigation Strategy (submitted to DPE 25/05/16). These are referenced in the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) for this requirement. |
| Biodiversi ty | B12 | Works within or near aquatic habitats and riparian areas will be managed in accordance with <i>Roads and Maritime's Guide 10 – Aquatic habitats and</i> <i>riparian zones</i> and <i>Guidelines for Controlled Activities on Waterfront Land</i> (DPI, 2012a). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005-04; approved 15/07/16) and the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) include these guidelines as a control measure. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Biodiversi ty | B13 | Works within aquatic habitats or riparian zones would be undertaken to limit impacts on aquatic flora and fauna, and their habitats, and impacts on riparian areas. This would be undertaken in accordance with Guide 10 of the <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> and <i>Guidelines for Controlled Activities on</i> <i>Waterfront Land</i> (DPI, 2012a). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005-04; approved 15/07/16) and the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) include these guidelines as a control measure. |
| Biodiversi ty | B14 | Where possible, construction activities would minimise disturbance to waterways and riparian land. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub- Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005-04; approved 15/07/16) and the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) include these guidelines as a control measure. |
| Biodiversi ty | B15 | Stockpiles would be located outside riparian corridors. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Section 3.1 – Consultation of Stormwater Infrastructure Alexandra Canal and Section 7 – Mitigation and Management Actions of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16), and Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) refer to this REMM. The distance from stockpiles to the riparian corridor has been maximised where possible at the Kingsgrove South and Bexley South construction compounds. |
| Biodiversi ty | B16 | Weeds within the construction footprint will be actively managed prior to the clearance of vegetation. All weed material cleared from within the construction footprint of the project will be disposed of at a facility licensed to receive green waste. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). Weed material is disposed at an appropriately licensed facility. This is monitored through the Waste Tracking Register. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Biodiversi ty | B17 | Vegetation within the road reserve adjacent to areas to be cleared will be managed in accordance with <i>Guide 6 – Weed Management</i> and <i>Guide 10 –</i> <i>Aquatic Habitats and Riparian Zones</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity</i> <i>Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011) to reduce the introduction and spread of noxious weed species. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (CFFSP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) has been developed in accordance with the Roads and Martime Biodiversity Guidelines (RTA 2011). Weed management is being undertaken in accordance with the CFFSP. |
| Biodiversi ty | B18 | Landscaping and revegetation works will be undertaken using weed-free topsoil in accordance with the project's urban design concept plan. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). |
| Biodiversi ty | B19 | A hygiene protocol will be implemented as part of the CEMP(s) for the project to prevent the spread and exacerbation of the Chytrid Fungus in accordance with <i>Guide 7 – Pathogen Management</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). |
| Biodiversi ty | B20 | A risk assessment process will be used for each construction compound to determine the need to clean machinery prior to entering | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | All vehicles plant and equipment brought to site must be inspected to ensure it is clean. A Plant and Equipment Clean Down Declaration must be completed for all plant and equipment brought to site. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--------------------|-----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Biodiversi ty | B21 | Machinery will be cleaned prior to entering the construction compound sites. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | All vehicles plant and equipment brought to site must be inspected to ensure it is clean. A Plant and Equipment Clean Down Declaration must be completed for all plant and equipment brought to site. |
| Biodiversi ty | B22 | Pathogens will be identified as part of pre-clearing inspections. In the event that pathogens are identified within the construction footprint, appropriate mitigation measures will be identified by an ecologist and implemented as part of the CEMP(s) in accordance with <i>Guide 7 – Pathogen Management</i> of Roads and Maritime's <i>Biodiversity Guidelines</i> (RTA, 2011). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.7 of the Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16). Visual pathogen inspections were undertaken as part of the pre-clearing inspections. No evidence of pathogens was identified. |
| Biodiversi ty | OpB0 1 | A management plan will be developed and implemented to identify and mitigate potential ongoing impacts to biodiversity, including procedures for: Management of weeds Management, maintenance and rehabilitation of riparian land disturbed by the project and riparian areas associated with the discharge of treated water Maintenance of nest boxes | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | The Construction Flora and Fauna Sub-plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0007; approved 20/07/16) will be updated prior to the commencement of permanent rehabilitation and landscaping works to address rehabilitation details. This requirement also relates to the operational phase and will be addressed in the Operational Environmental Management Plan and reported on in the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG1 | Prepare a <u>Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy and Management Plan</u> for the project. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | An Energy Efficiency & Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021) has been prepared as part of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020-01) to meet CoA B75. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Greenho use Gas | GHG2 | Undertake an updated greenhouse gas assessment based on detailed design. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 3.1 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021). |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG3 | The emissions intensity of significant construction materials specified in the design of the project would be assessed and, where feasible and in compliance with technical specifications, low emission construction materials would be used. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 3.1 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021). |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG4 | Where feasible, recycled content road construction materials such as recycled aggregates in road pavement and surfacing, or similar, would be used. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 4 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021). |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG5 | The fuel efficiency of construction plant and equipment would be assessed before selection and, where feasible and reasonable, equipment with the highest fuel efficiency or equipment that uses lower greenhouse gas intensive fuel such as biofuels (eg biodiesel, ethanol) would be used. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 4.1 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0021). ER: No evidence for implementation of this REMM has been observed to date |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Greenho use Gas | GHG6 | Project planning would be undertaken to ensure that the site vehicle movements and construction activities are efficient, to avoid double handling of materials and unnecessary fuel use where possible. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0021). |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG7 | Locally produced goods and services would be procured where feasible and cost effective to reduce transport fuel emissions. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 4 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021). |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG8 | At least six per cent of construction energy required for the project would be sourced where possible from an accredited GreenPower energy supplier | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 4 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021). It can be confirmed that 6% of construction energy useage on the project is procured from accredited green power energy suppliers. |
| Greenho use Gas | GHG9 | Where possible, and fit for purpose, spoil would be beneficially re-used within the project before off- site re-use or disposal options are investigated. A <u>spoil management strategy</u> would be developed for the project prior to the commencement of construction and would identify spoil disposal sites and the management of excess spoil. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 5 of the Construction Spoil Management Plan (M5N- PM-PLN-PWD-0002). A site spoil tracking register has been developed for each individual site is and updated daily by site teams. This information is collated monthly in the project Spoil Tracking Register & uploaded as a Construction Lot to Incite. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--------------------|-------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Greenho use Gas | GHG1 0 | Waste would be diverted from landfill, including diversion of spoil, construction and demolition waste, and commercial and industrial waste, where reasonable and feasible. The management of waste would be considered as part of the preparation of the CEMP for the project, detailing the appropriate procedures for waste management. | Design Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 5 of the Construction Spoil Management Plan (M5N- PM-PLN-PWD-0002) and the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-plan (CWRSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0008). Spoil/waste is classified in accordance with the CWRSP by an independent consultant. Options for disposal/recycling are determined based on waste classification. Recycling of material is pursued where reasonable and feasible. |
| Greenho use Gas | OpGH G 1 | The main alignment tunnels would be designed to minimise fuel consumed by vehicles using the road, for example through the provision of a vertical alignment that allows consistent vehicle speeds to be maintained. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement has been addressed in the Road Geometry Design Report, which is now finalised. The tunnel road gradient has been minimised in accordance with RMS design documents and specifications to maximise fuel efficiency and reduce emissions. |
| Greenho use Gas | OpGH G 2 | A life cycle assessment would be undertaken as part of the detailed design in order to select mechanical and electrical systems with increased energy efficiencies, where reasonable and feasible, such as the tunnel ventilation system, tunnel lighting, water treatment systems and electronic toll and surveillance systems. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is being addressed in the Road and Shared Path Lighting designs. |
| Greenho use Gas | OpGH G 3 | Low carbon energy generation options would be investigated as part of the detailed design process in order to reduce the demand on mains electricity and generate renewable energy onsite, where feasible. At least six per cent of energy required for the project would be sourced from an accredited GreenPower energy supplier, where possible. | Design | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to the Sustainability Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020). In particular, refer to the identified opportunities table. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|-------------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Aborigina I heritage | AH 1 | Vibration generating activities, including blasting would be conducted in a manner to ensure vibration levels do not exceed three millimetres per second at potential Aboriginal heritage site SR-OVRH-1. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Sections 5.1 and 6.1 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). |
| Aborigina I heritage | AH 2 | Vibration monitoring would be carried out during vibration intensive works within 50 metres of SR- OVRH-1. The need for vibration monitoring would be informed by a preliminary screening of activities at this location to identify what activities have the potential for vibration at this location. The preliminary screening and works requiring monitoring would be contained within the CEMP. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Sections 5.1, 6.1 and 7 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). There are no works proposed within 50 m of SR-OVRH-1. The tunnel alignment is also greater than 50 m from this feature. |
| Aborigina I heritage | AH 3 | A baseline condition assessment would be completed by a qualified structural engineer for Aboriginal site SR-OVR-1 before construction commences, followed by a condition assessment immediately following significant vibration and with recommendations for remediation measures if required. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Sections 5.1, 6.1 and 7 of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). A pre-construction condition report was completed for this site on 18/10/2016. A subsequent condition report will be completed following tunnelling in this area. |
| Aborigina I heritage | AH 4 | If an Aboriginal object(s) is discovered during construction it would be managed in accordance with the <i>Standard Management Procedure:</i> <i>Unexpected Heritage Items</i> (Roads and Maritime Services,2015), including: • Ceasing works in the vicinity of the object(s), where there is the potential to directly or indirectly impact on the object(s) • Notifying the construction Environmental Representative and OEH of the discovery • Engaging a qualified archaeologist to determine the nature, extent and scientific significance of the object(s) • Developing management recommendations in consultation with the qualified archaeologist, OEH and RAPs. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix A, RMS Management Procedure: Unexpected Heritage Items, of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). No Aboriginal objects have been identified on the project to date. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--|----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Aborigina I heritage | АН 5 | In order to manage the potential discovery of an Aboriginal object(s) during pile installation adjacent to Alexandra Canal the following strategy would be implemented: Geotechnical coring at each pile location by a geotechnical engineer to obtain intact sediment samples to a depth of around seven metres Inspection of obtained sediment samples by a qualified archaeologist in consultation with the geotechnical engineer in order to characterise the soil profile and identify any Aboriginal archaeological materials Should Aboriginal archaeological material be present within one or more core samples, management would occur in accordance with the Standard Management Procedure: Unexpected Heritage Items (Roads and Maritime, 2015). | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix A, RMS Management Procedure: Unexpected Heritage Items, of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). The Excavation Director has been present and has inspected core samples during coring in this location. |
| Aborigina I heritage | AH 6 | If human remains are discovered during construction would be managed in accordance with the <i>Standard Management Procedure: Unexpected</i> <i>Heritage Items</i> (Roads and Maritime Services, 2015), including: • Ceasing works in the vicinity of the remains, with the potential to directly or indirectly impact on the remains • Notifying the construction Environmental Representative, OEH and NSW Police of the discovery • Following directions from the NSW Police and / or OEH, as relevant, depending on the nature of the remains and the outcomes of forensic investigations. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 6.2 and Appendix A, RMS Management Procedure: Unexpected Heritage Items, of the Construction Heritage Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0006; approved 2/08/2016). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WMO 1 | Construction energy consumption would be reduced through initiatives such as: • Use of roadheaders, which can excavate a more efficient shape for the road tunnel than tunnel boring machines, resulting in less spoil generation and less energy consumption for handling, management and transport of spoil • Local materials procurement where feasible and cost effective to reduce fuel consumption for transport • Selection of efficient construction plant and equipment where possible • Use of recycled materials where feasible • Efficient practices on site (for example, switching off engines when not in use) • Use of energy efficient or solar powered lighting for temporary construction facilities • Investigating the use of biofuel for construction vehicles. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed by Section 4 of the Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0021), part of the project's Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0020). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--|----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 2 | Where feasible and reasonable, construction material would be sourced from within the Sydney region. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed by Section 5.6, Element 6 of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0020). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 3 | Unnecessary resource consumption would be avoided by making realistic predictions of the required quantities of resources such as construction materials | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed by Section 5.6, Element 6 of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0020-01). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WMO 4 | Resource recovery would be applied to the management of construction waste and would include: Recovery of resources for reuse-reusable materials generated by the project would be segregated for reuse either on-site or off-site where possible, including the reuse of the major waste streams (VENM and ENM) Recovery of resources for recycling - recyclable resources (such as metals, plastics and other recyclable materials) generated during construction and demolition Resources would be segregated for recycling These materials would then be sent to an appropriate recycling facility for processing. Recovery of resources for reprocessing -cleared vegetation would be mulched or chipped on-site and used for landscaping, in the absence of a higher beneficial use being identified. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Sections 5 and 6 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (CWRSP; M5N- ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved by DPE on 15/07/16). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 5 | Where reasonable and feasible, Packaging Take Back arrangements would be implemented with suppliers. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the project's procurement strategy and is continuing to be investigated with individual suppliers Packaging take back arrangements are included in the Subcontractor Pack supplied during engagement of subcontractors and will be discussed during the projects Sustainable Procurement Worksshop in Feb 2018. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--|----------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 6 | Wastes would be managed (classified, handled and stored) and reused / recycled / disposed of in accordance with relevant State legislation and government policies including the POEO Act, <i>Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2001, Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery</i> <i>Strategy 2014-2021</i> (EPA, 2014b), and the sustainable procurement objective of the <i>WestConnex sustainability strategy</i> (WestConnex Delivery Authority, 2015). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Sections 5 and 6 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16) and Section 5.6, Element 6, of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 7 | A Construction Waste Reuse Recycling and Energy plan (CWRREMP) would be prepared as part of the CEMP detailing appropriate procedures for waste management. The Construction Waste Recycling Reuse Environment Management Plan would ensure waste disposal and energy use is minimised by tracking and reporting performance and applying corrective action as required. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0008; approved 15/07/16) and Section 5.6, Element 6 of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0020). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 8 | Wastes would be managed using the waste hierarchy principles of: Avoidance of unnecessary resource consumption to reduce the quantity of waste being generated. Recover resources for reuse on-site or off-site for the same or similar use, without reprocessing. Recover resources through recycling and reprocessing so that waste can be processed into a similar non-waste product and reused. Disposal of residual waste. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Sections 5 and 6 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16) and Section 5.6, Element 6 of the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM0 9 | Residual waste would be disposed of to a suitably licensed landfill or waste management facility where there are no other feasible and reasonable options for waste avoidance, reuse or recycling. Waste materials requiring removal from the site would be classified, handled and stored in accordance with the <i>Waste Classification Guidelines: Part 1 Classifying Waste</i> (EPA, 2014a) until collection by a contractor for disposal. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--|----------|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 0 | Off-site reuse of waste would comply with relevant NSW Environment Protection Authority resource recovery exemptions and requirements. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in Section 6 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16). |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 1 | Asbestos handling and management would be undertaken in accordance with the project's Asbestos Management Plan and relevant legislation, policies and standards: • Work Health and Safety Act 2011 • Code of Practice for the Safe Removal of Asbestos 2nd Edition (NOHSC, 2005a). • Code of Practice for the Management and Control of Asbestos in Workplaces (NOHSC, 2005b). • Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2014 – Part 7 Transportation and management of asbestos waste. • Australian Standard AS2601:1991 Demolition of Structures. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed by the project's Manage Work with Asbestos Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC- PWD-0037), and Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N-ES-PRC-PWD-0036-00). These procedures are referenced in the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN- PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16). Asbestos management during demolition was reviewed during ER inspections at Local Roads work sites during the Q2 reporting period. Where required, asbestos continues to be removed in accordance with the approved process by a licenced removal contractor. |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 2 | Measures would be implemented to manage stockpiles such as potentially locating stockpiles outside of overland flowpaths, riparian corridors and finished and contoured so as to minimise loss of material in flood or rainfall events. Stockpiles left exposed and undisturbed for longer than 28 days would be stabilised by compaction then either sprayed with suitable tackifier, covered with anchored fabrics, or seeded with sterile grass. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, controls P1 and P4, of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). These controls are inspected regularly during weekly environmental inspections, ER inspections and inspections by the project Soil Conservationist. |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 3 | A <u>Spoil Management Strategy</u> would be developed prior to the commencement of construction and implemented during construction. The strategy would identify spoil disposal sites and describe the management of spoil on-site and during off-site transport. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | The Spoil Management Plan (M5N-PM-PLN- PWD-0002) was conditionally approved on 23/11/2016. Revision 7 of the Plan was submitted to DP&E on 12/01/2017 to address DP&E requirements. Disposal sites identified are being used. Additional disposal sites are assessed and approved in accordance with the requirements of the plan and sites identified to receive a significant volume of spoil will be included in regular updates to the Plan. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|--|----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 4 | Where possible and fit for purpose, spoil would be beneficially reused within the project before off-site reuse or disposal options are pursued. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 5.3 of the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD- 0008; approved 15/07/16). This requirement is also addressed in the project's Spoil Management Plan (M5N-PM-PLN-PWD-0002). Ongoing communication of the Spoil Program between sites is occurring to ensure any potential reuse opportunities are explored prior to disposal off site. |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 5 | Before being transported from construction sites, excavated spoil would be classified in accordance with the <i>Waste Classification Guidelines: Part 1</i> <i>Classifying Waste</i> (EPA, 2014a) to ensure appropriate reuse or disposal. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | All spoil and waste is classified by an independent consultant in accordance with the Construction Waste and Resource Sub- plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008) prior to removal offsite. No spoil is taken off-site until classification reports are submitted to the spoil team. The Spoil Management Tracking system ensures spoil classification reports is recorded and reported. |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 6 | Feasible and reasonable opportunities for wastewater reuse on-site or for construction purposes would be pursued (such as dust suppression both in the tunnels and for surface works). | Pre-construction Construction | Non Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Water Reuse Strategy (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0035), approved 3/11/2016. Treated water from the construction water treatment plant is being reused for dust suppression and compaction purposes at the Arncliffe Construction Compound and will be reused at other sites once systems are in place. Refer to comment for B30 which indicates this requirement is non-compliant |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 7 | Wastewater not used on-site would be discharged into the local stormwater system in accordance with the requirements of an environment protection licence issued for the project. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES- PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). All water discharge from site requires a Permit to Dewater (M5N-ES-PER-PWD-0001) to ensure EPL requirements are met. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 | | |
|--|------------|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | WM1 8 | An Unexpected Finds Protocol would be implemented in the event of encountering previously unidentified area(s) or types of contaminated material. Where this happens, all relevant work would cease in the vicinity of the discovery in accordance with a unsuitable spoil management contingency procedure which would be included as part of the Spoil Management Strategy for the project. Relevant works would not recommence until the need for and scope of remedial action(s), if required, is identified in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Contaminated Land</i> <i>Management Act 1997</i> . | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in the project's Manage Contaminated Land Procedure (M5N- ES-PRC-PWD-0036), which is referenced in the Construction Waste and Resource Sub-Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0008; approved 15/07/16). This is also addressed in the Spoil Management Plan (M5N-CN-PLN-PWD-0002). | | |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | OPW M01 | Wastes would be managed and disposed of in accordance with relevant State legislation and government policies including the POEO Act, <i>Waste</i> <i>Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2001, Waste Avoidance and Resource</i> <i>Recovery Strategy 2014-2021</i> (EPA, 2014b), and the sustainable procurement objective of the <i>WestConnex sustainability strategy</i> (WestConnex Delivery Authority, 2015). | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on in the pre- operation compliance report. | | |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | OPW M02 | Opportunities for reuse of wastewater would be considered in preference to discharge to the local stormwater system. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on in the pre- operation compliance report. | | |
| Resource use and waste minimisa tion | OPW M03 | In order to reduce demand on local water supplies, options would be investigated for providing water required for operation of the deluge system from wastewater produced through the tunnel drainage system where it meets appropriate quality parameters. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on in the pre- operation compliance report. | | |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Climate change and risk adaptatio n | CC01 | The risk associated with future climate change on the project would be further considered during detailed design. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020), Section 2.2 – Stage 2: Integrating Sustainability into Design, Construction, Procurement and Other Processes |
| Climate change and risk adaptatio n | CC02 | Implement adaptation measures to address high and extreme rated risks identified in the subsequent detailed climate change risk assessment. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020), Element 14 – Urban Design and Landscape |
| Climate change and risk adaptatio n | CC03 | Where extreme, high or medium risks have been identified in this assessment or subsequent climate change risk assessments, a review of the existing design policies, specifications or practices would be undertaken to consider the impacts of climate change. | Pre-construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This is addressed in the Sustainability Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0020), Element 14 – Urban Design and Landscape |
| Hazard and risks | HR01 | Site-specific hazard and risk management measures would be included within the CEMP, which may include items such as: Details of the hazards and risk associated with construction activities for both surface and subsurface works Procedures to comply with legislative and industry standard requirements Contingency plans, as required. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 4 and Appendix D of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP; M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0001; approved 4/08/2016). This is also addressed throughout the sub-plans of the CEMP (Part C). |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------|------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Hazard and risks | HR02 | Storage of dangerous goods and hazardous materials would occur in accordance with suppliers' instructions and relevant Australian Standards and may include bulk storage tanks, chemical storage cabinets / containers or impervious bunds. | Construction | Non Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, controls C1 and C3, of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). These controls are inspected regurarly during weekly environmental inspections and by the ER during weekly ER inspections. See ER comment for SW12 |
| Hazard and risks | HR03 | Storage, handling and use of dangerous goods and hazardous substances would be in accordance with the <i>Work Health and Safety Act 2011</i> and the <i>Storage and Handling of Dangerous Goods Code of Practice</i> (WorkCover NSW, 2005). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, controls C1, C3 and C14 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Hazard and risks | HR04 | Secure, bunded areas would be provided around storage areas for oils, fuels and other hazardous liquids. | Construction | Non Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, control C3 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). These controls are inspected regurarly during weekly environmental inspections and by the ER during weekly ER inspections. ER: See comment for SW12 and HR02 |
| Hazard and risks | HR05 | Bunds would be provided around activities such as vehicle refuelling, servicing, maintenance or wash- down, where there is a potential for spills and contamination. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, control C12 of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). These controls are inspected regurarly during weekly environmental inspections and by the ER during weekly ER inspections. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Hazard and risks | HR06 | Material Safety Data Sheets would be obtained for dangerous goods and hazardous substances stored onsite prior to their arrival. | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, control C13, of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Hazard and risks | HR07 | Transport of dangerous goods and hazardous substances would be conducted in accordance with relevant legislation and codes, including the Dangerous Goods (<i>Road and Rail Transport</i>) <i>Regulation 2014</i> and the Australian Code for the <i>Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail</i> (National Transport Commission, 2008). | Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Refer to Section 7.1, control C15, of the Construction Soil and Water Quality Sub-Plan (CSWQSP, M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0005; approved 15/07/16). |
| Hazard and risks | HR08 | The project would be constructed in line with Civil Aviation Safety Authority requirements, to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Commonwealth Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Element 3 Legal and other Requirements, of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (MSN-ES-PLN-PWD-0001; approved 4/08/2016). |
| Hazard and risks | HR09 | The project would be constructed in in accordance with the requirements of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and the <i>Sydney Airport Master Plan 2033</i> , with respect to lighting used during construction. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This requirement is addressed in Element 3 Legal and other Requirements, of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (M5N-ES-PLN-PWD-0001; submitted to DPE 30/5/16) and is being addressed through the relevant Construction Area Plans. Consultation has occurred with Sydney Airport during the construction phase to ensure Sydney Airport and CASA requirements are met. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------|------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 01 | The fire and safety systems and measures adopted for the project would be equivalent to or exceed the fire safety measures recommended by NFPA502 (American), PIARC (European), AS4825 (Australian) and Roads and Maritime standards. | Design Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | These requirements are incorporated in the Fire Protection Designs M5N-AJV-DPK-800- 700-FR |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 02 | Storage of dangerous goods and hazardous materials would occur in accordance with supplier's instructions and relevant Australian standards and may include bulk storage tanks, chemical storage cabinets / containers or impervious bunds. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 03 | Storage, handling and use of dangerous goods and hazardous substances would be in accordance with the <i>Work Health and Safety Act 2011</i> and the <i>Storage and Handling of Dangerous Goods Code of Practice</i> (WorkCover NSW, 2005). | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre-operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 04 | Secure, bunded areas would be provided around storage areas for oils, fuels and other hazardous liquids. Impervious bunds would be of sufficient capacity to contain at least 110 per cent of the volume of the largest stored container. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------|------------|--|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 05 | Bunds would be provided around activities such as vehicle refuelling, servicing, maintenance or wash- down, where there is a potential for spills and contamination. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 06 | Material Safety Data Sheets would be obtained for dangerous goods and hazardous substances stored onsite prior to their arrival. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 07 | The transport of dangerous goods and hazardous substances would be prohibited through the main alignment tunnels and on and off-ramp tunnels. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 08 | An Incident Response Plan would be developed and implemented in the event of an accident or incident. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------|------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 09 | The response to incidents within the motorway would be managed in accordance with the memorandum of understanding between Roads and Maritime and the NSW Police Service, NSW Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire Brigade and other emergency services. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 10 | The detailed design of the project substations would ensure that the exposure limits for the general public suggested by the Draft Radiation Standard (<i>Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency, 2006</i>) would not be exceeded at the boundary of the substation sites. | Design Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement will be addressed in the Electrical HV - HV Power & Distribution System Design |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 11 | The project would be operated in line with Civil Aviation Safety Authority requirements, to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Commonwealth Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development. | Operation | Not yet triggered | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement relates to the operational phase and will be reported on during the pre- operation compliance report. |
| Hazard and risks | OpHR 12 | Aviation hazard lighting, building lighting and surface road lighting would be designed and operated in accordance with the requirements of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority and the <i>Sydney Airport Master Plan 2033</i> . | Design Operation | Compliant | CDS-JV WCX M5 AT | This requirement is being addressed in the Road and Shared Path Lighting designs. |



| Aspect | Ref | Requirement | Timing | Compliance status | Responsibility | Comment / evidence QCCR-5 |
|---------------------------|------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Cumulati ve impacts | CI01 | Consultation would be undertaken with local communities potentially affected by the impacts of multiple projects in addition to the project. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | Reference to other projects in the area is included in notifications and weekly e-updates as per Section 7 of the Community Communications Strategy (M5N-CS-PLN-PWD- 0008). |
| Cumulati ve impacts | CI02 | Where relevant, consultation would be undertaken with proponents of other nearby developments to increase the overall awareness of project timeframes and impacts. | Pre-construction Construction | Compliant | CDS-JV | This interface is ongoing and includes the relevant councils who also liaise with developers. The developments interfacing with the project and Bayside Council are Meriton and ICON on Gardeners Rd Mascot. |

Appendix C: Complaints Register

This page has been left blank intentionally

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| CC6 17 | CEW | Civil East Works | 23/08/20 17 | 1:12 AM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder called regarding noise from night work. | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke to stakeholder about her noise complaint regarding the 2nd consecutive night of OOHW on intersection Princes H'way and Campbell St. Stakeholder said she was woken by noisy work at 1.10am. VB explained project required ROL to close road and it was only issued for OOHW as less disruptive to the community. Stakeholder not satisfied that work could not happen during the day. | CDS JV (Virginia B) suggested stakeholder provide email address / home address for the project to include in weekly email updates but resident declined. | Next business day |
| CC6 18 | CEW | Civil East Works | 28/08/20 17 | 9:46 AM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the process of notifying the community and has questioned the noise modelling accuracy | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke with stakeholder and advised work the evening prior was on cnr Campbell St (east) and Princes Hwy and had been noise modelled to indicate that resident would not be impacted by high noise which is why resident was not notified. CDS JV (Martha H) advised that work would take place again tonight and will letterbox drop ear plugs. Ear plugs were delivered to resident. Stakeholder requested alternative accommodation. CDS JV (Martha H) advised that this work does not require alternative accommodation as it does not register as high noise. Resident requested criteria for alternative accommodation. CDS JV (Martha H) offered resident a meeting with enviro team to explain criteria. Resident declined meeting but asked for documentation. | CDS JV (Martha H) sent Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan to resident. Earplugs were dropped to residents potentially affected by the night work. | Same business day |
| CC6 19 | CEW | Civil East Works | 28/08/20 17 | 10:11 AM | Phone | St Peters | Bedwin Road | Stakeholder advised there was no signage re pedestrian access to Bedwin Road bridge footpath. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) thanked stakeholder and advised we would investigate immediately. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised stakeholder the footpath accross Bedwin Road bridge would be reopened for a period of time from Sunday 3 Sept. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised traffic manager of lack of signage who advised he would send someone out immediately to make signs were in place | Immedi ate |



| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | Vew M5 |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 20 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 28/08/20 17 | 10:30 AM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder was upset as he believed he had not received a response to is property damage claim. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and reminded him she had responded and spoken to him, even assisting in the completion of the Public Liability form. | CDS JV (Virginia B) set meeting with the stakeholder for 29 August 2017 for property inspection and advised the Property Management Team will now take over the investigation. | Same business day |
| CC6 21 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 28/08/20 17 | 10:45 AM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CPB JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised she had escalated his feedback to the Project managers of the St Peters Interchange site. Site Managers have agreed to: • Place posters in lunchrooms • Remind staff at toolbox talks • Address the issue pre start meetings | Issue escalated to Site Managers who have committed to: • Place posters in lunchrooms Remind staff at toolbox talks Address the issue pre start meetings | |
| CC6 22 | BEX | Bexley Tunnel | 28/08/20 17 | 12:37 PM | Phone | Earlwoo d | Forrest Avenue | Stakeholder reporting trucks driving dangerously not giving way when pulling out of the M5 construction site driveway. | CDS JV (James S): Spoke to stakeholder regarding double wheeler running another car off road. Took her information. Stakeholder not able to record information of vehicle due to safety. No accident nor injury. CDSJV (Diana V): returned call to resident to receive further information around time frame of occurrence, etc - left vm. CDSJV (Diana V) received a return call from the motorist who advised of her current situation in hospital for an illness and difficulty to speak much further about the matter at this stage. CDSJV (Diana V) informed that if in future further information could be provided about the site location and estimated time of incident the construction team may be able to identify the associated vehicle. | CDSJV (Diana V) - project teams notified to monitor movements at the site entries and reinforce the message to drivers. | Immedi ate |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| CC6 23 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 29/08/20 17 | 9:37 AM | Email | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the amount of dust in the area. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and requested an appointment be set for CDS JV (Toni F) meet. | CDS JV (Toni F) met with stakeholder and took photos of the dust and advised the stakeholder that their issues would be investigated. Using water carts, sprinklers and hoses to dampen work areas, stockpiles and vehicle routes Spraying soil-binding polymer regularly throughout the site (the polymer is biodegradable and nontoxic) Covering inactive areas and applying hydro-mulch (a slurry of mulch, seed and fertiliser) which helps to control dust by creating a grassed mat surface Sealing haul roads and hard stand areas with concrete or asphalt and installing wheel washers Building physical barriers around construction sites including noise barriers and sheds Air quality monitoring. Our monitoring shows that particulate matter from the interchange site has actually reduced since it was an active landfill Regular inspections to assess the adequacy of mitigation measures and implementing further measures if required, such as in the event of unfavourable weather conditions Stopping or altering work activities to minimise dust during high wind periods Ensuring plant and machinery are serviced regularly to reduce emissions and turning them off when not in use Reinforcing the importance of dust management in our project induction, construction planning and daily site pre-start meetings. | Same business day |
| CC6 24 | Not Related | | 29/08/20 17 | 10:36 AM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Allambee Crescent | Stakeholder requested trimming of a tree on SMC/WestConne x land. Fears roots are cracking his concrete and will seep into the drainage and sewage system. | Referred to SMC - Louise Bonny | Referred to SMC - Louise Bonny | Immedi ate |

WestConnex New M5



DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 25 | Not Related | | 30/08/20 17 | 11:30 AM | Phone | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder called and is unhappy with the noise from Reversing Beepers. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised the work is being carried out by RMS on their property at 8 Brown Street. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised stakeholder his concerns have been passed to RMS who will call him to discuss. | Referred to SMC - Louise Bonny | Immedi ate |
| CC6 26 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 30/08/20 17 | 9:41 AM | Phone | Arncliffe | | Delivery driver is unhappy with the behavior of traffic controller | CDS JV (Martha H) called and left voicemail messages to the effect that Traffic Controller was doing his job and that instructions to drivers are clear that only left turn permitted out of Arncliffe site. | As described in response | Immedi ate |
| CC6 27 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 30/08/20 17 | 6:26 PM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Virginia B) called and left msg for stakeholder to discuss concerns | As described in response | Immedi ate |
| CC6 28 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 31/08/20 17 | 3:22 PM | Phone | Kingsgro ve | Armitree Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site. | CDS JV (Diana V) called stakeholder and discussed concerns regarding noise and dust generated from breaking of concrete segments near the top of the mound. Resident was pleased with the outcome and update on dust management on site. | CDS JV (Diana V) liaised with construction teams to investigate the matter, activity relocated to an alternative area on 1/9/17. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 29 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 31/08/20 17 | 5:39 PM | Email | Kingsgro ve | Glamis Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site and has requested car wash vouchers. | CDS JV (Diana V) emailed stakeholder and advised the project team has been advised of his concerns and explained we do not provide car wash vouchers. | CDS JV (Diana V) raised the concern with project team, dust management measures in place on site as required | Immedi ate |

| | arterly C | onstruc | tion Co | moliar | | | | | | WestConnex N | • |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| | port: No. | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 30 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 31/08/20 17 | 5:52 PM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Virginia B) contacted stakeholder to make appointment to meet to discuss concerns. Message was left on voicemail. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised We genuinely try to minimise the amount of contractors and staff parking on local roads and a significant proportion of our team ride bicycles to work. The project also provides a shuttle bus service to the train station and the remainder are parking in the off-street, or on-street car parking spots. If there is an issue of an employee parking across your driveway, the City of Sydney parking ranger should be called for parking infringements anytime, any day on 02 9265 9333 or council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au. Further information is available on the website https://online.cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au/ReportIssue /IllegalParking | Immedi ate |
| CC6 31 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 30/08/20 17 | 10:28 AM | Email | St Peters | | Stakeholder would like to complain about the RMS aquistition of property | Referred to SMC - Louise Bonny | As described in response | Within 2 business days |
| CC6 32 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 1/09/201 7 | 9:48 AM | Phone | St Peters | Church street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site and to advise he had not heard back re acoustic treatement. | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke to resident who reiterated request for cleaning of car and property. CDS JV (Martha H) advised this was not reasonable as there are many neighbours in relation to our local roads work. CDS JV (Martha H) advised that street sweepers and water carts are in place. | As described in response | Immedi ate |
| CC6 33 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 28/08/20 17 | 11:56a m | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder advising of vibration in property | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised vibration monitoring would take place that day. | Vibration monitoring taking place | Same business day |
| CC6 34 | Not Related | | 30/08/20 17 | 10:28 AM | Email | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder unhappy with RMS Aquisition | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised his concerns had been passed to RMS | Forwarded to SMC | Next business day |

| Quarterly C Report: No time unuper CCC6 SPI | | ction Co | mpliar | ICE | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------|
| CC6 35 SPI | | | | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C8 |
| 35 SPI | Туре | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| 000 | St. Peters Intercha nge | 5 1/09/201 7 | 12:01 PM | Email | St Peters | Edith Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site and has requested we wash the windows of his property. | CDS JV (Martha H) called stakeholder to discuss. CDS JV (Martha H) empathised with resident and apologised. CDS JV (Martha H) assured stakeholder that measures are in place to mitigate. CDS JV (Martha H) advised that she will let site teams know that his complaint has been made. | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed site teams to advise that a dust complaint has been received; CDS JV (Martha H) acknowledged all mitigation measures are in place. CDS JV(Martha H) met with site supervisor who informed her that dust layer from the Cut and Cover site will be removed over the next week to the clay layer which will reduce the dust. | Same business day |
| 36 SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | ⁵ 1/09/201 7 | 5:26 PM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised that we genuinely try to minimise the amount of contractors and staff parking on local roads and a significant proportion of our team ride bicycles to work. The project also provides a shuttle bus service to the train station and the remainder are parking in the off-street, or on-street car parking spots. If there is an issue of an employee parking across your driveway, the City of Sydney parking ranger should be called for parking infringements anytime, any day on 02 9265 9333 or mailto:council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au | Issue raised with SPI Foreman who advised of steps the project is taking re parking: Toolbox in pre start meetings Car park in Burrows Road | 10 business days |
| CC6 37 SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 2/09/201 7 | 9:54 AM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder unhappy with the road impacts / detours | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised We genuinely try to minimise the amount of contractors and staff parking on local roads and a significant proportion of our team ride bicycles to work. The project also provides a shuttle bus service to the train station and the remainder are parking in the off-street, or on-street car parking spots. | No further action required. | Within 5 business days |
| CC6 38 SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | , 4/09/201 7 | 11:03 AM | Phone | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who advised a car was parked on the footpath in front of his property. Stakeholder believes this to be a worker from WestConnex. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised stakeholder to contact police or parking rangers. Stakeholder declined to do this. CDS JV (Yvonne V) visited stakeholder and advised if anyone is illegally parking he should call the parking ranges | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised of steps the project is taking re parking: Toolbox in pre start meetings Car park in Burrows Road | Immedi ate |
| CC6 Not 39 Related | | 4/09/201 7 | 12:42 PM | Phone | St Peters | Florence Street | Loud beeping noise from a machine in the grounds of St Peters Public | CDS JV (Martha H) advised stakeholder this was not related to the project; however her concerns would be forwarded to SMC | CDS JV (Martha H) forwarded to SMC | Immedi ate |

| | arterly C oort: No. | construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Туре | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 40 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 4/09/201 7 | 1:43 PM | Phone | St Peters | St Peters Street | Stakeholder unhappy with the road impacts / detours | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke to stakeholder (Real Estate Agent) and advised that there are no road closures coming up for the next few weekends. CDS JV (Martha H) said she would let stakeholder know if there were any closures between now and auction date 26/9/17. | No further action required. | Same business day |
| CC6 41 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 4/09/201 7 | 3:00 PM | Email(s) | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site | CDS JV (Virginia B) and CDS JV (Charles S - Enviro) visited stakeholders property to view the video and discuss the dust complaint. The video was not conclusive. CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised further to the visit to your property by Charles Scarf and myself to discuss your dust concerns the project has committed to additional dust mitigation actions including 1. We continue to use water cart routes for dust suppression 2. We have engaged a second water cart so that we can fill one while the other is doing a circuit along local roads including Campbell Road / Street. 3. We have procured a second water tank and pump trailer combo (e.g. Furphy) to increase the rate that polymer is being applied at dynamic work areas. 4. We are trialling additional sprinklers to use at excavation / tipping areas. | CDS JV (Charles S - Enviro) advised that he would refer to site supervisor for discussion. | Next business day |
| CC6 42 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 4/09/201 7 | 8:51 PM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised the project has committed to additional dust mitigation actions including 1. We continue to use water cart routes for dust suppression 2. We have engaged a second water cart so that we can fill one while the other is doing a circuit along local roads including Campbell Road / Street. 3. We have procured a second water tank and pump trailer combo (e.g. Furphy) to increase the rate that polymer is being applied at dynamic work areas. 4. We are trialling additional sprinklers to use at excavation / tipping areas. | No further action required | |
| CC6 43 | CEW | Civil East Works | 5/09/201 7 | 9:26 AM | Phone | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder believes she was not notified of road closure and lack of signage | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who was unhappy she was not advised of the street closure and believes there is in not enough signage to guide pedestrians. CDS JV (James S) spoke with stakeholder and gave information about public transport options to Silver Street given her pregnancy | Provided information on public transport options | Immedi ate |

| <u> </u> | ortorby | | tion Co | molie | | | | | | WestConnex | Vew M5 |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpilar | ice | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 44 | CEW | Civil East Works | 5/09/201 7 | 9:55 AM | Email | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder concerned about safety issues on the footpath of Campbell and surrounding Streets. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised we will be working to remedy any issues of safety she has raised. CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised work took place today on Church Street to assess the footpaths and secure the steel plates. We are carrying out further work on the footpaths around Campbell Street over the coming week. In addition to this, I have received your complaint in relation to visibility of the pedestrian crossing near Church Street. Street sweeping will take also place along Campbell Street with a focus on the pedestrian crossing | Area Superintendant advised of the concerns and will continue to address any issues of safety identified | Within 2 business days |
| CC6 45 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 5/09/201 7 | 11:55 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who advised of dust coming from SPI site onto the businesses across the road. Stakeholder advised he was calling on behalf of 24,26,28 & 30 Burrows Road. CDS JV (Yvonne V) thanked stakeholder for letting us know of the issue and advised we would investigate and call him back. CDS JV (Martha H) advised that the project team has taken his concerns seriously and that further mitigation measures were being implemented following procurement. Stakeholder thanked the project for the added action items. | CDS JV to implement extra mitigation measures including another water cart, water tank and pump to increase polymer work, additional sprinklers, hoses and misters. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 46 | Not Related | | 6/09/201 7 | 9:16 AM | Email | St Peters | Unwins Bridge Road | Stakeholder is unhappy the pedestrian crossing at Unwins Bridge Road has been removed. | CDS JV (Martha H) advised resident that the New M5 team is not responsible for the asphalting over of the crossing. | Not related to this project. Inner West Council has been advised. | Same business day |
| CC6 47 | CEW | Civil East Works | 6/09/201 7 | 10:08 AM | Email | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder concerned about safety issues on the footpath of Campbell and surrounding Streets. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised of the work that has taken place to resolve the issue. | No further action required. | within one week |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc 6. 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 48 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 6/09/201 7 | 10:55 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of dust coming from with work site | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke with business owner regarding his concerns and advised we will stop work and send a water cart immediately to the area. CDS JV (Martha H) called business owner back to advise of action taken. Business owner stated he could see water cart in place and thanked project for quick response. | CDS JV (Martha H) contacted project manager who stopped work immediately and sent water cart to area. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 49 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 6/09/201 7 | 11:07 AM | Phone | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Martha H) listened to stakeholder explain that some cars who stakeholder believes belong to WestConnex New M5 workers were parked illegally on Barwon Park Rd. Stakeholder said she has direct access to Council parking rangers. CDS JV (Martha H) suggested that if a car is parked illegally, the stakeholder should contact the Parking Rangers. | No further action required. | Same business day |
| CC6 50 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 6/09/201 7 | 3:49 PM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers parking in the street. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised We genuinely try to minimise the amount of contractors and staff parking on local roads and a significant proportion of our team ride bicycles to work. The project also provides a shuttle bus service to the train station and the remainder are parking in the off-street, or on-street car parking spots. If there is an issue of an employee parking across your driveway, the City of Sydney parking ranger should be called for parking infringements anytime, any day on 02 9265 9333 or council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au. Further information is available on the website https://online.cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au/ReportIssue/IllegalParking | No further action required. | |
| CC6 51 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 6/09/201 7 | 8:45 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Martha H) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) regarding possible impact to stakeholders from tunnel work. CDS JV (Mario B) is investigating concerns and will advise. | |
| CC6 52 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 1:25 AM | Email | St Peters | Mary Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) regarding possible impact to stakeholders from tunnel work. CDS JV (Mario B) is investigating concerns and will advise. | |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| CC6 53 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 6:22 AM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 54 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 12:28 PM | Phone | St Peters | Grove Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wc CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 55 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 2:32 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (James S) advised Stakeholder he would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 56 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 4:49 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wc CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 57 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 5:30 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wc CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 58 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 5:31 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 59 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 5:44 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Stakeholder she would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 60 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 7:17 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised we are investigating the origin of the noise. Stakeholder advised he could not hear the noise now. | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wc CDS JV (M advise. |

M5N-ES-RPT-PWD-0032

WestConnex New M5



IICA NE

DRAGADOS

SAMSUNG C&T

| Action taken | Response time |
|--|------------------|
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| <pre>/vonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from ork.</pre> | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| | Devrision 00 |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|
| CC6 61 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 7/09/201 7 | 7:54 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised we are investigating the origin of the noise. | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo |
| | | | | | | | | work | Stakeholder advised he could not hear the noise now. | CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 62 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha | 8/09/201 7 | 8:33 AM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised we are investigating the origin of the noise. | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo |
| | | nge | | | | | | coming from the work | Stakeholder advised he could not hear the noise now. | CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 63 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha | 8/09/201 7 | | | St Peters | Unwins Bridge Road | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised we are investigating the origin of the noise. | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo |
| 05 | | nge | / | | | Peters | KUdu | coming from the work | Stakeholder advised he could not hear the noise now. | CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 64 | Not Related | | 8/09/201 7 | 2:03 PM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder unhappy with dust in gutters | Community Relations Coordinator phoned the stakeholder to advise that the project is not authorised to provide street sweepers on public roads that are not within the project boundary. | No furthe |
| | | | | | | | | on the Highway | The stakeholder was advised to contact the council. | |
| CC6 65 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 8/09/201 7 | 12:55 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised we are investigating the origin of the noise. | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M |
| | | | | | | | | | | advise. CDS JV (Yv |
| CC6 66 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha | 8/09/201 7 | 1:09 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Facebook post | CDS JV (Yvonne V) called stakeholder and left message to call back. | regarding tunnel wo |
| | | nge | | | | | | | | CDS JV (M advise. |
| CC6 67 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 8/09/201 7 | 2:16 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (James S) advised Stakeholder he would investigate and provide a response | CDS JV (Yv regarding tunnel wo CDS JV (M |
| | | | | | | | | Stakeholder | | advise. |
| CC6 68 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 8/09/201 7 | 4:27 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | advising he can hear noise coming from the | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and clarified what work can take place during certain times. | Stakehold action nee |
| | | | | | | | | work | Eg: Louder work can take place between 8am - 6pm | |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T

| Action taken | Response time |
|--|------------------|
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| er action required. | Immedi ate |
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| Yvonne V) spoke with CDS JV (Mario B) g possible impact to stakeholders from vork. | |
| Mario B) is investigating concerns and will | |
| lder happy with the response - no further eeded | Immedi ate |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 69 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 8/09/201 7 | 5:02 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and clarified what work can take place during certain times. Eg: Louder work can take place between 8am - 6pm | Stakeholder happy with the response - no further action needed | Immedi ate |
| CC6 70 | Not Related | | 9/09/201 7 | 8:09 PM | Phone | Newtow n | Gowrie Street | Stakeholder called on behalf of the residents of Florence street regarding water interuption. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised the water situation is being dealt with by Sydney Water and she should refer to their website or call centre. | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC6 71 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/09/20 17 | 9:41 PM | Email | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder is unhappy about a street closure, restricted parking in the street & water interuptions | CDS JV (Martha H) listened to resident and stated that she understood how he must feel 'construction fatigued' . Martha stated that resident can call her anytime regarding noisy vehicles but in this instance, they were not to do with New M5 work. | CDS JV (Martha H) also offered resident parking in the Community Centre carpark. | Within 2 business days |
| CC6 72 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/09/20 17 | 9:52 AM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder advising he can hear noise coming from the work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and made an appointment to attended residence to conduct vibration monitoring. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) attended residence with Enviro (Paris) - vibrational work was not happening so baseline vibration test was completed and stakeholder was advised we will retest if required. CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised of different types of work that can be done during certain hours. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 73 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/09/20 17 | 12:44 PM | Email | St Peters | Applebee Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dirt on the road | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised we will be working to remedy any issues of safety she has raised. CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised work took place today on Church Street to assess the footpaths and secure the steel plates. We are carrying out further work on the footpaths around Campbell Street over the coming week. In addition to this, I have received your complaint in relation to visibility of the pedestrian crossing near Church Street. Street sweeping will take also place along Campbell Street with a focus on the pedestrian crossing | Site superintendant will continue to address any issues of safety as they arise. | Next business day |

| Qua | arterly C | construc | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | SAMSUNG |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| Rep | oort: No. | . 5 | | | 1 | 1 | Γ | Γ | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 74 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/09/20 17 | 4:35 PM | Email | St Peters | Applebee Street | Stakeholder has reiterated that the footpaths have gravel on them and she believes this is unsafe for her daughter. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised we will be working to remedy any issues of safety she has raised. CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised work took place today on Church Street to assess the footpaths and secure the steel plates. We are carrying out further work on the footpaths around Campbell Street over the coming week. In addition to this, I have received your complaint in relation to visibility of the pedestrian crossing near Church Street. Street sweeping will take also place along Campbell Street with a focus on the pedestrian crossing | Site superintendant will continue to address any issues of safety as they arise. | |
| CC6 75 | Not Related | | 11/09/20 17 | 9:20 PM | Phone | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noisy work at night | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised Sydney Water is working in the street. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) provided the link to Sydney Water Outage so stakeholder could track issue. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 76 | Not Related | | 11/09/20 17 | 9:35 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noisy work at night | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and advised Sydney Water is working in the street. | CDS JV (Martha H)provided the link to Sydney Water Outage so stakeholder could track issue. | Next business day |
| CC6 77 | Not Related | | 11/09/20 17 | 9:40 PM | Phone | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noisy work at night | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised Sydney Water is working in the street. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) provided the link to Sydney Water Outage so stakeholder could track issue. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 78 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 11/09/20 17 | 9:44 PM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Caloola Crescent | Stakeholder is unhappy with the noise coming from the construction site | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with stakeholder and informed that noise monitoring had been undertaken to assess the noise levels generated by the tunnel ventilation unit at the source and near his property, as previously stated, it is within required limits. CDS JV (Diana V) informed resident that if he is referring the noise from the units, they are eventually going to be installed underground within the coming months and so any impacts being experienced are only short term. Resident commented that the noise is similar to what was previously heard several months ago from a local factory and he will follow up with the council to investigate that particular source of noise also. | CR referred the matter to the project team for their reference. No further action required | Next business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC6 79 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 11/09/20 17 | 9:58 PM | Email | Not provide d | | Stakeholder is unhappy with the noise coming from the construction site | CDS JV (Diana V) emailed stakeholder and informed that noise monitoring had been undertaken to assess the noise levels generated by the tunnel ventilation unit at the source and near his property, as previously stated, it is within required limits. CDS JV (Diana V) informed resident that if he is referring the noise from the units, they are eventually going to be installed underground within the coming months and so any impacts being experienced are only short term. Resident commented that the noise is similar to what was previously heard several months ago from a local factory and he will follow up with the council to investigate that particular source of noise also. | CR referred the matter to the project team for their reference. No further action required | Within |
| CC6 80 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 12/09/20 17 | 8:40 AM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust on his car and requested \$50 compensation | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke with stakeholder and explained that we have dust mitigation measures in place and was starting to explain the measures when he interrupted and said that 'clearly they aren't working'. CDS JV (Virginia B) advised that the project does not offer compensation. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC6 81 | Not Related | | 12/09/20 17 | 11:16 AM | Email | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder unhappy with noisy work at night | CDS JV (Sarah P) spoke to stakeholder and advised that Sydney Water were carrying out repair work overnight. The New M5 project did not have a night work team on site during this work. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC6 82 | Not Related | | 12/09/20 17 | 11:15 PM | Email | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder unhappy with water outage and has requested bottled water be supplied or reimubursed. | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and advised Sydney Water is working in the street; however we will reimburse for bottled water. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC6 83 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 13/09/20 17 | 9:47 AM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the dust leaving the site. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and then visited premises to discuss his concerns and advise what dust mitigation measures were being taken. | Work ceased immediately | Same business day |
| CC6 84 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 13/09/20 17 | 10.21 AM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder dissatisfied with New M5 property noise treatment offer | CDS JV (Virginia B) advised Stakeholder on 13/9/17 that the project team can only offer respective treatment as identified in the noise model and we are not in a position to provide any further treatment that is not within our scope of works. I referred your feedback to the property team on 25 August and they have escalated your concern to Sydney Motorway Corporation/RMS. The project team and our engaged consultants (Engineering Client Services) are not in a position to discuss temperature control as our treatment offers are purely aimed at noise abatement. M5N-ES-RPT-PWD-0032 | CDS JV (VB) spoke with CDS JV property team Kelwin S to confirm stakeholders concerns were escalated to SMC / RMS. | Immedi ate Revision 00 |

Commercial in Confidence - Printed copies are uncontrolled

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| CC6 85 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 13/09/20 17 | 10:30 AM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the dust leaving the site. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder to discuss the issues, stakeholder would like a visit to the business to discuss further. | CDS JV (Toni F) and Environment Advisor informed the stakeholder the issues raised would be discussed with the foreman on site and a decision would be made regarding more mitigation measures that could be put into place. Work was ceased. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 86 | Not Related | | 13/09/20 17 | 10:30 AM | Phone | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the behaviour of the traffic controller | CDS JV (Sarah P) spoke with stakeholder and advised the traffic controller in question was not working on the New M5 Project. | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC6 87 | CEW | Civil East Works | 13/09/20 17 | 1:20 PM | Email | Alexand ria | Gardeners Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the dust leaving the site. | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and advised misters would be implimented immediately | Misters implimented | Same business day |
| CC6 88 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 13/09/20 17 | 6:00 PM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust leaving the site. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised. We have listened to your feedback and in addition to the current dust mitigation actions the project teams will; Continue water cart routes for dust suppression Procure a second water cart to circuit along Campbell Road Procure a second water tank and pump trailer combo to increase the rate that polymer can be applied at dynamic work areas. Procure additional misters and supporting equipment for excavation & amp; tipping areas Procuring additional 1" hose to facilitate additional spot dust suppression / coverage. | No further action required | Within 5 business days |
| CC6 89 | CEW | Civil East Works | 14/09/20 17 | 2:30 PM | Phone | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the behaviour of the traffic controller | CDS JV (Sarah P) spoke with stakeholder and apologised for the confusion experienced. Informed the caller that a toolbox is scheduled to take place with our traffic control personnel on Thursday 21 September. Stakeholder was advised WWorker behaviour and consideration to local residents will be a topic covered in this session. | Worker behavior toolboxed at next prestart meeting | Same business day |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUN SAMSUNG C&T

| Quarterity Construction Compliance Report. No. 5 The Re | ORAGADOS SAMSUNG C | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------|-------------|---------------------|
| CCG Ann Anclife 14/09/2D 2-53 Phone Anclife Markholder Stakeholder roppring CDS // (Virginal) Construction required CCG Ann Turiele 14/09/2D 2-53 Phone Ancliffe Stakeholder CDS // (Virginal) CDS // (Virginal) No further action required CCG CEW Civil Esst 15/09/2D 6-29 Email Stakeholder is undarps with dut leaving roper Stakeholder is undarps with dut leaving roper CDS // (Virginal) | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | | | | | nce | nplian | tion Cor | | | |
| Krige Andelfi Ideal Set in the set | Response time | Action taken | Response | Complaint description | Location of complaint | Suburb complaint relates to | Complaint channel | Time received | Date received | Туре | Sub-Project | Complaint number |
| CCG 91CWW Works15/09/20 Works6-29 17EmailSt PetersCampbell Steetunhappy with dust earing he site.CDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised the team are investigating options.no response. Stakeholder VM clearly states do not requesting he call back.CG6 92CFWWorks127PMPhoneMasceGardeners RoadStakeholder is unhappy with out placeCDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised we were not working in the area and the winartoin he could feel vas mostlikNo further action requiredCG6 93ARNNrmcliffe18/09/20 172.43 PMPhoneMascetGardeners RoadStakeholder is unhappy with work placeCDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised we were not working in the area and the winartoin he could feel vas mostlikNo further action requiredCG6 93ARNNrmcliffe18/09/20 173.48 PMEmailArneliffeFlora StreetStakeholder is to stakeholder is rom bissting at the Arneliffe stillCDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised of the tunnel working in the area and the stakeholder and advised of the cunnel tes most is and is assisted with response.CDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised of the cunnel vertistion unit stillade with response.CDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised of the cunnel vertistion unit stillade with response.CDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised of the cunnel vertistion stalled with response.CDS // Virgina iB penalied stakeholder and advised of the cunnel vertistion unit stillade with response. | Same busines day | No further action required | the stakeholder that they would email a PDF version the following | reporting vibrations in the floor. Sound was so loud that caller is unsure if its coming from roadworks or | Marsh Street | Arncliffe | Phone | | | | ARN | |
| CC6 92CWCWEval Works18/09/2018/1018/09/2018/10Phone MascoMascotGardeners Roadunhapy with with vibration at his work placeworking in the area and the wbration he could feel was most likely from the construction site across the road from his work place whichNo further action requiredCC6 93ARNArncliffe 1718/09/203:48 PMEmailArncliffe PMFlora StreetStakeholder and feel vibration at the Arncliffe siteCDS JV (Martha H) called stakeholder and explained that there has only equirements to install a sire and lights and that there has only satisfied with response.CDS JV (Wonne V) spoke with Enviro (Mikeala M) and advised of the complaint to begin investigation.CC6 94KINKingsgro Ve Tunnel18/09/203:48 PMEmailArncliffe Flora StreetStakeholder and stakeholder site and the Arncliffe siteCDS JV (Ubina V) spoke with stakeholder and advised of the tunnel ventilation unit situated at the spoil shed in Garema Circuit that the | s do not | no response. Stakeholder VM clearly states do not leave a VM message - CDS JV (Virginia B) sent a tex | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised the team are | unhappy with dust leaving the | • | | Email | | | | CEW | |
| CC6 93ARNArncliffe Tunel18/09/20 173:48 PMEmail PMArncliffe Flora StreetFlora Streetfiele vibration | Next busines day | No further action required | working in the area and the vibration he could feel was most likely from the construction site across the road from his work place which | unhappy with vibration at his | | Mascot | Phone | | | | CEW | |
| CC6 94 KIN 94 Kingsgro ve 18/09/20 Tunnel 18/09/20 17 9:58 9:58 Phone Kingsgro ve Armitree Street Stakeholder on stakeholder Stakeholder ve CDS-JV (Diana V) confirmed that noise monitoring has been undertaken over the time that the unit Noise monitoring will be undertaken tonight at the forth of the resident's property and further feedback will be provided the following day. CDS-JV (Diana V) confirmed that noise monitoring has been undertaken over the time that the unit CDS-JV (Diana V) confirmed that noise monitoring has been undertaken over the time that the unit Noise monitoring will be undertaken tonight at the forth of the resident's property and further feedback will be provided the following day. CDS-JV (Diana V) confirmed that the fan is installed underground. Stakeholder was not receptive to the offer and demanded relocation or the noise from the ventilation fan to be suppressed immediately, or alternatively for the matter to be escalated with the construction managers. Stakeholder nat the previous day, expressing appreciation for the follow up and | - I nusines | | requirements to install a siren and lights and that there has only been one other enquiry about the blasting. Resident was not | feel vibration from blasting at | Flora Street | Arncliffe | Email | | | | ARN | |
| CC6 94Kingsgro ve Tunnel18/09/20 179:58 AMPhoneKingsgro ve PhoneKingsgro ve PhoneStakeholder and poise coming from siteundertaken over the time that the unitfront of the resident's property and further feedback will be provided the following day.CC6 94KIN9:58 AM9:58 AMPhoneKingsgro veStakeholder and poise coming from siteCDS-JV (Diana V) empathised with resident, offering to provide Bose noise cancelling ear phone and further hearing protection ear plugs until such time that the fan is installed underground.CDS-JV (Diana V) contacted stakeholder and informed of noise monitoring undertaken last night which demonstrated that the noise generated from the tunnel ventilation fan to be suppressed immediately, or alternatively for the matter to be escalated with the construction managers.CDS-JV (Diana V) suggested that she can arrange a meeting with the ody, expressing appreciation for the follow up and | | declined by stakeholder | ventilation unit situated at the spoil shed in Garema Circuit that the noise may be related to. | | | | | | | | | |
| resident and the construction manager to further understand the consideration by CDS-JV. | r y. d Same last night busines ited from day ement was dible last revious | front of the resident's property and further feedback will be provided the following day. CDS-JV (Diana V) contacted stakeholder and informed of noise monitoring undertaken last night which demonstrated that the noise generated from the tunnel ventilation unit and crane movement wa well within the required limits. Stakeholder noted that no sounds were audible last night and apologised for his attitude the previous | undertaken over the time that the unit CDS-JV (Diana V) empathised with resident, offering to provide Bose noise cancelling ear phone and further hearing protection ear plugs until such time that the fan is installed underground. Stakeholder was not receptive to the offer and demanded relocation or the noise from the ventilation fan to be suppressed immediately, or alternatively for the matter to be escalated with the construction managers. CDS-JV (Diana V) suggested that she can arrange a meeting with the resident and the construction manager to further understand the | unhappy with noise coming | Armitree Street | | Phone | | | ve | KIN | |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| CC6 95 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 20/09/20 17 | 12:30 PM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder unhappy with dust coming from site | Stakeholder advised there was an excess of dust through the new building and it has affected the brand new air-conditioning unit in the building, the air filters are very dirty would like to know what we are able to do. | Community Coordinator advised the stakeholder that they would discuss the issues raised with the foreman and look at some more mitigation measures that could be put into place. | Immedi ate |
| CC6 96 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 20/09/20 17 | 1:34 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder can feel vibration from blasting at the Arncliffe site | CDS JV (Martha H) called stakeholder and explained that there are no requirements to install a siren and lights and that there has only been one other enquiry about the blasting. Resident was not satisfied with response. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with Enviro (Mikeala M) and advised of the complaint to begin investigation. | Same business day |
| CC6 97 | Not Related | | 21/09/20 17 | 3:11 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Mitchell Road | Stakeholder unhappy with noise coming from SPI site | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised there was not work in the SPI last night. The only work undertaken last night was tunneling & advised tunnel was heading in the opposite direction to the stakeholder. Stakeholder thankful for the call back. | No further action requried | Same business day |
| CC6 98 | Not Related | | 21/09/20 17 | 7:47 AM | Phone | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder unhappy with noise coming from SPI site | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised there was not work in the SPI last night. The only work undertaken last night was tunneling & advised tunnel was heading in the opposite direction to the stakeholder. Stakeholder thankful for the call back. | No further action requried | Same business day |
| CC6 99 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 20/09/20 17 | 2:52 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder can feel vibration from blasting at the Arncliffe site | CDS JV (Martha H) called stakeholder and explained that there are no requirements to install a siren and lights and that there has only been one other enquiry about the blasting. Resident was not satisfied with response. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with Enviro (Mikeala M) and advised of the complaint to begin investigation. | Same business day |
| CC7 00 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/09/20 17 | 4:17 PM | Email | St Peters | St Peters St | Stakeholder is unhappy with the dust in the area | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised we are continuing to address dust in the area and have recently acquired a water cart specifically for this area, increasing the use of the water cart will assist to reduce the amount of dust being produced. We will continue to monitor the situation in his area to ensure the mitigation is effective. | No further action requried | Next business day |
| CC7 01 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/09/20 17 | 4:59 PM | Phone | Alexand ria | Gardeners Road | Stakeholder has concerns about property access | CDS JV (James S) spoke with stakeholder and advised the following: * Spoke with foremen from Mertion and Icon construction complex (opposite WestConnex site) and advised workers are not park on our site due to safety reasons. | No further action requried | Within 3 business days |

WestConnex New M5

DRAGADOS



SAMSUN SAMSUNG C&T

| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | ICE | | | | | WestConnex CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | New M5 |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 02 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/09/20 17 | 9:22 PM | Phone | Alexand ria | Belmont Street | Stakeholder could hear noise from the Euston Road site | CDS JV (James S) called and advised work was permitted until midnight as per the notification. Stakeholder thankful for the call. | No further action requried | Next business day |
| CC7 03 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/09/20 17 | 7:41 AM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder unhappy as she believes she was not notified of street closure | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised notification was dropped to all residents in Church Street. | No further action requried | Same business day |
| CC7 04 | CEW | Civil East Works | 22/09/20 17 | 1:17 PM | Email | Alexand ria | McEvoy Street | Stakeholder unhappy as she believes she was not notified of work in the area | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised of site estabishment and provided notification that was previously dopped to her area, | No further action requried | Next business day |
| CC7 05 | CEW | Civil East Works | 22/09/20 17 | 1:04 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder sent email regarding road impacts / detours & safety concerns on Campbell/Hutchi nson Street | Email sent to DPE to advise of work in the area and the time line for issues to be addressed. | Safety concern has been rectified and closed out. | Same business day |
| CC7 06 | CEW | Civil East Works | 22/09/20 17 | 1:17 PM | Email | Alexand ria | McEvoy Street | Stakeholder sent email directly to DPE re: is unhappy she believes she was not advised of work site establishment. | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised of site estabishment and provided notification that was previously dopped to her area, | No further action requried | Next business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | | WestConn | ex New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGA | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC7 07 | CEW | Civil East Works | 22/09/20 17 | 7:11 PM | Email | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder is unhappy Bedwin Road footpath was not reopened on 21 September as notified. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised Our traffic team encountered some issues on the night which prevented the reopening of the footpath. We are seeking approvals at the moment to obtain a road occupancy licence to have this work carried out during a day time shift this week. | No further action requried | | Next business day |
| CC7 08 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 25/09/20 17 | 12:44 PM | Phone | st Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is concerned about vibration | CDS JV (Yvonne V) called stakeholder to advise vibratory roller being used and has now ceased. Previous modelling indicates work is within scope of project. This work needs to take place intermittently; however will not be needed again until next week. Stakeholder happy with the response. | No further action requried | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 09 | CEW | Civil East Works | 26/09/20 17 | 3:15 PM | Phone | Alexand ria | Euston Road | Has issue with night works as they have their call centre on site and staff are unable to access the building | CDS JV (James S)spoke with stakeholder. Explained night works would be postponed. | No further action requried | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 10 | CEW | Civil East Works | 26/09/20 17 | 7:12 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder sent email regarding road impacts / detours on Campbell/Hutchi nson Street | Email sent to DPE to advise of work in the area and advised stakeholder had received this information in the weekly update. | No further action requried | | Same business day |

| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | ice | | | | | WestConnex P | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 11 | CEW | Civil East Works | 27/09/20 17 | 3:15 PM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder reported an altercation between two traffic management officers at the intersection of May St and Princes Hwy. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who advised two traffic controllers were arguing in their vehicle while sitting at the lights on Princes Highway and May Street St Peters. The lights went green and the vehicle did not move as the traffic controllers were so caught up in the argument they didn't realise the lights had changed. This held up the traffic for some time. Stakeholder commented that the traffic control vehicles regularly hold up traffic by driving 20km per hr. CDS JV (Yvonne V) thanked stakeholder for the feedback and advised this would be escalated to the Traffic Manager and the Traffic Control company. Stakeholder thankful for the call back. | CDS JV Traffic Engineer (Juan S) has been briefed and will address this with the Traffic Control company. | Next business day |
| CC7 12 | CEW | Civil East Works | 27/09/20 17 | 4:43 PM | Phone | Alexand ria | McEvoy Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers playing the radio too loudly. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who advised workers were playing loud music in the site compound near his residence. CDS JV (Yvonne V) apologised for the disturbance and advised this will be addressed with the workers. | Issue raised with CDS JV (Mike McKenzie) who advised this will be tool boxed at the prestart meeting. | Immedi ate |
| CC7 13 | CEW | Civil East Works | 27/09/20 17 | 7:20 PM | Phone | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy that water has been turned off without notice. | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke with stakeholder and advised our workers have exposed the water main in church and identified it was leaking and immediately called Sydney water who sent engineer to assess. | Sydney water turned off water 7pm and it was back on at 7.15pm. | Immedi ate |
| CC7 14 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 28/09/20 17 | 11:40 AM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke with stakeholder and advised of dust mitigation in place including: * Water carts * Polymer spray Stakeholder believes this is inadequate & CDS JV (Martha H) has agreed to visit business to discuss. | CDS JV (Martha H) to arrange an appointment to visit stakeholder. | Immedi ate |
| CC7 15 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 29/09/20 17 | 1:28 PM | Email | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (Martha H) visited business owner to discuss issue. CDS JV (Martha H) explained that the project is fulfilling its EPA requirements but recognises that the issue is not satisfactory for neighbours. CDS JV (Martha H) explained that further mitigation measures will be implemented. | No further action requried | Within 4 business days |

| | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| CC7 16 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 29/09/20 17 | 1:34 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with odour coming from the site | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised | As we excavate areas on this very large site, we will find pockets of unexpected leachate. We act on this immediately but odour may already have been released; the odour can be pervasive and linger after our controls are in place. We expect that other areas of leachate may be encountered on which we will act immediately to mitigate. As work progresses, we will be capping each area until the site is completely capped and the landfill can be formally closed. Until the landfill closure is completed, we will continue to implement measures to minimise potential odour impacts to the local community. Measures include: • Daily monitoring • Applying odour suppressants • Pumping leachate to the treatment plant on site • Earthworks to minimise leachate collecting in the landfill The EPA are aware of any odour issues relating to the St Peters Interchange site and are provided with a daily report. | Same business day |
| CC7 17 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 29/09/20 17 | 4:03 PM | Phone | Arncliffe | Valda Avenue | Stakeholder is unhappy with the vibration from the work site | CS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who was concerned about the vibration coming from the Arncliffe site blasts.CS JV (Yvonne V) advised stakeholder that vibration monitoring had taken place and the results were within the expected scope of work.Stakeholder accepted this but was not happy that the blasting will continue but accepted we had completed the monitoring. | Enviro (Mikaela M) advised | Immedi ate |
| CC7 18 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 29/09/20 17 | 4:30 PM | Phone | Kingsgro ve | Armitree Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the stockpile of soil behind her yard. | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke with the stakehoder and advised she would follow up with the project team in relation to the issues raised. | Following contact with (Martha H), CR for Kingsgrove (Diana V) followed up on the matter with project team - following measures are being implemented – Additional dust monitoring on 5/10/17 (compliant levels) and reapplication of polymer seal on batter of the mound. | Next business day |

SAMSUN CPB DRAGADOS SAMSUNG C&T

WestConnex New M5

| | arterly (port: No | Construc | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex T | New M5 |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| Complaint | ect | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Besponse Response | Additionally water carts continuously spraying haul roads and areas of site | ti Response ti Response |
| CC7 19 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 29/09/20 17 | 6:09 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed the stakeholder and advised the hot dry weather has the potential to increase dust production if not successfully managed. The most effective measure to reduce dust production around the Campbell Street, May Street and Bedwin Road area is use of a water cart. For this reason we have acquired an addition water cart to ensure we can maintain a regular service on exposed areas of soil. We continuously liaise with the EPA to develop procedures to mitigate dust impacts. | CDS JV (Sarah P) spoke with Site Superintendant to ensure dust mitigation measures are in place. | Within 2 business days |
| CC7 20 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 1/10/201 7 | 9:35 AM | Phone | Sydenha m | Yelverton Stree t | Stakeholder is concerned about noise they can hear | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and explained what the stakeholder could hear was ground borne noise and explained they would hear this for the next few weeks. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised letterbox dropped had notified. Stakeholder was understanding and acknowledged they had received the notification. | No further action requried | Same business day |
| CC7 21 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 2/10/201 7 | 6:15 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder is concerned about noise they could hear during the day and wanted to ensure this would not | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who confirmed he could no longer hear any noise from tunnelling work; however wanted to make a complaint as he was afraid the noise would continue. | No further action requried | Same business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 22 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 2/10/201 7 | 6:11 PM | Phone | St Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder is concerned about noise they can hear | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and confirmed with him the noise from tunnelling had stopped. Stakeholder requested the issue be escalated and he receive a call back from "Management". CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised his concerns would be forwarded to CDS JV (Martha H) for a call back on Tuesday. CDS JV (Martha H) spoke with stakeholder and apologised for the disruption to his public holiday and assured resident that tunnelling jackhammering will not occur outside of standard construction hours and not on Sundays or public holidays. CDS JV (Martha H) advised resident that should he experience any intrusive noise outside of these hours, please contact the out of hours staff immediately. | No further action requried | Next business day |
| CC7 23 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 2/10/201 7 | 7:09 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and advised we have been investigating by checking our time lapse cameras and can't see any dust to ascertain the source. Our shaft crews (closest to the surface and who we thought could have created some dust) were not working over the weekend so again the source is unclear. Having said that, we have spoken to the water cart operators and asked them to be extra vigilant with watering down the entrance to the site. | CDS JV (Martha H) spoke to the water cart operators and asked them to be extra vigilant with watering down the entrance to the site. | Next business day |
| CC7 24 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 3/10/201 7 | 8:17 AM | Email | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with flashing lights on traffic control vehicle | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised the lights had been turned off | No further action requried | Immedi ate |
| CC7 25 | CEW | Civil East Works | 3/10/201 7 | 9:27 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Euston Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised, after receiving your phone call, the site supervisor and head engineer was called to increase dust suppression measures. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with Engineer (James C) advising of dust complaint. Engineer (James C) advised Site Foreman (Mike Mc) is now aware and taking steps to mitigate dust. | Immedi ate |
| CC7 26 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 3/10/201 7 | 11:15 AM | Phone | Not provide d | Not Provided | Stakeholder is concerned about noise they can hear | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder who was unhappy with noise from tunnelling work. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised she would investigate and call stakeholder back to advise. Stakeholder swore and said don't bother. | No further action requried | Immedi ate |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| CC7 27 | Not Related | | 4/10/201 7 | 4:17 PM | Email | Alexand ria | Belmont Street | Stakeholder advised worker is blowing dust and debri into her property | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised this work is not related to the New M5 Project and provided Sydney Water contact details | No further action requried | Next business day |
| CC7 28 | Not Related | | 5/10/201 7 | 8:52 AM | Email | Alexand ria | O'Riordan Street | Stakeholder is concerned about noise they can hear | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised the work is not related to the New M5 | No further action requried | Same business day |
| CC7 29 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 5/10/201 7 | 10:06 AM | Phone | Kingsgro ve | Flat Rock Road | Stakeholder is concerned about worker behaviour | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with property owner and received approval to enter premises to investigate | CDS JV Environmental Advisor (Craig G) contacted the resident and advised CDS JV filled in the service pit as planned and queried the current situation on his side of the fence with the recent rain. The resident was happy that nothing had happened on his side since the last conversation. CDS JV Environmental Advisor (Craig G) asked if there was anything he needed for CDS JV to close out the event. The resident confirmed he was happy and that there is nothing further required on his side and was thankful for the actions taken. | Same business day |
| CC7 30 | Not Related | Not Related | 5/10/201 7 | 3:10 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder believes the Optus outage to her home is caused by New M5 Project | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised Utilities Provider issue is at fault. | CDS JV (Martha H) checked with Utilities Manager (Fergal) who advised we are not aware of any service disruption for Optus in the area. CDS JV (Yvonne V) checked website https://www.optus.com.au/about/network/service- status which shows no service disruptions in the area. | Same business day |
| CC7 31 | BEX | Bexley Tunnel | 6/10/201 7 | 12:16 PM | Facebo ok | Not provide d | Not Provided | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from tunnelling | CDS JV (Diana V) emailed stakeholder and provided general information regarding tunnels. (Stakeholder has not provided address) | CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised Enviro (Craig G) | Next business day |

SAMSUNG DRAGADOS CPB SAMSUNG C&T


WestConnex New M5

| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex M CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 32 | CEW | Civil East Works | 6/10/201 7 | 12:56 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust coming from the site | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised she was at the Bedwin Road and May Street intersection at about 10:30am today and walked along Campbell Street up to Princes Highway to inspect the sites. CDS JV (Sarah P) explained the exposed soil in this area has been sprayed with polymer to bind the surface together. It is difficult for the workers to spray in certain precise locations due the space constraints and size of the machinery used. Workers also need to be careful using the polymer when it is windy as they risk making contact with passing cars causing damage. CDS JV (Sarah P) advised she will ask the water carts to reassess the polymer covered sites to wet any areas that the polymer spray could not reach. Also advised we are working to reduce dust leaving site where possible. It is an active construction site so eliminating all dust is unlikely even with the tightest controls. | CDS JV (Sarah P) followed up with Superintendent re watercarts | Next business day |
| CC7 33 | CEW | Civil East Works | 9/10/201 7 | 10:35 AM | DPE | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy VMS sign has incorrect street name eg Campbell Street not Campbell Road. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and apologised for any confusion this may have caused and advised the sign would be corrected to reflect Campbell Road closure. | No further action requried | Same business day |

| | arterly (oort: No | Construc o. 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | WestConnex New M5 PBB DRAGADOS SAMSUNG CA |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 34 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/10/20 17 | 11:11 AM | Phone | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder was unhappy that a Semi-Trailer Truck for WestConnex used driveway Stakeholder also unhappy with closure of Barwon Park Rd and access only from Princes Hwy re: Campbell Street | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke to stakeholder regarding his feedback on Saturday Campbell St closure | No further action requried | Same busines day |
| CC7 35 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/10/20 17 | 12:41 PM | Email | St Peters | Silver Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with dust leaving the site. | CS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised areas of exposed soil around the Bedwin Road and May Street intersection, along Campbell Street and at the St Peters interchange site at the old landfill, were sprayed with a polymer coating on Saturday 7 October in the areas we are not currently working in. This polymer binds the surface of the soil together to reduce the level of dust leaving the sites. Water carts are in operation across the sites to wet any areas of exposed soil that are not coated in polymer. We also have street sweepers who carry out up to 4 laps per day around the new St Peters interchange site, Campbell Street and adjacent streets. We are working hard to reduce the level of dust leaving the construction areas. However our site is an active construction site so eliminating all dust is unlikely despite our tight controls. A recent audit of our work by the independent Environmental Representative has noted that our dust mitigation measures are effective. | No further action required | Next busines day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | lew M5 |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 36 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/10/20 17 | 1:47 PM | Phone | Erskinvil le | Mitchell Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise late at night | CDS JV (James S) spoke with stakeholder and informed of night work tree clearing on Euston Road 9/10-11/10. Advised stakeholder that a notification regarding these works was dropped to his apartment block 4/10/17. Stakeholder believes the works should have been during the day of school holidays. CDS JV (James S) explained that due to the road occupancy license, we are restricted to when we can close the road. CDS JV (James S) informed the stakeholder that the works are to start earlier in the evening closer to residences and advance away as the evening continues. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 37 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/10/20 17 | 5:52 PM | Email | Not provide d | Not Provided | Stakeholder concerned with Euston Road work | | No further action required | |
| CC7 38 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/10/20 17 | 9:40p m | Facebo ok | Alexand ria | Belmont Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise & inadequate consultation process | Information provided to SMC (Louise B) on Euston Road work for SMC to provide a response. | No further action required | Next business day |
| CC7 39 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/10/20 17 | 1:13 AM | Email | Alexand ria | Euston Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised tree clearing occurred the last two nights on Euston Road. The attached notification for these works was dropped to residences 4 October 2017. Also advised the work had to be completed in the evenings is due to the restrictions of our Road Occupancy License. Due to the traffic along Euston Road during the day time, we are not able to block off the road. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 40 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 12/10/20 17 | 12:54 PM | Phone | Kingsgro ve | Armitree Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with vibration she is feeling from work. | CDS JV (Diana V) contacted resident and left a voice message outlining nature of activity and updated on time frame to completion. | CDS JV (Martha H) advised Enviro (Hayley Y) of complaint - investigation commenced CDS JV Enviro (Hayley Y) advised: * There are some works on top of the mound going down the side. * They are backfilling and compacting with a smooth drum roller. * I just walked passed there and I couldn't feel any vibrations. | Immedi ate |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | * 101 see vibrations |
| CC7 41 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 12/10/20 17 | 2:13 PM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Pallamana Parade | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise | CDS JV (Diana V) contacted Milton who advised he will call again later. | CDS JV (N complaint CDS JV En she has ju and did no either tun |
| CC7 42 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 13/10/20 17 | 11:55 AM | Phone | Arncliffe | West Botany Street | Stakeholder is concerned with the vibration she can feel | DS JV (Toni F) met with stakeholder and advised the vibration she was feeling was from compacting and a vibration roller across the road at the Eve Street Compound. Stakeholder advised this work would be completed by the 21/10/17. | CDS JV (To advised th fro the co Spoke wit compactin Going to s to place a Vibration |
| CC7 43 | Not Related | Not Related | 16/10/20 17 | 6:53 AM | Email | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised that after follow up with our team to investigate the cause of the noise you experienced it has been determined that the noise was not related to the New M5 project work. There was no out of hours work taking place in connection with the project this morning, our standard work hours are 7am to 6pm Monday to Friday, and 8am to 1pm Saturday. | No furthe |



| <u></u> | rtorly C | | tion Co | molie | | | | | | WestConnex N | New M5 |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| | ort: No | Construc . 5 | | mpilar | ice | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Туре | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 44 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 17/10/20 17 | 4:30 PM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder displeased that traffic controllers outside his property are sweeping up dust and leaves from road kerb and putting it in his garden bed. | CDS JV (Martha H) apologised to stakeholder and advised she woud speak with the workers to make sure this did not continue | CDS JV (Martha H) asked traffic controllers to remove leaves and dust and place in garbage bags now and in future. | Immedi ate |
| CC7 45 | CEW | Civil East Works | 18/10/20 17 | 7:56 PM | Email | St Peters | Euston Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise coming from work in the area at night | CDS JV (Carla P) emailed stakeholder and advised she had spoken with the team and they explained that they were required to collect an excavator from the site to bring it to another location where night works were taking place – further up McEvoy Street. I was told that they were not at the site for long before it was moved. | No further action required | with 4 business days |
| CC7 46 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/10/20 17 | 7:27 AM | Email | Alexand ria | McEvoy Street | Stakeholder was unhappy with noise generated by night work | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised scheduled night work for Tuesday 24 October has been cancelled and only day shifts will continue for this week. | No further action required | Next business day |
| CC7 47 | CEW | Civil East Works | 23/10/20 17 | 9:13 AM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with workers limiting parking in the street via cones | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder – no answer CDS JV (Virginia B) visited business to provide update on staging of work in Barwon Park Rd, Crown St and Campbell, Street over the next few months. Obtained stakeholder email address to include on mailing list for weekly email updates and provided notification of upcoming work commencing Mon 30/10/17 | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 48 | Not Related | Not Related | 23/10/20 17 | 9:14 AM | Email | Alexand ria | McEvoy Street | Property Manager made complaint re odour on behalf of resident (odour coming from internal drain) | CDS JV (James S) emailed stakeholder and advised work in the area by WestConnex only involved communication service relocations no odour from sewage would have been affected. | Not related | Same business day |
| | nnex New M5 | | | | | | | | M5N-ES-RPT-PWD-0032 | | Revision 00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 49 | CEW | Civil East Works | 23/10/20 17 | 9:47 AM | Phone | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is concerned with pedestrian safety in relation to work on footpath | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder to thank him for advising the pedestrian footpath was a trip hazard. CDS JV (Virginia B) advised that the Utilities team are investigating and will rectify today. CDS JV (Virginia B) also explained the current work on Barwon Park Road regarding the temporary take out of parking to facilitate potholing work. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 50 | Not Related | Not Related | 23/10/20 17 | 2:00 PM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Moorefields Road | Stakeholder expressed concern over water collecting near the area of the 3rd tee of Canterbury Golf Course following the recent wet weather. | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with the stakeholder and reiterated discussions had with another worker (Shane) regarding the setup along the boundary fence, confirming the geo-fabric is not preventing the water from draining and filters the water through however, depending on the volume, it may occur at a slower rate. Following further investigation with the engineering team and site manager, CDS JV (Diana V) advised that water ponding within areas of the golf course is pre-existing and is not exacerbated by the design of New M5 stormwater infrastructure. CDS JV (Diana V) confirmed that CDS JV have not caused blockages within the drainage line of the golf course. CDS JV (Diana V) advised that CDS JV will follow up on the matter and arrange a meeting to review the stormwater design and further discuss his concerns at a joint meeting. | Meeting arranged for Tuesday 31/10/17 at 4pm Representatives of CDS JV and Canterbury Bankstown Council met with GS and discussed drainage design, golf ball deflection fence and traffic switch staging. Golf course operator conveyed concerns regarding switch 2 traffic position, wanting council indemnify him from potential damage claims | Immedi ate |
| CC7 51 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 23/10/20 17 | 6:15 PM | Phone | st Peters | Alfred Street | Stakeholder is concerned he can hear work from tunnels after standard hours | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with stakeholder and advised work being undertaken is a more recent operation involving horizontal drilling required for the penetrative blast operations as part of tunnel support. The activity is more extensive than standard drilling and will be occurring intermittently. | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC7 52 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 24/10/20 17 | 12:05 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder is concerned lights are on and out of sync with daylight savings | CDS JV (Toni F) email stakeholder advising that there was a failure with the light system and they would endeavour to fix it temporarily until the failure could be permanantly fixed | No further action required | Within 2 business days |

| | arterly (| Construc | tion Co | moliar | | | | | | - | WestConnex N | • |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | port: No | | | | | | | | | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC7 53 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 25/10/20 17 | 1:15 PM | Email | St Peters | Grove Street | Stakeholder is concerned re noise and vibration which occurred at 1pm. | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke with stakeholder and confirmed vibrations were from controlled blasting activity at that exact location. CDS JV (Carla P) advised that a blasting notification had been sent to residents at this location on the 6 Oct both via letterbox drop and email. No more blasting would be conducted today. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 54 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 25/10/20 17 | 1:14 PM | Email | St Peters | Grove Street | Stakeholder is concerned re noise and vibration which occurred at 1pm. | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke with stakeholder and confirmed vibrations were from controlled blasting activity at that exact location. CDS JV (Carla P) advised that a blasting notification had been sent to residents at this location on the 6 Oct both via letterbox drop and email. No more blasting would be conducted today. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 55 | SPI | SPI Tunnels | 25/10/20 17 | 1:16 PM | Phone | St Peters | Sutherland Street | Stakeholder is concerned re noise and vibration which occurred at 1pm. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and confirmed vibrations were from controlled blasting activity at that exact location. CDS JV (Toni F) advised that a blasting notification had been sent to residents at this location on the 6 Oct both via letterbox drop and email. No more blasting would be conducted today. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 56 | SPI | SPI Tunnels | 25/10/20 17 | 1:26 PM | Phone | St Peters | Mary Street | Stakeholder is concerned re noise and vibration which occurred at 1pm. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and confirmed vibrations were from controlled blasting activity at that exact location. CDS JV (Toni F) advised that a blasting notification had been sent to residents at this location on the 6 Oct both via letterbox drop and email. No more blasting would be conducted today. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 57 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 25/10/20 17 | 3:21 PM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Elouera Street | Stakeholder believes the noise wall that was installed is inadequate | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with stakeholder and advised she will pass on her details to SMC as the noise wall was built to the design provided to CDS JV | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 58 | CEW | Civil East Works | 27/10/20 17 | 10:47 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Bourke Road | Stakeholder is concerned about noise from work outside of their business | CDS JV (Carla P) called stakeholder - no answer | | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 59 | CEW | Civil East Works | 28/10/20 17 | 9:07 AM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from work. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder advising work will be completed by 1pm | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| WestCo | onnex New M5 | | | | | | | | M5N-ES-RPT-PWD-0032 | | | Revision 00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | lew M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construct . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRA | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC7 60 | CEW | Civil East Works | 27/10/20 17 | 3:43 PM | Phone | Alexand ria | Gardeners Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise she heard from work previously completed in the area. | CDS JV (Carla P) advised stakeholder to contact the New M5 info line when she experiences the noise so that we can investigate at the current time, and potentially identify the activity that is causing disruption. This way we are able to better prescribe an outcome for her. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC7 61 | CEW | Civil East Works | 30/10/20 17 | 10:18 AM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with detour for road works | | | | |
| CC7 62 | Not Related | Not Related | 30/10/20 17 | 12:20 PM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder advised signage along Burrows Road has changed and his workers were fined. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and advised they would need to contact council as we had not made any changes. | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC7 63 | Not Related | Not Related | 30/10/20 17 | 12:46 PM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder advised signage along Burrows Road has changed and his workers were fined. | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and advised they would need to contact council as we had not made any changes. | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC7 64 | CEW | Civil East Works | 30/10/20 17 | 1:40 PM | Email | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the behavior of the traffic controller who placed a cone on the top of a vehicle that was parked. | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke to stakeholder and advised she would investigate. Also reminded Natalia to return the noise treatment offer. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |

| | arterly C | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex CPB DRAGADOS | New M5 |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Complaint | Sub-Project | D. 5 | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action | SAMSUNG C&T |
| CC7 65 | CEW | Civil East Works | 30/10/20 17 | 10:57 PM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder upset wanting to discuss impact of construction taking place outside her home. Caller advised the noise is intolerable and advised would be calling the Police. | CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke to stakeholder regarding noise complaint for OOHW on Princes Highway. Stakeholder asked what time noise would finish. CDS JV (Virginia B) asked if stakeholder would prefer a call back or text message. Stakeholder indicated she had not been notified of the work. VB advised notification distributed early last week. CDS JV (Virginia B) spoke to stakeholder and advised the work would be completed by 5am. Explained the work was barrier installation and line marking on Highway to prepare for traffic changes. Text message also sent to stakeholder from complaints phone at 23.43. 23.45 stakeholder sent text message asked when work would be completed. 23.52 stakeholder was advised by text message that work would be completed by 5am. 23.56 stakeholder sent text advising that the noise was unbearable and she was unable to sleep; and claimed she had not received notification. | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC7 66 | CEW | Civil East Works | 31/10/20 17 | 7:37 AM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakegholder reporting noise complaint from the drilling and grinding and general noise from the works last night. | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder who was making complaint regarding no notification of OOHW Princes Highway. Stakeholder was not able to sleep. CDS JV (Virginia B) obtained stakeholder email details to include on weekly email update. CDS JV (Virginia B) also verified that letterbox drop Tues 24/10/17 captured this address. | CDS JV (Virginia B) obtained stakeholder email details to include on weekly email update | Immedi ate |
| CC7 67 | CEW | Civil East Works | 31/10/20 17 | 8:16 AM | Email | St Peters | Crown Street | Stakeholder reported behaviour from traffic controllers | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder to advise that the teams were reminded of appropriate behaviour whilst working in the community, and particularly at night when they are working in close proximity to residents bedrooms. | Toolbox in prestart meeting regarding worker behaviour | Same business day |
| CC7 68 | CEW | Civil East Works | 31/10/20 17 | 3:27 PM | Phone | St Peters | Crown Street | Stakeholder reported behaviour from traffic controllers | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder to advise that the teams were reminded of appropriate behaviour whilst working in the community, and particularly at night when they are working in close proximity to residents bedrooms. | Toolbox in prestart meeting regarding worker behaviour | Same business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| | arterly (port: No | Construc o. 5 | ction Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 69 | CEW | Civil East Works | 31/10/20 17 | 5:15 PM | Phone | St Peters | Euston Rd | Stakeholder reported behaviour from traffic controllers | No response | Toolbox in prestart meeting regarding worker behaviour | |
| CC7 70 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 1/11/201 7 | 5:18 PM | Phone | St Peters | Yelverton Street | Stakeholder advised his house shook from the blast. Stakeholder was unhappy the blast took place at 5pm when he was eating his dinner and noted that the blast upset his dog. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and acknowledged the blast did take place late in the afternoon and advised this may not always be the case. Stakeholder believes his house shook more than others as it is a slab construction not foundation footings. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised a letterbox drop was done and an email sent out advising of blasting in the area. Stakeholder advised he does not have email; however was open to having a vibration monitor placed on the property | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC7 71 | CEW | Civil East Works | 2/11/201 7 | 8:30 AM | Email | St Peters | Campbell Street | Stakeholder is concerned about the out of hours work causing noise | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised she will provide a schedule of the work so that I can inform stakeholder. | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed Weekly update provided to stakeholder 3 November and 10 November of upcoming work. | Same business day |
| CC7 72 | BEX | Bexley Tunnel | 2/11/201 7 | 3:46 PM | Phone | Bexley North | Irwin Crescent | Stakeholder is unhappy with the levels of noise and vibration from work | CDS JV (Diana V) called stakeholder and provided an update on tunnel progress, explaining that the impacts from ground borne noise were particularly noticeable given that tunnel excavation was occurring underneath the property in the recent week. CDS JV (Diana V) clarified the information expressed in the notification and advised that the feedback will be considered (relating to noise comparison and time frame). Resident expressed concern about leaking shower tap in the garage and assumed this was caused by the vibration from tunnel excavation. CDS JV (Diana V) advised that this was unlikely and may be due to the general wear and tear of the fitting that may be better assessed by a plumber. CDS JV (Diana V) concluded by offering to contact resident mid the following week to check on the household. | CDS JV (Diana V) contacted the stakeholder and discussed the current location of the tunnel excavation (no longer underneath property and progressing away). Stakeholder confirmed the impacts have alleviated in the recent days and is not as loud as it was previously. CDS JV (Diana V) reminded stakeholder about the cross passage excavation that is to be completed at a later stage and advised that her feedback was taken on board by providing more clarity on the timeframes in the notification around expected impacts. | Same business day |

| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| CC7 73 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 3/11/201 7 | 10:16 AM | Email | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder is unhappy witht the level of dust coming from site | CDS JV (Toni F) met with stakeholder and advised the JV does not provide compensation. All mitigation measures were in place to minimise dust. | Shade clo water car |
| CC7 74 | CEW | Civil East Works | 3/11/201 7 | 7:40 PM | Email | St Peters | Crown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with recent traffic changes | CDS JV (Virginia B) emailed stakeholder and advised his comments had been taken on board with additional traffic controllers now in place to assist drivers with changes. | No furthe |
| CC7 75 | Not Related | Not Related | 4/11/201 7 | 9:13 AM | Facebo ok | Alexand ria | Belmont Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of noise she is hearing after hours | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke with stakeholder and advised the noise she can hear is not related to the New M5 Project. | No furthe |
| CC7 76 | CEW | Civil East Works | 6/11/201 7 | 7:24 PM | Email | St Peters | brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy a truck was idling in the same spot for an extended period of time | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised she has followed up with the construction team to reiterate the importance of safe work practices and logged your email as an official complaint. | No furthe |
| CC7 77 | CEW | Civil East Works | 6/11/201 7 | 11:32 PM | Email | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder advised night work is keeping her awake | CDS (Virginia B) Called resident to advise that the OOHW on Princes Highway is scheduled for three nights this week, Mon, Wed & Fri. The noisiest work was conducted last night. CDS (Virginia B) also asked resident for exact location on Barwon Park Road and if she would like to be included in weekly email updates. | No furthe |
| CC7 78 | CEW | Civil East Works | 7/11/201 7 | 10:46 PM | Face to face | St Peters | St Peters Street | Stakeholder was unhappy an excavator was moved during the night | Stakeholder was advised at the time that the excavator needed to be moved to another site. | No furthe |
| CC7 79 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 7/11/201 7 | 11:51 AM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder advised he is not happy with the blasting in the area | CDS JV (Toni F) emailed stakeholder and advised his complaint has been registered and thanked him for the feedback. | No furthe |

Action Response time time

WestConnex New M5

loth was fixed up straight away and extra art to go around within 5 ner action required business days Same ner action required business day Same ner action required business day Next business ner action required day

her action required Next business day Next business day

| | arterly C | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | New M5 |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Rep | oort: No. | . 5 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 80 | CEW | Civil East Works | 7/11/201 7 | 12:02 PM | Email | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder advised night work is keeping him awake | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised the notification was provided in the weekly email update and letter box notification drop to your property, night work is taking place on Monday, Wednesday and Friday of this week for the installation and relocation of utilities on Princes Highway north of Campbell Street. We are aware that work carried out last night, Monday 6 November, created some noise impacts for local residents. Unfortunately due to the nature of the work and the lane closure involved on the Princes Highway, we could not carry out this work during the day time. I can confirm that the activities with the greatest noise impacts took place last night. Future work this week will not involve the same activities and machinery. Additional noise blankets will be in place during night work shifts to reduce noise impacts to local residents where possible | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 81 | Not Related | Not Related | 7/11/201 7 | 10:29 PM | Email | Alexand ria | Belmont Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the level of noise she is hearing after hours | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke with stakeholder and advised the noise she can hear is not related to the New M5 Project. | No further action required | Next business day |
| CC7 82 | KIN | Kingsgro ve Tunnel | 8/11/201 7 | 12:43 PM | Phone | Bexley North | Kingsland Road | Stakeholder can hear noise from tunnel works in the area | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with stakeholder and discussed concerns around noise impacts. CDS JV (Diana V) advised the current location of tunnel excavation (Irwin Cres) indicating that work will progress towards the vicinity of the property in the next one to two weeks and more information will be provided in the coming days about the impacts and duration. CDS JV (Diana V) explained that the noise will likely increase over the next 2/3 weeks before the excavation progresses away following that stage. CDS JV (Diana V) offered temporary noise mitigation provisions (ear plugs, noise cancelling head phones) and offered to undertake verification monitoring of the noise and vibration with specialists – stakeholder declined. | No further action required | |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 83 | Not Related | Not Related | 8/11/201 7 | 2:49 PM | Email | Kingsgro ve | Wirega Avenue | Stakeholders complaint relates to truck being parked in the local streets | CDS JV (Diana V) emailed stakeholder and thanked him for bringing this to our attention. | CDS JV (Diana V) forwarded email to spoil team for further investigation. | |
| CC7 84 | Not Related | Not Related | 9/11/201 7 | 9:36 AM | | Alexand ria | Euston Road | Stakeholder unhappy with noise coming from the site | | SMC to respond | |
| CC7 85 | Not Related | Not Related | 9/11/201 7 | 10:48 AM | Facebo ok | Alexand ria | Belmont Street | Stakeholder can hear chain saws at night | CDS confirmed it isn't our work. Told SMC to respond to stakeholder | Told SMC to respond to stakeholder | Same business day |
| CC7 86 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 9/11/201 7 | 11:58 AM | Email | St Peters | Crown Street | Stakeholder advised garbage truck not able to pick up | CDS JV (Virginia B) visited the stakeholder to discuss the rubbish collection. Stakeholder admitted that he had forgotten to tell his garbage collection supplier of the traffic changes. Stakeholder will advise that he will contact JJ Richards and request an additional collection between 6am and 6pm when traffic controllers are present to assist. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 87 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 9/11/201 7 | 3:09 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder advised he is not happy with the blasting in the area | CDS JV (Toni F) emailed stakeholder and advised his complaint has been registered and thanked him for the feedback. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC7 88 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/11/20 17 | 8:43 AM | Email | St Peters | Crown Street | Stakeholder advising of trip hazard caused by utilities work | CDS JV (Yvonne V) called and left msg on vm | Local Roads Superintendant to allocat work crew to repair | Same business day |

| | arterly C oort: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 89 | CR | All of Project | 10/11/20 17 | 9:00 AM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with a number of things relating to the project including: Noise Acoustic Treatment Alternative Accommodation Lack of Notification Property access | Meeting with stakeholder / CDS JV / DPE & Inner West Council arranged for Friday 1 December 2017 | | |
| CC7 90 | Not Related | Not Related | 8/11/201 7 | 2:49 PM | Email | Kingsgro ve | | Stakeholder has advised a tipper truck was parked in a residential street. | CDS JV (Diana V) emailed the stakeholder and advised the truck was not related to the New M5 Project. | No further action required | Within 1 week |
| CC7 91 | CEW | Civil East Works | 10/11/20 17 | 8:43 AM | Phone | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is concerned about a trip hazard cause by hotfil subsidence | CDS JV (Yvonne V) called stakeholder and left message to call back, also advised we would have this issue resolved asap. | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed/ spoke with Superintendent (Darrin P) who advised this has been resolved. | Same business day |
| CC7 92 | CR | All of Project | 10/11/20 17 | 4:49 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the Acoustic Treatment Process | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and advised she is following up with SMC about your request below and will get back to you with a response CDS JV (Martha H, Virginia B &Sarah P) met with residents at inner West Council interface meeting held Dec 1 2017. Each of the resident's complaints were addressed. EPL explained as to what constitutes requirement for alternative accommodation. Acoustic treatment modelling was also explained. Resident's request for change to her acoustic treatment classification has been escalated to SMC and RMS. | | Within 2 business days |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| | | | | | | | | | There is nothing further that CDS JV can do about the scope offered to resident. | | |
| CC7 93 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/11/20 17 | 1:34 AM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) spoke to resident. Resident would like to know when jackhammering on May Street will stop. Sarah contacted site supervisor to get a response for the resident. CDS JV (Sarah P) contacted resident to advise that jackhammering would stop within 10 minutes. Further jackhammering may need to take place for 20 minutes later in the night depending on the progress of the work. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Immedi ate |
| CC7 94 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/11/20 17 | 11:03 AM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder unhappy with tree removal and dust mitigation | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and attached a copy of the community notification relating to the May Street work. The map on page 2 shows the location the tree clearing on Unwins Bridge Road (11 trees) and May Street (4 trees). The work areas near Hutchinson Street have been sprayed with a polymer binder which reduces the need for water carts and that may be the reason that you have not seen them around as frequently as before. The Office of Environment and Heritage monitor air quality in Sydney and results for the nearest air quality stations can be found here: http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/AQMS/search.htm CDS JV (Sarah P) advised stakeholder she didnt have any information about EPA air testing or if it has been carried out. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Same business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | _ | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | arterly port: No | Construc o. 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC7 95 | CEW | Civil East Works | 11/11/20 17 | 11:09 AM | Email | St Peters | Barwon Park Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the road impacts / detours | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed the stakeholder and apologised for the frustration he experienced last Saturday. CDS JV (Martha H) advised we will try to communicate all the traffic changes in our notifications and in our weekly updates. | No further action required | | Within busines days |
| C7 6 | CEW | Civil East Works | 12/11/20 17 | 2:21 PM | Email | St Peters | Florence Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with the road impacts / detours | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and apologised for any confusion this may have caused also advised the comments had been forwarded to the appropriate team for consideration. | No further action required | | within businn ss days |
| CC7 7 | CEW | Civil East Works | 14/11/20 17 | 1:29 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised the community notification letter box dropped to your property, the May Street weekend road closure will be implemented again this weekend from 7pm on Friday 17 November to 5am on Monday 20 November. This weekend's work will have similar noise impacts to last weekend, although the jackhammering will take place for a shorter period of time on Friday night. Alternative Accommodation (AA) noise levels are based on the Transport for New South Wales Construction Noise Strategy (TfNSW CNS), which states alternative accommodation will be offered to receivers where noise levels would be greater than 25 decibels above the approved noise management levels (NML) for that receiver type. Your property is classified as a residential receiver and noise management levels are based on background noise levels recorded in that specific area or noise catchment. It has been established that your property at 7 brown Street does not have predicted noise levels greater than 25 decibels above noise management levels. Further information can be found on the WestConnex website where the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan has been published which goes into further detail on noise assessments and out of hours works. https://www.westconnex.com.au/projects/newm5 | No further action required | | Same busine day |
| CC7 98 | CEW | Civil East Works | 15/11/20 17 | 8:53 AM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder believes there was no notification for work taking place. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised she has spoken to our engineer on site, who advised that Church Street was blocked for approx. 1-2 minutes this morning while a construction vehicle backed out from the site to Campbell St. Engineer advised that there is currently 3 traffic control personnel on Church St who are managing traffic around the Tobco subcontractor carrying out utility work. There are 9 TC's on Campbell Street today | No further action required | | Same busines day |

| | arterly C oort: No. | construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | WestConnex N CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC7 99 | CEW | Civil East Works | 15/11/20 17 | 12:51 PM | Phone | St Peters | St Peters Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with utilities outage | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and provided Ausgrid power outage notification stakeholder. | No further action required | Next business day |
| CC8 00 | Not Related | Not Related | 15/11/20 17 | 12:55 PM | Phone | Beverly Hills | Pallamana Parade | Stakeholder believes increase in rodents is caused by New M5 Project | CDS JV (Diana V) spoke with stakeholder and advised the crews will continue to monitor the areas near our site and apologised that CDSJV cannot be of further assistance on the matter of rat infestation within her property and suggested pest control options. | No further action required | Next business day |
| CC8 01 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 15/11/20 17 | 4:32 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with blasting | CDS JV (Toni F) emailed stakeholder and advised his complaint has been registered and thanked him for the feedback. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC8 02 | CEW | Civil East Works | 15/11/20 17 | 4:43 PM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with a number of things relating to the project including: Noise Acoustic Treatment Alternative Accommodation Property access | | Meeting with stakeholder / CDS JV / DPE & Inner West Council arranged for Friday 1 December 2017 | |
| CC8 03 | CEW | Civil East Works | 16/11/20 17 | 2:11 PM | Email | Alexand ria | Campbell Road | Stakeholder is upset that utilities outage has effected the internet | CDS JV (Matt P) emailed stakeholder and arranged a suitable time for the work to be completed | No further action required | |
| CC8 04 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 16/11/20 17 | 3:38 PM | Phone | Arncliffe | Eve Street | Stakeholder can feel excessive vibrations | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke with stakeholder and advised he would receive a call back from Community Co-ordinator in that area. CDS JV (Toni F) phoned the stakeholder and advised there had been no works that would cause vibration close enough to the property. | No further action required | Next business day |

| | arterly C ort: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | WestConnex CORECTIONES DRAGADOS | New M5 |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC8 05 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 16/11/20 17 | 4:32 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with blasting | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder and advised his complaint has been registered and thanked him for the feedback. | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC8 06 | CEW | Civil East Works | 16/11/20 17 | 5:32 PM | Phone | St Peters | | Stakeholder is unhappy as he did not know about detours and was late for work. | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed resident to say that he had a valid point and the Community team will now include traffic impacts on the western side of the Princes Hwy both in our notifications and also in our e-updates. | No further action required | | within 1 business day |
| CC8 07 | Not Related | Not Related | 16/11/20 17 | 2:22 PM | Facebo ok | Alexand ria | Burrows Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the road surface | CDS JV (Yvonne V) called and left msg with message service - direct number provided | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC8 08 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 13/11/20 17 | 11:08 AM | Phone | Sydenha m | George Street | Stakeholder is concerned about the vibrations in his property | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and explained the vibration was from the blasting of cross passages in the tunnel which is further down the street from his property. CDS JV (Yvonne V) requested email address to keep stakeholder informed of progress. Stakeholder provided email and was happy with the explanation. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised email was sent to Property Management Team for dilap survey | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC8 09 | CEW | Civil East Works | 13/11/20 17 | 11:56 AM | Phone | St Peters | Princes Highway | Stakeholder is unhappy as he did not know about detours | CDS JV (Martha H) emailed stakeholder and apologised for the frustration over the weekend due to road detours. CDS JV (Martha H) advised we put a notification in your letterbox to advise of changes and have also now linked your email address to the weekly e-updates. My apology that this was not done sooner. We have a further two weekends (the next two) with the same May St closure of the northbound lane. It will be another five to six weeks until we build the diversion road on Campbell Street between Crown and Barwon Park Rd. Once we open the diversion road, it should provide better access. Please note however, we will be having ongoing road closures and detours but we will always notify you via the letterbox drop and the e-update. | No further action required | | Same business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| | arterly C oort: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC8 10 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 15/11/20 17 | 11:36 PM | Phone | Sydenha m | Yelverton Street | Stakeholder can hear noise from tunnel works in the area | CDS JV (Toni F) spoke with stakeholder and advised no work was taking place within the SPI site that night that would cause those noises,. CDS JV (Toni F) suggested to stakeholder they may be hearing the tunneling works which are currently between Yelverton and George Streets. Stakeholder was advised CDS JV (Yvonne V) would contact her to discuss. CDS JV (Yvonne V) called twice and left msg with direct number | No further action required | Immedi ate |
| CC8 11 | CEW | Civil East Works | 17/11/20 17 | 11:38 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised of schedule of work and traffic impacts. resident. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Immedi ate |
| CC8 12 | CEW | Civil East Works | 18/11/20 17 | 2:50 AM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | | Meeting with stakeholder / CDS JV / DPE & Inner West Council arranged for Friday 1 December 2017 | |
| CC8 13 | Not Related | Not Related | 18/11/20 17 | 2:09 PM | Email | St Peters | Hutchinson Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with a traffic control vehicle parking on the street outside residential properties. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised following investigations, we have found that there is nothing to link this Workforce vehicle to the New M5 project. Workforce have advised previously that they have an employee who lives in this street. | | Next business day |
| CC8 14 | CEW | Civil East Works | 19/11/20 17 | 1:09 AM | Phone | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised of schedule of work and traffic impacts. resident. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Immedi ate |
| CC8 15 | CEW | Civil East Works | 20/11/20 17 | 8:13 AM | Email | St Peters | Unwins Bridge Road | Stakeholder is unhappy with the removal of mature trees on Unwins Bridge Rd that have been planted in the median strip by council. | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder the information relating to tree clearing was contained in the community notification delivered to properties on Thursday 2 November. All required approvals for this tree clearing were obtained and Inner West Council had been informed of the work. The tree clearing took place in preparation for drainage upgrade work that will take place on Unwins Bridge Road in early 2018. | No further action required | Same business day |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC8 16 | CEW | Civil East Works | 20/11/20 17 | 8:49 AM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) phoned resident and offered to provide BOSE noise cancelling headphones for use during night work tonight. Resident provided with alternative accommodation during night work 23/11/17 | No further action required | Within 2 business days |
| CC8 17 | CEW | Civil East Works | 20/11/20 17 | 3:59 PM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | IWC complaint on behalf of Jacinta Green | CDS JV (Sarah P) advised IWC Jacinta Green approached us on Friday about alternative accommodation during this work. Our noise assessment has found that properties on Church Street will not be impacted by high noise on night two consecutive nights (as per our EPL) to trigger an offer for alternative accommodation (AA). If we were to bend the rules in this case to appease Jacinta and offer alternative accommodation we feel it would encourage other residents to expect AA outside of what is required. If we were to offer AA to Church Street residents, it would open us up to requests from St Peters Street and Florence Street. For this reason, we have to stick strictly to what is required rather than assessing on a case by case basis. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC8 18 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 20/11/20 17 | 6:54 PM | Phone | St Peters | Yelverton Street | Stakeholder is feeling vibrations from tunnelling in the area | CDS JV (Yvonne V) explained the noise she can hear is the roadheader from the tunnel. CDS JV (Yvonne V) explained progress of works and tunnel blasting. Stakeholder grateful for the explanation and provided email address for updates. | No further action required | Same business day |
| CC8 19 | CEW | Civil East Works | 20/11/20 17 | 11:48 PM | Phone | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised of schedule of work and traffic impacts. resident. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Immedi ate |
| CC8 20 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/11/20 17 | 12:08 AM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder and advised of schedule of work and traffic impacts. resident. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Immedi ate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | Wes | stConnex | New M5 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----|----------|------------------------------|
| | arterly C ort: No. | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | CPB | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | | Response time |
| CC8 21 | Not Related | Not Related | 21/11/20 17 | 7:15 AM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder advised a truck has exited the Arncliffe site and motored up Flora st , probably during the night | CDS JV (Toni F) emailed stakeholder to advise trucks from VBA are using the entrance to the New M5 Project (Arncliffe Site) as a turning point without authorisation. | No further action required | | | Same business day |
| CC8 22 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/11/20 17 | 11:25 AM | Phone | Alexand ria | Euston Road | Stakeholder believes cones placed at this location which is preventing container access into the road and is impacting their business | CDS JV (Carla P) spoke to stakeholder regarding traffic setup. The traffic arrangement had been setup according to an approved Traffic management plan. Site Supervisor will remove the cones currently causing issue for Florabelle however their trucks are no more impeded than what they were previously. | No further action required | | | Same business day |
| CC8 23 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 21/11/20 17 | 1:15 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder advised he can feel blasting vibrations | CDS JV (Yvonne V) emailed stakeholder to thank him for contacting the New M5 Project; your complaint has been noted. | No further action required | | | Same business day |
| CC8 24 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 21/11/20 17 | 2:08 PM | Phone | Sydenha m | Frederick Street | Stakeholder advised she can feel blasting vibrations | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised as per our discussion yesterday; the vibration she felt was the tunnel blasting. Stakeholder is concerned the machinery in general is hitting the foundation of per residence. CDS JV (Yvonne V) reiterated the tunnel is 40+ metres deep and not underneath her residence. CDS JV (Yvonne V) advised the complaint would be recorded in the data base. Stakeholder thankful for the information. | No further action required | | | Same business day |
| CC8 25 | CEW | Civil East Works | 21/11/20 17 | 5:50 PM | Email | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) phoned resident and offered to provide BOSE noise cancelling headphones for use during night work tonight. Resident provided with alternative accommodation during night work 23/11/17 | No further action required | | | Within 2 business days |

| | | | | | | | | | | _ | WestConnex N | lew M5 |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No. | | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | | DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | | Response time |
| CC8 26 | ARN | Arncliffe Tunnel | 21/11/20 17 | 8:18 PM | Email | Arncliffe | Flora Street | Stakeholder advised he can feel blasting vibrations | CDS JV (Toni F) emailed stakeholder to advised the noise/vibration he could hear was from vibrational rolling which ended immediately after complaint. | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC8 27 | SPT | SPI Tunnels | 22/11/20 17 | 10:38 AM | Email | Sydenha m | Frederick Street | Stakeholder advised she can feel blasting vibrations | CDS JV (Yvonne V) spoke with stakeholder and advised the vibration he can feel would most likely be from the blasting in the tunnel. Stakeholder acknowledged that he received letterbox drop but this was the first time he has felt the blast as he was home from work. Stakeholder provided email for updates. | No further action required | | Same business day |
| CC8 28 | CEW | Civil East Works | 22/11/20 17 | 10:21 PM | Phone | St Peters | St Peters Street | Stakeholder advised that there is people working in the Complex with machinery with generators and has spoken with the foreman and refused to give any details | Light was removed from out front of property. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |
| CC8 29 | CEW | Civil East Works | 23/11/20 17 | 9:31 AM | Phone | St Peters | Church Street | Stakeholder called to report excessive noise in the middle of the night. | CJS JV (Sarah P) spoke to the resident. Advised her that work tonight will take place on Florence St tonight Thurs 24 Nov. There will be no noisy work on Church St, noise impact will not be the same as last night. Caller asked why work can not be carried out during the day time. Sarah P advised the Sydney water outages (such as this work) are often scheduled at night to minimise impact. | No further action required | | Immedi ate |

| | | | | | | | | | | WestConnex N | lew M5 |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | arterly C port: No | Construc . 5 | tion Co | mpliar | nce | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC8 30 | SPI | St. Peters Intercha nge | 23/11/20 17 | 11:36 AM | Phone | St Peters | Burrows Road | Stakeholder called to make a complaint re the amount of dust coming from work on the St Peters Interchange. | CDS JV (Toni F) visited the stakeholder to discuss the concerns raised. CDS JV (Toni F) advised the stakeholder they would look into what other mitigation measures can be undertaken to minimise the dust. Further message left for stakeholder to call back if he has any other concerns. | What other mitigation measures can be undertaken to minimise the dust. | Same business day |
| CC8 31 | CEW | Civil East Works | 23/11/20 17 | 11:10 PM | Email | St Peters | Brown Street | Stakeholder is unhappy with noise from OoH work | CDS JV (Sarah P) emailed stakeholder advising the community notification relating to this work was delivered to properties, including Brown Street, on Thursday 16 November. Stakeholder was advised Information about this work was also included in the weekly email update dated Friday 17 November. CDS JV (Sarah P) also outlined upcoming work schedule. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised CDS JV (Sarah P) attached a copy of Notification for reference. | Within 2 working days |
| CC8 32 | CR | All of Project | 24/11/20 17 | 9:03 AM | Phone | Bexley North | Bexley Road | Stakeholder called to advise trucks tyres are shredding off the off the truck and causing hazard on the road. Caller advised he waved down the truck and the truck pulled over. The truck driver advised he has informed his boss re this issue who told him to continue driving. | No details provided for a response | Following assessment with subcontractor, relevant truck was roadworthy, site crews will be monitoring truck conditions as vehicles enter and exit the site to ensure all vehicles are safe for travel on approved routes. | Immedi ate |

| 0 | rtorly C | | tion Co. | | | | | | | WestConnex N | New M5 |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | ort: No. | | tion Co | mpilar | ice | | | | | CONTRACTORS DRAGADOS | SAMSUNG C&T |
| Complaint number | Sub-Project | Type | Date received | Time received | Complaint channel | Suburb complaint relates to | Location of complaint | Complaint description | Response | Action taken | Response time |
| CC8 33 | CEW | Civil East Works | 24/11/20 17 | 5:22 PM | Phone | St Peters | Florence | Stakeholder advised sawing and Flood Lights outside of their Home overnight. Advised Unable to Sleep | CDS JV (Virginia B) called stakeholder to discuss the noise treatment offer but he was not in a position to talk and requested VB call his wife. CDS JV (Virginia B) Called other stakeholder and left VM message asking to return call. | Enviro (Ciara Moriarty) advised | Next business day |

This page has been left blank intentionally







Appendix D: Glossary of terms

| Term / acronym | Definition | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| BMS | Blast Management Strategy | | | | |
| CEMP | Construction Environmental Management Plan | | | | |
| СоА | Minister's Conditions of Approval | | | | |
| CDS-JV | CPB Contractors, Dragados, and Samsung joint venture | | | | |
| СТР | Compliance Tracking Program | | | | |
| D&C | Design and construct | | | | |
| DP&E | NSW Department of Planning and Environment | | | | |
| EIS | Environmental impact statement | | | | |
| EMS | Environmental management system | | | | |
| ER | Environmental Representative | | | | |
| EP&A Act | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 | | | | |
| EPA | NSW Environment Protection Authority | | | | |
| EPL | Environment protection licence | | | | |
| HARD | Historical Archaeological Research Design | | | | |
| Keystone | A web-based document management system that provides the primary document management application for CDS-JV on the project and will be used to manage correspondence, design documentation, electronic distribution and approval processes, records and identified records and quality documentation. | | | | |
| PCCR | Pre-Construction Compliance Report | | | | |
| PIRMP | Pollution Incident Response Management Plan | | | | |
| POEO Act | Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 | | | | |
| Project | WestConnex New M5 project | | | | |
| Project Company | WCX M5 AT | | | | |
| REMM | Revised environmental management measures, included in the Submissions and Preferred Infrastructure Report | | | | |
| Roads and Maritime | Roads and Maritime Services | | | | |
| SMC | Sydney Motorway Corporation, formerly WestConnex Delivery Authority | | | | |
| SH&E | Safety, Health & Environment | | | | |
| SPIR | Submissions [and Preferred Infrastructure] Report | | | | |
| SSI | State significant infrastructure | | | | |

| Term / acronym | Definition |
|----------------|--|
| Synergy | Synergy is a safety and environmental reporting application and consists of the following modules: SHE Management – events including: incidents, near hits, report only, hazards, stakeholder contacts, regulatory visits, drug and alcohol positive tests Metrics – work hours, number of people, environmental data such as materials, water, energy and wastes, etc. Campaigns can be tailored to drive specific lead indicators. Compliance – general applicability, typically used to track conditions and aid in reporting Actions – Assign and track actions. |
| WCX | WestConnex |
| WCX M5 AT | Project company |